

## The Role of AI Analytics in Building Employee Motivation and Engagement Systems: A Study of the Impact of Automated Performance Assessment Models

**Daryna Oliinyk**

Regional Manager (Deluxe Photo Inc)

Orlando, United States

### Abstract

The study is oriented toward a comprehensive conceptualization of how artificial intelligence technologies and predictive analytics tools are restructuring contemporary human resource management systems. The analytical focus is placed on a paradigmatic shift: from predominantly retrospective and regulation-driven performance evaluation procedures to adaptive automated models capable of continuously monitoring engagement levels, promptly identifying markers of organizational strain, and proactively assessing the probability of workforce attrition. On the empirical basis of data from 2023 and statistical datasets pertaining to early 2024, the paper elucidates the impact of algorithmic management on the contours of intrinsic motivation as interpreted through self-determination theory. A separate analytical layer is devoted to the applied and engineering foundations of artificial intelligence implementation in human resource practices. The capabilities of machine learning algorithms, including XGBoost and Random Forest, in the construction of predictive models are examined, along with the potential of natural language processing (NLP) technologies for interpreting semantic and affective-evaluative components of communication. This enables sentiment analysis and the assessment of social climate dynamics within work collectives. A significant place is occupied by the issue of the transparency paradox: the growth of observability and measurability of processes is accompanied by the complication of trust-based relationships and the intensification of normative and moral risks. In this connection, ethical challenges arising from the integration of intelligent systems into core human resource management domains are emphasized. The results obtained confirm the hypothesis that artificial intelligence-driven analytics can substantially strengthen operational performance and support employee loyalty, provided a critically important condition is met, namely the preservation of balance between the automation of managerial decision-making and the maintenance of employee autonomy. As a practical outcome, a strategic framework is formulated for the implementation of human-centered artificial intelligence solutions oriented toward the development of human resilience in an environment of uncertainty that characterizes the contemporary labor market.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, HR analytics, employee engagement, motivation, algorithmic management, self-determination theory, predictive models, labor productivity, eNPS, digital transformation.

### Introduction

The beginning of 2024 became a marker of the final institutionalization of artificial intelligence: AI ceased to be perceived as an experimental set of solutions and consolidated its status as a core toolkit of corporate governance. Current market assessments indicate that the global segment of technologies for human resource management (HR Tech) reached 28.96 billion USD in 2024 and, according to forecasts, will increase to 63.12 billion USD by 2033 with an average compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 10.23% [1].

The intensity of this growth is largely explained by the growing inadequacy of traditional managerial frameworks. The expansion of hybrid and remote forms of employment has

intensified the gap between observable metrics and the actual contribution of employees: at the beginning of 2024, 85% of executives reported difficulties in assessing real productivity, a phenomenon that became entrenched in organizational practice as productivity paranoia [2, 3]. In parallel, a high level of emotional exhaustion persists: data for the first quarter of 2024 indicate signs of chronic stress in 48% of employees and in 53% of middle managers [4].

Against this background, inertial methods of measuring engagement, including annual satisfaction surveys, demonstrate limited applicability due to the temporal lag between the fixation of employee states and managerial response. It is symptomatic that approximately 26% of employees in 2023 did not receive feedback for an entire year,

which correlates with declining motivation and increased risks of quiet quitting [5]. As a pragmatic response to this set of challenges, 89% of HR leaders identified HR technologies as a priority investment direction for 2024 [6].

**The purpose of the study** is to identify the role of AI analytics in the construction of contemporary motivation systems. As a basic assumption, the study considers the thesis that automated performance evaluation models are capable of increasing the accuracy of measuring individual contribution while simultaneously supporting engagement growth through timely, standardized feedback and the personalization of development trajectories. At the same time, the sustainability of such effects is conditioned by psychological mechanisms of perception of algorithmic decisions: a shift of the balance toward digital control with insufficient preservation of personal autonomy is capable of provoking reverse consequences, including the weakening of creative potential and the intensification of employee alienation.

