

Volume 02, Issue 06, June 2025,

Publish Date: 11-06-2025

PageNo.06-10

## Philanthropic Power and Cultural Diplomacy: American Foundations in Cold War Europe

Prof. Alessandra M. Conti 

Department of History and Civilization, European University Institute, Italy

Christophe Moreau 

Department of History, Sorbonne University, France

### ABSTRACT

During the Cold War, major American philanthropic foundations, including the Ford, Carnegie, and Rockefeller Foundations, played a significant, albeit often complex and understated, role in shaping cultural relations with Europe. This article investigates the multifaceted involvement of these foundations, exploring their overt philanthropic initiatives alongside their more covert engagements with U.S. foreign policy objectives. Drawing upon archival records and scholarly analyses, it examines how these organizations served as instruments of cultural diplomacy, fostering intellectual exchange, scientific collaboration, and artistic expression, often with the implicit aim of promoting American values and countering Soviet influence. By adopting an IMRaD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) framework, this study provides a comprehensive overview of the foundations' contributions to the ideological struggle, their impact on European societies, and the ethical considerations arising from the blurred lines between private philanthropy and statecraft.

**KEYWORDS:** Philanthropy, cultural diplomacy, American foundations, Cold War, soft power, transatlantic relations, cultural influence, international relations, ideological competition, Europe.

### INTRODUCTION

The Cold War (1947-1991) was an era defined not only by military and political rivalry but also by an intense ideological struggle between the United States and the Soviet Union. In this contest for global influence, "soft power" – the ability to attract and co-opt rather than coerce – became a crucial instrument of foreign policy. Cultural diplomacy, encompassing educational exchanges, artistic programs, and scientific collaborations, emerged as a vital component of this strategy [45]. Within this landscape, major American philanthropic foundations, notably the Ford, Carnegie, and Rockefeller Foundations, assumed a significant, though often discreet, role in shaping cultural relations with Europe [3, 21, 35, 36, 40].

These private entities, ostensibly dedicated to universal philanthropic goals, became de facto extensions of American foreign policy, engaging in activities that ranged from overt support for academic institutions to more clandestine operations aimed at influencing intellectual and political discourse [23, 35, 36, 40, 41]. Their involvement was part of a broader effort to establish American hegemony and promote a liberal democratic order in the face of Soviet communism [2, 24, 25, 38, 40]. This article aims to explore the complexities of these foundations' engagement in

Europe during the Cold War, examining their motivations, methods, and the profound impact they had on the cultural and intellectual landscape of the continent. The significance of this study lies in shedding light on a less-explored dimension of Cold War history, revealing how private wealth was strategically deployed to advance national interests and ideological objectives.

### METHODS

This article employs a historical analytical approach, synthesizing information from a variety of primary and secondary sources to construct a comprehensive narrative of American philanthropic foundations' involvement in Cold War Europe. The research design is qualitative, focusing on interpreting historical documents and scholarly analyses to understand the motivations, strategies, and impacts of these organizations.

#### 2.1 Research Design and Data Sources

The primary research method involves a systematic review of archival materials and academic literature. Key data sources include:

- **Archival Documents:** Declassified records from the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA Archive) provide crucial insights into the covert aspects of cultural diplomacy and the collaboration between government agencies and private foundations [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Records from the Ford Foundation Archive [26, 27, 28, 29, 30] and Rockefeller Foundation Records [39] offer perspectives on their stated objectives, programs, and internal communications. Documents from the State Department Archive [42, 43, 44] further illuminate official U.S. foreign policy and exchange programs.
- **Academic Literature:** Scholarly books and peer-reviewed articles provide critical analyses, historical context, and theoretical frameworks for understanding the role of foundations in international relations, cultural diplomacy, and the Cold War [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 45, 46]. These sources help to contextualize the archival findings and provide different interpretations of the foundations' activities.

## 2.2 Data Analysis

The analysis involved a thematic approach to identify recurring patterns, motivations, and impacts across the diverse range of sources. Specific attention was paid to:

- **Interconnections:** Tracing the relationships and collaborations between the foundations, U.S. government agencies (e.g., CIA, State Department), and European intellectual and cultural groups.
- **Programmatic Focus:** Identifying the types of programs funded (e.g., academic exchanges, scientific research, arts initiatives) and their stated versus implicit objectives.
- **Geographical Emphasis:** Examining the foundations' activities across Western and Eastern Europe, noting differences in approach and impact.
- **Ethical Dimensions:** Assessing the implications of covert involvement and the blurred lines between philanthropy and statecraft.

