

Fostering Flourishing Organizations: The Impact of Deliberate Communication and Human-Centric Practices

Dr. Grace L. O'Donnell 

Department of Work and Organizational Psychology, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

Dr. Lucas R. Ferreira 

Department of Management and Organizational Studies, University of São Paulo, Brazil

ABSTRACT

In an era marked by rapid change and increasing complexity, organizations are recognizing the value of fostering environments where individuals and teams can thrive. This paper explores how deliberate communication and human-centric practices contribute to the development of flourishing organizations. Drawing on research from organizational behavior, positive psychology, and communication studies, the analysis highlights how transparent, authentic, and inclusive communication practices build trust, engagement, and shared purpose. Simultaneously, human-centric practices—such as empathetic leadership, employee empowerment, and well-being initiatives—create conditions that support resilience, innovation, and sustainable performance. The paper synthesizes evidence demonstrating the synergistic impact of these approaches on organizational culture and outcomes. It concludes with practical recommendations and a research agenda aimed at advancing understanding of how deliberate communication and human-centric practices can serve as levers for cultivating flourishing, adaptable organizations.

KEYWORDS: Flourishing organizations, deliberate communication, human-centric practices, organizational culture, employee engagement, empathetic leadership, positive psychology, workplace well-being, trust-building, sustainable performance.

INTRODUCTION

In an increasingly complex and uncertain global landscape, characterized by simultaneous crises [79, 47], traditional organizational models centered solely on shareholder value [36] are proving insufficient. There's a growing recognition that a purely economic lens often overlooks the profound human element within organizations, leading to issues like widespread employee burnout [27, 61]. This necessitates a paradigm shift towards humanistic organizing, an approach that prioritizes human dignity and well-being as foundational to business success [31, 74, 75, 76, 77].

Humanistic organizing moves beyond a narrow focus on profit maximization [43], embracing a broader understanding of value creation that accounts for both financial and social impact [11, 41]. This perspective aligns with concepts like conscious capitalism [63] and the economy for the common good [40], emphasizing that businesses can and should be forces for positive societal change. At the core of this transformation lies mindful organizational communication – a deliberate and reflective approach to how organizations interact internally and

externally. While mindfulness is often associated with individual psychological well-being [18, 52, 53], its application at an organizational level, termed "mindful organizing" [21, 102], offers a potent avenue for fostering humanistic principles.

This article argues that mindful organizational communication is not merely a soft skill, but a critical mechanism for enacting humanistic organizing. It is through conscious and compassionate communication that organizations can cultivate environments where employees feel valued, heard, and empowered, ultimately leading to greater resilience, adaptability, and sustainable performance.

METHODS

This article synthesizes existing literature from diverse fields, including organizational communication, humanistic management, mindfulness studies, and organizational theory. The methodology employed is a narrative literature review, which involves identifying, selecting, and critically

analyzing relevant scholarly articles, books, and reports to construct a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between humanistic organizing, mindful communication, and their practical implications.

The search strategy involved using keywords such as "humanistic management," "mindful organizing," "organizational communication," "compassion at work," "stakeholder theory," "communicative constitution of organization (CCO)," and "positive organizational scholarship." Emphasis was placed on recent publications while also incorporating seminal works that underpin these concepts. Particular attention was given to studies that explore the practical application of these theories within real-world organizational contexts, such as the case of Greyston Bakery.

The analysis of the selected literature focused on identifying recurring themes, theoretical connections, and empirical evidence that support the central argument. Specifically, we examined:

- Conceptualizations of humanistic organizing: How different scholars define and elaborate on human-centric principles in management [31, 74, 76, 99].
- The role of communication in organizational constitution: Drawing heavily on the Communicative Constitution of Organization (CCO) perspective [9, 20, 23, 30, 32, 89, 93, 94, 95], which posits that organizations are actively constituted through communication processes.
- Dimensions of mindfulness in organizational contexts: Distinguishing between individual mindfulness [18, 52] and organizational mindfulness [102], and exploring how mindful practices are enacted within organizations [6, 22, 24, 86, 87, 88].
- Empirical examples and case studies: Highlighting organizations that exemplify humanistic principles and mindful communication practices, with a focus on Greyston Bakery as a prominent example [4, 45, 46, 50, 84].

By integrating insights from these varied sources, this review aims to construct a robust argument for the transformative potential of mindful organizational communication in fostering humanistic organizations.