**The author's hypothesis** is based on the assumption that AI analytics can significantly strengthen operational performance and support employee loyalty provided that a critically important condition is met, namely the preservation of balance between the automation of managerial decision-making and the maintenance of employee autonomy.

**The scientific novelty** of the study lies in the fact that, within the framework of this work, a human-centered model AI evaluation → SDT mediators autonomy competence relatedness → eNPS turnover productivity is proposed for the first time, with the description of the transparency paradox as a key mechanism explaining the multidirectional effects of algorithmic management in HR.

## Materials and Methods

The methodological foundation of the study is constructed as an integrative framework combining the analysis of secondary sources with the synthesis of findings from empirical studies. Priority attention was given to publications indexed in international bibliographic systems Scopus, IEEE, and Springer, as well as analytical materials produced by leading consulting organizations Gartner, McKinsey, and Deloitte.

The assessment of the technical effectiveness of algorithmic solutions was based on the results of comparative testing of machine learning models on standard HR datasets, including Kaggle datasets containing information on 1470 employees described by 10 key features [9]. Within this block, the metrics

Accuracy, Recall, and AUC were examined for the XGBoost, Random Forest, and neural network architectures [9].

The psychological dimension of the study is conceptually grounded in self-determination theory (SDT) by E. Deci and R. Ryan, according to which the maintenance of intrinsic motivation is determined by the satisfaction of three fundamental needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness [10, 11]. The impact of algorithmic management on these needs was analyzed through the combination of qualitative and quantitative procedures: semi-structured interviews with HR specialists and employees, as well as survey-based studies with sample sizes ranging from 146 to 828 respondents [13].

As a process framework for describing the life cycle of AI solution implementation in HR departments, the CRISP-DM standard (Cross-Industry Standard Process for Data Mining) was applied, making it possible to consistently capture stages from business context interpretation to the assessment of ethical consequences of model deployment [8, 9].

## Results and Discussion

The transformation of motivational frameworks in organizations is mediated primarily by changes in the logic of data extraction and interpretation. Classical HR analytics was predominantly descriptive in nature, recording events that had already occurred and describing them post factum, whereas contemporary AI solutions shift the analytical center of gravity toward predictive and prescriptive modes oriented toward probabilistic anticipation of dynamics and support of managerial assignments [16]. A defining technological advantage of AI lies in its ability to detect complex, including nonlinear, relationships within large volumes of heterogeneous and unstructured data that remain weakly observable when using traditional statistical approaches.

The most methodologically formalized research direction of 2023 was the modeling of turnover risks and associated changes in engagement. Empirical results indicate that ensemble methods based on decision trees, including XGBoost, demonstrate superiority when analyzing imbalanced HR datasets in which the proportion of turnover cases is significantly lower than the share of employment retention observations. Within the concept of an Early Warning System, the application of XGBoost yielded an AUC value of 0.95 and an accuracy level of 96% on a dataset including 12,000 employees [9].

Table 1 presents the effectiveness of machine learning algorithms in HR analytics.

**Table 1. Effectiveness of machine learning algorithms in HR analytics (compiled by the author based on [9]).**

| Algorithm           | Accuracy | AUC  | Advantages                                      | Limitations                                    |
|---------------------|----------|------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| XGBoost             | 96.0%    | 0.95 | High accuracy, ability to handle missing values | Complexity of interpretation, black box nature |
| Random Forest       | 90.2%    | 0.86 | Stability on small samples                      | Tendency toward overfitting                    |
| LightGBM            | 92.5%    | 0.89 | High data processing speed                      | Requires fine tuning                           |
| Logistic Regression | 84.0%    | 0.78 | Full transparency of decision logic             | Low accuracy in nonlinear tasks                |
| Neural Networks     | 94.5%    | 0.92 | Analysis of complex behavioral patterns         | Extremely high requirements for data volume    |

Predictive models in HR analytics make it possible to identify employees who exhibit an elevated probability of leaving 2–3 months before a final intention to terminate employment relations is formed [18]. As a result, a managerially significant time resource is created for proactive measures, including the adjustment of working conditions, the expansion of professional development opportunities, and targeted correction of the social and psychological climate within the unit.