The methodology is informed by principles of case study research and theory development in the social sciences, as outlined by George and Bennett [1], and research methods in international relations [5], allowing for a nuanced understanding of a complex historical phenomenon. While acknowledging the challenges of interpreting historical data, particularly sensitive declassified documents [19], efforts were made to triangulate information across multiple sources to enhance the reliability of the findings.

## RESULTS

The examination of archival records and scholarly literature reveals that American philanthropic foundations were

deeply embedded in the cultural landscape of Cold War Europe, acting as significant, though often unacknowledged, agents of American influence.

### 3.1 The "Big Three" and Their Strategic Mandates

The Ford, Carnegie, and Rockefeller Foundations, often referred to as the "Big Three," possessed vast financial resources and a global reach, positioning them uniquely to engage in international affairs [35, 36]. While their stated missions were broadly philanthropic – supporting education, science, health, and cultural exchange [3, 22, 34] – their activities during the Cold War increasingly aligned with U.S. foreign policy objectives. This alignment was often explicit, with foundations consciously contributing to the "production of hegemony" by shaping international knowledge networks and intellectual discourse [24, 37].

### 3.2 Overt Philanthropy and Covert Collaboration

The foundations operated on a spectrum from overt, publicly acknowledged philanthropic endeavors to more covert collaborations with U.S. intelligence agencies.

- **Overt Programs:** These included extensive academic exchange programs, scholarships, and grants for research in various fields, particularly the social sciences [27, 28, 29, 30, 37]. The Ford Foundation, for example, invested heavily in international affairs programs [27, 28, 29, 30]. The Rockefeller Foundation supported scientific and medical research, contributing to the post-war reconstruction of science in Europe [23, 33]. These initiatives aimed to foster intellectual ties, promote American academic models, and demonstrate the openness of Western societies [45].
- **Covert Engagements:** Declassified CIA documents reveal a close, albeit often deniable, relationship between the foundations and U.S. intelligence and psychological warfare efforts [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The CIA actively sought to influence the types of projects the Ford Foundation supported [6] and engaged in discussions with foundation leaders like Rowan Gaither [12]. There were instances where foundation activities were explicitly linked to "psychological strategy" efforts [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The CIA even had a "Culture Group" [14] and engaged with individuals associated with foundations [17, 18, 19, 20, 44]. This covert dimension allowed the U.S. government to conduct cultural operations without direct attribution, maintaining a façade of private, independent philanthropy [40].

### 3.3 Geographical Focus: Western and Eastern Europe

The foundations' activities were strategically deployed across the European continent:

- Western Europe: Here, the focus was on reinforcing democratic values, supporting intellectual freedom, and integrating Western European nations into a U.S.-led liberal order. This included funding for academic research, cultural festivals, and artistic exchanges that showcased American cultural achievements, such as English language opera [31]. The goal was to solidify alliances and counter Soviet ideological penetration [45].
- Eastern Europe: In Soviet Bloc countries, the foundations' work was more sensitive and often intertwined with efforts to support dissent and maintain connections with intellectual elites behind the Iron Curtain. For instance, the Ford Foundation supported programs in Yugoslavia [29, 30] and Poland [2, 13, 15, 16, 27]. The Rockefeller Foundation had programs for Soviet Orbit Escapees [39]. These initiatives aimed to provide avenues for cultural and intellectual exchange, offer humanitarian aid (e.g., Polish Medical Aid Project [15]), and subtly undermine Soviet control by fostering pro-Western sentiments [42, 43]. The Polish trade discussions in 1957 also saw the involvement of figures connected to these efforts [13].

### 3.4 Support for Science and Intellectual Networks

A significant aspect of the foundations' work was the promotion of science and the social sciences. This was seen as crucial for both post-war reconstruction and for advancing American models of scientific inquiry and intellectual thought [4, 24, 33]. They helped establish and sustain intellectual networks that facilitated the flow of ideas and expertise, often subtly influencing academic disciplines and research agendas in line with Western perspectives [37].

## DISCUSSION

The findings demonstrate that American philanthropic foundations were far from passive observers in the Cold War; they were active, strategic participants in the ideological struggle for Europe. Their involvement highlights the complex interplay between private philanthropy and state power, blurring the lines between humanitarian aid, cultural exchange, and foreign policy objectives.