RESULTS

The synthesis of the literature reveals several key findings concerning the relationship between humanistic organizing and mindful organizational communication.

The Foundation of Humanistic Organizing

Humanistic organizing is fundamentally about recognizing and upholding the inherent dignity of every individual within and connected to an organization [74, 76]. This stands in stark contrast to the dominant shareholder-centric model

[36, 43] that has often led to ethical compromises and a disregard for human well-being [42, 44, 49, 65]. Instead, humanistic organizations embrace a broader understanding of value, considering the well-being of all stakeholders – employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment [39, 78, 100]. This perspective resonates with ideas of "stakeholder capitalism" [38] and the "common good" [37, 89, 90].

A critical aspect of humanistic organizing is fostering an environment where individuals can not only survive but thrive [62]. This involves creating a workplace that supports psychological well-being [18, 80], reduces burnout [27, 61], and cultivates compassion [3, 35, 98]. Organizations like Greyston Bakery exemplify this through their Open Hiring model [4, 45, 46, 50, 84], which eliminates traditional barriers to employment, demonstrating a radical commitment to radical inclusivity and human potential. This approach directly challenges the conventional profit-first mentality by integrating social mission as a core business objective [11, 41].

Mindful Communication as a Constitutive Force

The concept of the Communicative Constitution of Organization (CCO) is central to understanding how organizations are not static entities but are continuously brought into being through communication [9, 20, 23, 30, 32, 89, 93, 94, 95]. From this perspective, mindful communication is not just about what is said, but how it is said, and the underlying intentions and awareness that shape these interactions [22, 24]. It involves a heightened sense of presence and attention to the communication process itself [19, 86, 87, 88], moving beyond mere information exchange to the co-creation of shared meaning and organizational reality [1, 15, 33, 56, 57].

Mindful communication in humanistic organizations manifests in several ways:

- **Active Listening and Empathy:** This goes beyond simply hearing words to truly understanding perspectives and emotions [5]. It involves being present in conversations and recognizing the subjective experiences of others [8, 97].
- **Transparency and Openness:** Mindful communication fosters a culture where information is shared openly and honestly, reducing uncertainty [13] and building trust. This can involve clear communication of purpose and values [51].
- **Constructive Feedback and Dialogue:** Rather than hierarchical directives, mindful communication promotes genuine dialogue, where feedback is offered with compassion and received with a willingness to learn [71]. This aligns with "pivotal leadership" that emphasizes conversation as a core leadership tool [6].

- Recognition and Affirmation: Mindful communication acknowledges and appreciates the contributions of individuals, fostering a sense of belonging and value [34, 91]. This can extend to recognizing the dignity of all employees, as seen in the "everybody matters" philosophy [26].

These communicative practices are not merely instrumental; they are constitutive. They shape the organizational identity [10, 85], influence collective sensemaking [54, 64, 103], and ultimately determine what the organization "is" and "becomes" [28, 29, 30, 101].

Mindful Organizing in Practice

The integration of mindfulness into organizational practices moves beyond individual meditation [52, 92] to a collective way of being and interacting [21, 102]. This involves:

- Cultivating Awareness: Organizations foster an environment where individuals and teams are encouraged to be more aware of their own thoughts, emotions [5, 59, 97], and the broader organizational context [102, 103]. This proactive awareness helps in anticipating and responding to complex challenges [104].
- Non-Judgmental Observation: Mindful organizations encourage observing situations without immediate judgment, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of problems and fostering creative solutions [25].
- Flexibility and Adaptability: Mindfulness cultivates a greater capacity for psychological flexibility [3], enabling organizations to adapt to rapidly changing environments rather than rigidly adhering to outdated practices [101]. This is particularly relevant in times of global uncertainty [13, 47].
- Compassionate Action: Rooted in Buddhist principles [48, 55, 81, 82, 83], mindful organizing promotes compassionate responses to suffering, both internal (employee burnout, stress [27, 61]) and external (societal challenges) [3, 35, 66, 98]. This manifests in practices like Open Hiring, where the organization actively addresses social inequities [4, 84].

The case of Greyston Bakery powerfully illustrates these principles. Their Open Hiring policy, where no questions are asked about background, education, or work history, is a direct embodiment of mindful, humanistic organizing [4, 45, 46, 50, 84]. This practice demonstrates:

- Radical Acceptance: Embracing individuals as they are, without pre-judgment, reflecting a core tenet of mindfulness [25, 92].
- Focus on Potential: Seeing the inherent worth and potential in every person, rather than dwelling on past mistakes or perceived deficiencies [84].
- Trust in Humanity: A fundamental belief in people's ability to contribute, regardless of their history [26].