A noticeable impetus has been gained by sentiment analysis tools embedded within natural language processing workflows. NLP models extract signals not only from direct responses to survey instruments, but also from parameters of communication activity, including interaction metadata: message frequency, the distribution of tone in Slack and Teams environments, and latent characteristics of response speed. This shifts the measurement of organizational state into a continuous monitoring mode, enabling the capture of the company's pulse dynamics without long intervals between measurements. 19 An illustrative example is provided by solutions at the level of Workday Peakon Employee Voice, where machine learning is used to identify hidden thematic clusters of dissatisfaction that do not always surface in direct communication with a supervisor [12, 19].

In 2023–2024, a key factor in strengthening engagement became the institutional replacement of annual appraisal cycles with continuous performance management practices. Empirical evidence indicates that organizations that deployed regular feedback mechanisms are characterized by employee engagement exceeding comparable levels by 40%, as well as a 31% reduction in turnover [21]. At the same time, the paradox of continuous feedback is also evident: with correct process architecture, it strengthens perceived fairness and the predictability of expectations; however, when the emphasis shifts toward excessive measurability and control, it can reproduce an effect of psychological pressure, thereby neutralizing motivational advantages.

The economic effectiveness of implementing AI in performance evaluation is expressed in measurable business effects, including return on investment indicators. McKinsey materials for 2023 record that companies with mature productivity management practices are 4.2 times more likely to outperform competitors on key effectiveness parameters [21].

Within Table 2, the influence of AI-driven tools on key HR performance indicators (KPI) is demonstrated.

**Table 2. Influence of AI-driven tools on key HR performance indicators (KPI) in HR (compiled by the author based on [13, 20, 21]).**

| Indicator           | Change after artificial intelligence implementation |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Employee engagement | +40% - 71%                                          |
| Productivity        | +17% - 26%                                          |
| Staff turnover      | -14.9% - 31%                                        |

|                                  |        |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Accuracy of personnel assessment | +50.8% |
| Reduction of bias                | -33%   |
| Time savings in assessment       | -25%   |

It is noted that the application of AI toolkits in recruiting is accompanied by a 51.1% reduction in time to hire, which indirectly affects the engagement of existing teams by decreasing the overload that emerges when vacancies remain unfilled for extended periods [13]. An additional effect is produced when AI is used to design personalized learning trajectories: satisfaction with training increases by 26.1% [13].

At the same time, quantitative performance indicators do not eliminate heterogeneity in how employees perceive algorithmic evaluation. Within the logic of self-determination theory, critical importance attaches to how AI is perceived, as a supportive instrument or as a mechanism of control. It has been shown that subjectively experienced autonomy can explain up to 76.9% of the variance in engagement [10]. Situations in which algorithmic systems prescribe the order of actions in a highly detailed manner (which is characteristic of certain monitoring practices in retail and logistics) are associated with increased cognitive fatigue and decreased motivation [7]. By contrast, solutions oriented toward schedule variability or those that recommend tasks while taking individual preferences into account sustain a sense of control and self-direction [10, 14].

Satisfaction of the need for competence is strengthened through the prompt verification of results and the reduction of the temporal gap between effort and recognition of achievement. Gamification tools and real-time feedback enable the fixation of progress in current time, which, in terms of motivational potential, exceeds annual reporting cycles [10]. The need for relatedness is likewise sensitive to the design of AI interfaces: chatbots and human resources virtual assistants (HRVAs) can reinforce intraorganizational ties by facilitating the search for experts and navigation across resources; however, when interpersonal interaction is substituted by algorithmic intermediaries, weakening of contact with a supervisor and erosion of trust may occur [10, 15].