The pervasive alignment of foundation activities with U.S. foreign policy, often with direct or indirect collaboration with intelligence agencies [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 44], raises significant ethical questions. While foundations provided invaluable support for intellectual and cultural development in Europe, their covert roles meant that the recipients of their aid were often unaware of the underlying political motivations. This raises concerns about academic freedom, intellectual autonomy, and the potential for manipulation, as discussed by scholars examining the foundations' role in shaping social sciences and international

knowledge networks [24, 37]. The revelations of CIA involvement, such as the "CIA's Culture Group" [14] and the connections exposed in the Congressional Record [44], underscore the depth of this entanglement.

The effectiveness of these cultural initiatives in countering Soviet influence is debatable but widely acknowledged to have contributed to the overall "soft power" of the United States. By fostering intellectual exchange, promoting democratic values, and showcasing American cultural vibrancy, foundations helped to cultivate a favorable image of the U.S. and its system [45]. This was particularly evident in Eastern Europe, where programs, despite their limited scale, provided crucial lifelines for dissidents and intellectuals, contributing to the eventual weakening of Soviet control [2, 13, 15, 16, 27, 29, 30, 42, 43]. The Polish trade discussions [13] and the Polish Medical Aid Project [15] are examples of how even seemingly benign initiatives had political undertones.

The foundations' role also reflects a broader historical trend of American expansionism and the birth of U.S. global supremacy [40]. As argued by Parmar [35, 36], these foundations were foundational to the rise of American power in the "American Century." They served as crucial non-state actors in world politics, operating as "transnational organizations" that extended American influence beyond traditional diplomatic channels [32]. Their activities in Europe were part of a larger strategy to reconstruct and reshape the post-war world in line with American ideals, contributing to what some have termed the "intellectual Cold Wars" [45].

**Limitations:** This article relies heavily on declassified documents and existing scholarly interpretations, which inherently carry certain biases and limitations. Declassified documents may not present the full picture, and scholarly works can have their own interpretive frameworks. Furthermore, the direct, measurable impact of cultural diplomacy is notoriously difficult to quantify, making definitive conclusions about its precise effectiveness challenging.

**Future Research:** Future research could delve deeper into the specific experiences of European intellectuals and cultural figures who engaged with these foundations, exploring their perceptions of the programs and any awareness they had of the underlying political agendas. Comparative studies with philanthropic activities of other nations during the Cold War could also offer valuable insights.

## CONCLUSION

American philanthropic foundations played a pivotal and complex role in shaping cultural relations with Europe during the Cold War. Operating as both genuine philanthropic entities and strategic instruments of U.S.

foreign policy, they engaged in a wide array of overt and covert activities designed to promote American values, foster intellectual exchange, and counter Soviet influence. Their extensive funding of academic programs, scientific collaborations, and cultural initiatives significantly contributed to the ideological struggle, particularly in Western Europe, and provided crucial support to intellectual communities in Eastern Europe. While their contributions to post-war recovery and intellectual development are undeniable, their entanglement with statecraft and intelligence operations raises important ethical considerations regarding the nature of private philanthropy in international relations. Ultimately, the "Big Three" foundations were integral to the projection of American soft power, demonstrating how private wealth could be strategically deployed to advance national interests and shape the global order during one of history's most defining conflicts.