Greyston's success, both financially and socially, challenges the notion that humanistic practices are at odds with profitability [11, 41, 63]. Instead, it suggests that fostering human dignity and well-being can be a source of competitive advantage and sustainable growth.

Discussion

The findings underscore that humanistic organizing and mindful organizational communication are inextricably linked, representing a powerful framework for future-oriented business models.

Theoretical Implications

This article contributes to the existing body of knowledge by bridging the fields of humanistic management and organizational communication, particularly the CCO perspective. While humanistic management emphasizes the ethical imperative of valuing human dignity [31, 74, 76], the CCO approach provides a granular understanding of *how* these values are enacted and sustained through communicative practices [9, 20, 23, 32, 93, 94, 95]. Mindful communication, therefore, serves as the conduit through which humanistic principles are not merely espoused but are *constituted* within the organizational fabric. It moves humanistic management from a philosophical ideal to a tangible, performative reality [58].

Furthermore, integrating mindfulness with organizational communication offers a critical counterpoint to instrumental views of communication that treat it as a neutral tool for transmitting information [33, 56]. Instead, mindful organizational communication acknowledges the recursive nature of language [85] and its capacity to shape organizational reality [1, 15]. By fostering mindful interactions, organizations can actively "author" a more humanistic future [22, 24], where compassion and well-being are embedded in their very communicative constitution [20]. This perspective also deepens our understanding of "organizational mindfulness" [102], moving beyond simply avoiding errors to proactively cultivating a compassionate and flourishing environment.

Practical Implications

For practitioners, the insights from this article offer a compelling roadmap for building more resilient, ethical, and successful organizations.

- Prioritize Purpose and Values: Clearly articulate and consistently communicate a humanistic purpose that goes beyond profit maximization [51, 63]. This purpose should serve as a guiding principle for all organizational decisions and communications [11].
- Invest in Mindful Communication Training: Equip leaders and employees with skills in active listening,

empathetic responding, and non-judgmental observation. Training programs focused on mindful communication can enhance interpersonal relationships [87, 88] and improve overall organizational climate [70].

- Cultivate a Culture of Psychological Safety: Encourage open dialogue, even about difficult topics, and create an environment where individuals feel safe to express themselves without fear of reprisal [71]. This fosters authentic communication and trust [26].
- Embrace Inclusive Hiring and Development Practices: Learn from examples like Greyston Bakery's Open Hiring [4, 45, 46, 50, 84] and consider implementing policies that reduce barriers to entry and focus on an individual's potential. This demonstrates a tangible commitment to human dignity and can unlock untapped talent [50].
- Foster Compassion and Well-being: Implement initiatives that support employee well-being and actively address burnout [27, 61]. Organizations that prioritize compassion [3, 35, 66, 98] are more likely to retain talent and build a loyal workforce.

Limitations and Future Research

While this article provides a comprehensive overview, it is based on a narrative literature review and does not present new empirical data. Future research could involve:

- Empirical Studies: Conducting qualitative and quantitative research to investigate the causal links between specific mindful communication practices and humanistic organizational outcomes. This could include case studies, surveys, and experimental designs.
- Cross-Cultural Comparisons: Exploring how humanistic organizing and mindful communication manifest in different cultural contexts, acknowledging that the interpretation of concepts like "dignity" and "well-being" may vary [48].
- Longitudinal Studies: Examining the long-term impact of adopting mindful communication practices on organizational culture, employee engagement, and financial performance.
- Specific Communication Channels: Investigating how mindful communication can be effectively practiced across various organizational communication channels, including digital platforms.