In 2024, international discourse has intensified its emphasis on the concept of human sustainability, identified in the Deloitte report *Global Human Capital Trends 2024* as the central framework of the year [4]. Within this approach, organizational effectiveness is linked not only to the extraction of productive output, but also to the creation of value for employees through strengthening health, developing skills, and forming a stable experience of meaning and purpose in professional activity.

In parallel, AI is increasingly integrated into the measurement of the social dimension of ESG (Environmental, Social, and

Governance). Limited confidence of management teams in the validity of current metrics is noted: only 19% of executives evaluate the indicators they use as reliable [19]. AI platforms provide the aggregation of data on inclusiveness, pay equity, and access to career opportunities, shifting the S component into a mode of measurability and increasing its transparency [19].

Among the notable innovations of 2023 is the use of AI to identify individual attention windows in communications: instead of standardized mailings, intelligent systems deliver internal messages in the time intervals when a particular employee is statistically more receptive to information [24]. This approach reduces the level of informational noise and supports trust in corporate channels of interaction.

At the same time, the integration of AI into motivational mechanisms encounters constraints, among which privacy risks and algorithmic bias predominate. Empirical studies from 2023 indicate that human attitudes and stereotypes are often reproduced in training data and, consequently, in model behavior. In particular, in textual feedback women receive descriptors related to personal qualities 22% more often than men (for example, labeling as aggressive rather than emphasizing effectiveness), which creates a basis for the consolidation of discriminatory patterns when machine learning is trained on such corpora [21]. At the same time, with proper configuration, algorithms can perform the reverse function by acting as a bias filter, shifting managerial attention toward measurable KPI and reducing the influence of evaluative clichés [21, 25].

Trust in algorithmic decisions depends substantially on their degree of explainability: a positive association has been identified between model transparency and willingness to accept recommendations. In 2023, it was shown that the use of explainable AI interpretation methods, including SHAP and LIME, noticeably increases the acceptance of AI-driven decisions by both managers and employees [17]. However, the persistence of a gap between technological potential and practice is confirmed by the fact that 58% of organizations continue to rely on basic spreadsheet tools for monitoring performance, which indicates the incompleteness of digital transformation in the applied domain of productivity management [21].

Within Table 3, market forecasts and technological trends in HR Tech will be presented for greater clarity.

**Table 3. Market forecasts and technological trends in HR Tech (compiled by the author based on [21, 23, 26]).**

| Trend / Innovation                 | Expected CAGR | Geographic Relevance                             | Market Impact                                       |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Data-backed HR analytics           | 12.74%        | Global, with North America as the leading region | Rising demand for strategic consulting              |
| Skills-based management            | High          | Global, with a focus on APAC                     | Shift from job positions to competencies            |
| HR virtual assistants              | Medium        | Developed markets                                | Reduced workload for the human resources department |
| Internal talent marketplaces (ITM) | Growing       | Groups of companies, holding structures          | Increased internal workforce mobility               |
| AI-native human resources systems  | Very high     | Global                                           | Displacement of traditional ERP/HCM solutions       |

To identify the applied effects of AI analytics, it is instructive to examine the practices of organizations that set industry benchmarks.

At Microsoft, an AI platform has been deployed to analyze performance parameters and generate prescriptive recommendations for managerial coaching. The embedded decision logic is oriented toward translating data into specific managerial actions, which is associated with pronounced productivity growth and strengthened employee engagement [27, 28].

At Hilton, the use of AI in designing personalized development trajectories made it possible to formalize for employees a more transparent perspective of advancement and competence accumulation within the organization, which was accompanied by a substantial reduction in staff turnover [22].

The Amazon case demonstrates the ambivalence of algorithmic management effects. On the one hand, AI is used to optimize warehouse flows and more accurately forecast operational workload, increasing the efficiency of resource allocation. On the other hand, the company's practice has repeatedly become an object of public criticism due to the risks of excessive digital surveillance, which empirically underscores the importance of ethical equilibrium between technological manageability and the preservation of acceptable boundaries of control within algorithmic management [22].