## REFERENCES

- Alexander L. George, & Andrew Bennett. (2005). *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. The MIT Press.
- Andrew Deruga. (2012). *Hegemony needs society; Gramsci, the Ford foundation and democracy in Poland, 1980-1994*. University of Ottawa.
- Anheier, H. K., & Leat, D. (2013). Philanthropic Foundations: What Rationales? *Social Research*, 80(2), 449–472. [suspicious link removed]
- Audra J. Wolfe. (2018). *Freedom's Laboratory: The Cold war struggle for the soul of science*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Christopher Lamont. (2021). *Research Methods in International Relations*. Sage Publications Ltd.
- CIA Archive. (1951). Suggestions on kinds of projects we would like to see the Ford foundation support.
- CIA Archive. (1952a). Eighth meeting of the psychological strategy board, Room 3E928, Item 2, PSB D8/b, NSC 127.
- CIA Archive. (1952b). Psychological Strategic Board report, Report on Social Science Research in Cold War Operations.
- CIA Archive. (1952c). Psychological Strategy Board, Procedure for evaluation of National Psychological effort, PSB E-4, Item 3.
- CIA Archive. (1952d). Psychological Strategy Board, Social Science Research, Washington D.C.
- CIA Archive. (1953). Psychological Strategy Board Meeting, CIA RDP8, Memorandum for the record.
- CIA Archive. (1957a). Memorandum for OCB, Telephone conversation between Allen Dulles and Mr. Rowan Gaither from the Ford foundation.
- CIA Archive. (1957b). Office of National Estimates, Consultants' meeting 23-24 January 1957.
- CIA Archive. (1957d). Office of the President, CIA's Culture Group Renamed, RDP78.
- CIA Archive. (1958). Polish Medical Aid Project.
- CIA Archive. (1962). Correspondence between the Press Secretary to the President Mr. Pierre Salinger and Stanley J. Grogan, Assistant to the Director of the Ford foundation.
- CIA Archive. (1964). CIA Mailroom Library, Larry Birns Show.
- CIA Archive. (1967). Resume of OCB Luncheon Resume, C.P. Cabell, General, USAF Deputy Director.
- CIA Archive. (1976). Cold War: Interview with James Algenton, The Head of Counter-intelligence at the CIA.
- CIA Archive. (1977). Memorandum for Stan Turner, 31 May 77.
- David Hammack. (1999). Foundations in the American Polity, 1900-1950. In *Philanthropic Foundations: New Scholarship, New Possibilities*. Indiana University Press.
- Edward H. Berman. (1983). *The Influence of the Carnegie, Ford and Rockefeller Foundations on American Foreign Policy*. State University of New York Press.
- ERDEM, M., & ROSE, K. W. (2000). AMERICAN PHILANTHROPY IN REPUBLICAN TURKEY: THE ROCKEFELLER AND FORD FOUNDATIONS. *Milletleraras*, 001-027. <https://doi.org/10.1501/Intrel.0000000022>
- Fisher, D. (1983). THE ROLE OF PHILANTHROPIC FOUNDATIONS IN THE REPRODUCTION AND PRODUCTION OF HEGEMONY: ROCKEFELLER FOUNDATIONS AND THE SOCIAL SCIENCES. *Sociology*, 17(2), 206–233. [suspicious link removed]
- Ford Foundation Archive. (1952). Annual report for 1952.
- Ford Foundation Archive. (1967). , International Affairs Records, Institute of International Education, Exchange and Scientific Activity with Eastern Europe including U.S.S.R.
- Ford Foundation Records. (1955). Annual report 1955.
- Ford Foundation Records. (1960). International Affairs Records, FA748, Exchanges, Series V, Box 7, Folder Title: Yugoslavian Program – General Information.
- George Gershwin. (1935). English language opera.
- George M Frederickson. (1968). *The Inner Civil War: Northern Intellectuals and the Crisis of the Union*. Harper Torchbooks.
- Helmut K. Anheier, & Stefan Toepler. (1999). *Private Funds, Public Purpose: Philanthropic Foundations in International Perspective*. Springer.
- Huntington, S. P. (1973). Transnational Organizations in World Politics. *World Politics*, 25(3), 333–368. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010115>

33. Inderjeet Parmar. (2012). *Foundations of the American Century: The Ford, Carnegie, and Rockefeller Foundations in the Rise of American Power*. Columbia University Press.
34. Parmar, I. (2002). American foundations and the development of international knowledge networks. *Global Networks*, 2(1), 13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0374.00024>
35. Parmar, I. (2015). The “Big 3” Foundations and American Global Power. *The American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 74(4), 676–703. [suspicious link removed]
36. Raynor Memorial Libraries. (n.d.). Marquette university Archives, Manuscripts, Charles J. Kersten Papers, 1919-1972, Bulk 1946-1960, Biographical note.
37. Rockefeller Foundation Records. (1951). General Correspondence: Program for Soviet Orbit Escapees.
38. State Department Archive. (1957a). Foreign Relations of the United States, 1955-1957, Soviet Union, Eastern Mediterranean.
39. State Department Archive. (1957b). The Exchange Program between the United States and the U.S.S.R. and Eastern Europe.
40. State Department Archive. (1967). Ex- Pomona Student Revealed CIA Aid.
41. Stephen Wertheim. (2020). *Tomorrow, the World: The Birth of U.S. Global Supremacy*. Belknap Press.
42. US Senate. (1967). Congressional Record FOIAb3b.
43. Vogel, A. (2006). Who"s making global civil society: philanthropy and US empire in world society. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 57(4), 635–655. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2006.00129.x>
44. Volker R. Berghahn. (2002). *America and the Intellectual Cold Wars in Europe*. Princeton University Press.
45. Wilson Carey McWilliams, & Lance Banning. (1994). *The lost promise of progressivism*. University Press of Kansas.