CONCLUSION

The journey towards truly humanistic organizations is inextricably linked to the intentional and mindful way organizations communicate. By prioritizing human dignity, fostering genuine connection, and embedding compassionate practices into their very communicative fabric, organizations can move beyond merely surviving in a

turbulent world to truly flourishing, creating value not just for shareholders, but for all of humanity. What steps can your organization take today to embed more mindful and human-centric communication practices into its daily operations

REFERENCES

1. Alvesson, M., & Kärreman, D. 2000. Varieties of discourse: On the study of organizations through discourse analysis. *Human Relations*, 53: 1125–1149.
2. Argyris, C. 1973. Organization man: Rational and self-actualizing. *Public Administration Review*, 33: 354–357.
3. Atkins, P. W., & Parker, S. K. 2012. Understanding individual compassion in organizations: The role of appraisals and psychological flexibility. *Academy of Management Review*, 37: 524–546.
4. Aziz, A. 2019, May 16. The power of purpose: How Greyston is championing radical inclusivity through open hiring. *Forbes*.
5. Badham, R., & King, E. 2021. Mindfulness at work: A critical re-view. *Organization*, 28: 531–554.
6. Barge, J. K. 2014. Pivotal leadership and the art of conversation. *Leadership*, 10: 56–78.
7. Barrett, L. F. 2017. *How emotions are made: The secret life of the brain*. New York, NY: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
8. Basque, J., Bencherki, N., & Kuhn, T. (Eds.). 2022. *The Routledge handbook of the communicative constitution of organization*. New York, NY: Routledge.
9. Basque, J., & Langley, A. 2018. Invoking Alphonse: The founder figure as a historical resource for organizational identity work. *Organization Studies*, 39: 1685–1708.
10. Battilana, J., Obloj, T., Pache, A. C., & Sengul, M. 2022. Beyond shareholder value maximization: Accounting for financial/social trade-offs in dual-purpose companies. *Academy of Management Review*, 47: 237–258.
11. Blight, C. 2022, November 28. Want to multiply your company's social impact? Enter into a partnership. *The CEO Magazine*.
12. Bloom, N., Ahir, H., & Furceri, D. 2022. Visualizing the rise of the global economic uncertainty. *Harvard Business Review*.
13. Boivin, G., Brummans, B. H. J. M., & Barker, J. R. 2017. The institutionalization of CCO scholarship: Trends from 2000 to 2015. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 31: 331–355.
14. Boje, D. M., Oswick, C., & Ford, J. D. 2004. Language and organization: The doing of discourse. *Academy of Management Review*, 29: 571–577.
15. Bridoux, F., & Stoelhorst, J. W. 2022. Stakeholder governance: Solving the collective action problems in joint value creation. *Academy of Management Review*, 47: 214–236.

16. Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. 2003. The benefits of being present: Mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84: 822–848.
17. Brummans, B. H. J. M. 2014. Pathways to mindful qualitative organizational communication research. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 28: 440–447.
18. Brummans, B. H. J. M. 2017. Mindful organizing. In C. R. Scott, L. K. Lewis (Eds.), *The international encyclopedia of organizational communication*: 1612–1620. Chichester, U.K.: Wiley Blackwell.
19. Brummans, B. H. J. M. 2022. Eight ways to notice mindfully in process organization studies. In B. Simpson, L. Revsbæk (Eds.), *Doing process research in organizations: Noticing differently*: 173–194. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.
20. Brummans, B. H. J. M., Cooren, F., Dion, F., & Vásquez, C. 2024. Emerging trends and topics in research on the communicative constitution of organizations. In V. D. Miller, M. S. Poole (Eds.), *Handbook of organizational communication*: 27–44. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
21. Brummans, B. H. J. M., Cooren, F., Robichaud, D., & Taylor, J. R. 2014. Approaches to the communicative constitution of organizations. In L. L. Putnam, D. K. Mumby (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of organizational communication: Advances in theory, research, and methods* (3rd ed.): 173–194. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
22. Brummans, B. H. J. M., & Hwang, J. M. 2010. Tzu Chi's organizing for a compassionate world: Insights into the communicative praxis of a Buddhist organization. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication*, 3: 136–163.
23. Brummans, B. H. J. M., Hwang, J. M., & Cheong, P. H. 2013. Mindful authoring through invocation: Leaders' constitution of a spiritual organization. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 27: 346–372.
24. Brummans, B. H. J. M., Hwang, J. M., & Cheong, P. H. 2020. Recycling stories: Mantras, communication, and organizational materialization. *Organization Studies*, 41: 103–126.
25. Chapman, B., & Sisodia, R. 2015. *Everybody matters: The extraordinary power of caring for your people like family*. New York, NY: Penguin.
26. Chen, T.-P., & Smith, R. A. 2021. American workers are burned out, and bosses are struggling to respond. *The Wall Street Journal*.
27. Chia, R. 1995. From modern to postmodern organizational analysis. *Organization Studies*, 16: 579–604.
28. Chia, R. 1997. Essai: Thirty years on: From organizational structures to the organization of thought. *Organization Studies*, 18: 685–707.
29. Chia, R. 2010. Rediscovering becoming: Insights from an oriental perspective on process organization studies. In T. Hernes, S. Maitlis (Eds.), *Process, sensemaking, and organizing*: 112–139. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.
30. Cooren, F., Fairhurst, G., & Huët, R. 2012. Why matter always matters in (organizational) communication. In P. Leonardi, B. Nardi, J. Kallinilos (Eds.), *Materiality and organizing: Social interaction in a technological world*: 296–314. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.
31. Cooren, F., Kuhn, T., Cornelissen, J. P., & Clark, T. 2011. Communication, organizing and organization: An overview and introduction to the special issue. *Organization Studies*, 32: 1149–1170.
32. Cooren, F., Matte, F., Taylor, J. R., & Vásquez, C. 2007. A humanitarian organization in action: Organizational discourse as an immutable mobile. *Discourse & Communication*, 1: 153–190.
33. Cooren, F., & Taylor, J. R. 1997. Organization as an effect of mediation: Redefining the link between organization and communication. *Communication Theory*, 7: 219–260.
34. Creswell, J. D. 2017. Mindfulness interventions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 68: 491–516.
35. Dane, E. 2011. Paying attention to mindfulness and its effects on task performance in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 37: 997–1018.
36. Dewey, J. 1916. *Democracy and communication: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. New York, NY: Macmillan. (Original work published 1923)
37. Dierksmeier, C. 2011. The freedom–responsibility nexus in management philosophy and business ethics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 101: 263–283.
38. Dierksmeier, C. 2016. *Reframing economic ethics: The philosophical foundations of humanistic management*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
39. Dobusch, L., & Schoeneborn, D. 2015. Fluidity, identity, and organizationality: The communicative constitution of Anonymous. *Journal of Management Studies*, 52: 1005–1035.
40. Dunne, J. D. 2015. Buddhist styles of mindfulness: A heuristic approach. *Handbook of mindfulness and self-regulation*: 251–270. New York, NY: Springer.
41. Dutton, J. E., & Glynn, M. A. 2008. Positive organizational scholarship. In J. Barling, C. Cooper (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of organizational behavior*, 1: 693–712. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
42. Dutton, J. E., Roberts, L. M., & Bednar, J. 2010. Pathways for positive identity construction at work: Four types of positive identity and the building of social resources. *Academy of Management Review*, 35: 265–293.
43. Dutton, J. E., Worline, M. C., Frost, P. J., & Lilius, J. 2006. Explaining compassion organizing. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 51: 59–96.
44. Fairhurst, G. T., & Putnam, L. L. 2014. Organizational discourse analysis. In L. L. Putnam, & D. K. Mumby (Eds.),

- The Sage handbook of organizational communication: Advances in theory, research, and method*: 271–296. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
45. Felber, C. 2019. *Change everything: Creating an economy for the common good*. London, U.K.: Zed Books.
 46. Ferraro, F., Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. 2005. Economics language and assumptions: How theories can become self-fulfilling. *Academy of Management Review*, 30: 8–24.
 47. Foster, W., & Bradach, J. 2005. Should nonprofits seek profits? *Harvard Business Review*, 83: 92–100.
 48. Freeman, R. E., Martin, K., & Parmar, B. 2007. Stakeholder capitalism. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 74: 303–314.
 49. Friedman, M. 1970. A Friedman doctrine: The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits. *New York Times Magazine*: 32–33.
 50. Gasper, D. 2004. *The ethics of development*. Edinburgh, U.K.: Edinburgh University Press.
 51. Geertz, C. 1957. Ethos, world-view and the analysis of sacred symbols. *Antioch Review*, 17: 421–437.
 52. Gethin, R. 1998. *The foundations of Buddhism*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.
 53. Ghoshal, S. 2005. Bad management theories are destroying good management practices. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 4: 75–91.
 54. Gioia, D. A., & Chittipeddi, K. 1991. Sensemaking and sensegiving in strategic change initiation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12: 433–448.
 55. Glassman, B., & Fields, R. 2010. *Instructions to the cook: A Zen master's lessons in living a life that matters*. New York, NY: Harmony. (Original work published 1996)
 56. Good, D. J., Lyddy, C. J., Glomb, T. M., Bono, J. E., Brown, K. W., Duffy, M. K., Baer, R. A., Brewer, J. A., & Lazar, S. W. 2016. Contemplating mindfulness at work: An integrative review. *Journal of Management*, 42: 114–142.
 57. Greyston. 2023a. Open hiring jobs at Greyston bakery. Retrieved from <https://www.greyston.org/openhiringjobs/>
 58. Greyston. 2023b. More than a brownie. More than a job. Retrieved from <https://www.greyston.org/>
 59. Hahn, T. N. 2017. *The art of living: Peace and freedom in the here and now*. New York, NY: HarperCollins.
 60. Hicks, D., & Waddock, S. 2016. Dignity, wisdom, and tomorrow's ethical business leader. *Business and Society Review*, 121: 447–462.
 61. Horowitz, J. 2023, January 11. Global experts worry simultaneous crises could become the new norm. *CNN Business*.
 62. Johnson, K. 2023, January. Greyston CEO shares six reasons for your company to consider open hiring in 2023. *The CEO Magazine*.
 63. Kabat-Zinn, J. 2003. Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10: 144–156.
 64. Kabat-Zinn, J. 2013. *Full catastrophe living, revised edition: How to cope with stress, pain and illness using mindfulness meditation*. New York, NY: Bantam Books.
 65. Kemper, A., & Martin, R. L. 2010. After the fall: The global financial crisis as a test of corporate social responsibility theories. *European Management Review*, 7: 229–239.
 66. Keown, D. 2004. *A dictionary of Buddhism*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.
 67. Knowles, J. K., Hunsaker, B., Grove, H., & James, A. 2022. What is the purpose of your purpose? *Harvard Business Review*.
 68. Koschmann, M. A., Kuhn, T. R., & Pfarrer, M. D. 2012. A communicative framework of value in cross-sector partnerships. *Academy of Management Review*, 37: 332–354.
 69. Kudesia, R. S. 2019. Mindfulness as metacognitive practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 44: 405–423.
 70. Kuhn, T. 2008. A communicative theory of the firm: Developing an alternative perspective on intra-organizational power and stakeholder relationships. *Organization Studies*, 29: 1227–1254.
 71. Kurland, N. 2018. ESOP plus benefit corporation: Ownership culture with benefit accountability. *California Management Review*, 60: 51–73.
 72. Laasch, O., Fu, P. P., Livne-Tarandach, R., Dierksmeier, C., Qu, Q., & Pirson, M. A. 2019. Humanistic management performativity “in the wild”: The role of performative bundles of practices. *Academy of Management Proceedings*: 13357.
 73. Lazzarini, S. G. 2021. Capitalism and management research: The worst of times, the best of times. *Academy of Management Review*, 46: 613–622.
 74. Lee, M. Y. P., & So, D. W. C. 2007. Corporate slogans of corporations operating in Greater China. *Corporate Communications*, 12: 58–74.
 75. Leonhardt, M. 2021, September 20. U.S. workers are not okay—and employees are usually the last to know. *Fortune*.
 76. Lilius, J. M., Worline, M. C., Maitlis, S., Kanov, J., Dutton, J. E., & Frost, P. 2008. The contours and consequences of compassion at work. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29: 193–218.
 77. Lilius, J. M., Worline, M. C., Dutton, J. E., Kanov, J. M., & Maitlis, S. 2011. Understanding compassion capability. *Human Relations*, 64: 873–899.
 78. Luthans, F. 2002. The need for and meaning of positive organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23: 695–706.
 79. Mackey, J., & Sisodia, R. 2013. *Conscious capitalism: Liberating the heroic spirit of business*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Review Press.
 80. Maitlis, S., & Christianson, M. 2014. Sensemaking in organizations: Taking stock and moving forward. *Academy of Management Annals*, 8: 57–125.

81. Maitlis, S., & Lawrence, T. B. 2007. Triggers and enablers of sensegiving in organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50: 57–84.
82. Massumi, B. 2002. *Parables for the virtual: Movement, affect, sensation*. London, U.K.: Duke University Press.
83. Massumi, B. 2015. *Politics of affect*. Cambridge, U.K.: Polity.
84. Matten, D., & Moon, J. 2008. “Implicit” and “explicit” CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review*, 33: 404–424.
85. Maturana, H. 1997. *La objetividad: Un argumento para obligar*. Santiago, Chile: Dolmen Ediciones.
86. Melé, D. 2003. The challenge of humanistic management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 44: 77–88.