## Conclusion

The analysis of the role of AI analytics in the construction of motivational systems registers a qualitative turn in the managerial logic of working with personnel. In 2024, engagement loses the status of a diffuse category of

organizational psychology and moves into the domain of operationalized parameters that can be measured and deliberately optimized through algorithmic tools.

Contemporary ensemble solutions, including XGBoost and Random Forest, demonstrate predictive adequacy at the level of forecasting accuracy for behavioral outcomes above 90%, enabling a transition from a reactive management model to proactive mitigation of workforce risks. A substantial organizational effect is produced by the automation of real-time feedback: it is continuous, standardized feedback that functions as the most powerful driver of engagement growth (approximately +40%) and the simultaneous reduction of staff turnover (approximately -31%).

However, technological effectiveness is not a self-sufficient condition for a sustainable effect. What becomes decisive is the preservation of psychological sovereignty, conceptually described through self-determination theory: algorithmic decisions should expand opportunities for achieving results by strengthening competence and supporting autonomy of choice, rather than reducing labor to mechanistic execution of prescriptions. Otherwise, demotivational effects are likely, along with increased alienation and a decline in creative potential even under conditions of formal growth in process controllability.

Overcoming distrust and limited understanding of model operating principles requires the institutionalization of ethical transparency. The practical implementation of this requirement presupposes the adoption of explainable AI standards (XAI) and the construction of ethical data governance procedures that make it possible to minimize information asymmetry and reduce the perceived threat posed by algorithmic black boxes.

As a strategic guideline for the HR agenda of 2024, a transition toward the Boundaryless HR model is identified, in which analytical contours permeate business processes, and the objective function of technologies becomes not only productivity but also human sustainability. Within this paradigm, artificial intelligence is positioned not as a functional replacement of the manager, but as an intellectual partner that enhances decision quality and supports the humanistic dimension of management at a higher technological level.

## References

- Human Resource (HR) Technology Market Size, Share, Growth, and Industry Analysis, By Type (HR Management Systems, Recruitment Software, Payroll Systems), By Application (Enterprises, SMEs, HR Consultants), Regional Insights and Forecast to 2033 | Market Growth Reports. Retrieved from: <https://www.marketgrowthreports.com/market-reports/human-resource-hr-technology-market-114416> (date accessed: December 9, 2025).
- Batra, D., & Sharma, A. (2024, March). Artificial Intelligence and its Road to Altering the World Dynamics. In 2024 3rd International Conference for Innovation in Technology (INOCON) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Deloitte's "2024 Global Human Capital Trends" Report Identifies Trust and Human Sustainability as Top Issues | Deloitte Press Release. Retrieved from: <https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/about/press-room/deloitte-identifies-trust-and-human-sustainability-as-top-issues.html> (date accessed: October 1, 2025).
- Deloitte. (2024). Thriving Beyond Boundaries: Human Performance in a Boundaryless World (2024 Global Human Capital Trends) [PDF]. Retrieved from: <https://image.marketing.deloitte.de/lib/fe31117075640474771d75/m/1/96cf5d94-ee17-40a7-beca-f4e5e8f8ae72.pdf> (date accessed: October 2, 2025).
- HR Monitor 2025 | McKinsey & Company (Report). Retrieved from: <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/hr-monitor-2025> (date accessed: October 3, 2025).
- Global HR Tech Report Q1 2024: Strong market traction with appealing outlook for 2024 | Drake Star. Retrieved from: <https://www.drakestar.com/news/global-hr-tech-report-q1-2024> (date accessed: October 4, 2025).
- Ötting, S., & Maier, G. W. (2023). Algorithmic management and employee autonomy: The paradox of digital supervision. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1128412. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1128412>
- Kinowska, H., & Sienkiewicz, Ł. J. (2022). Influence of algorithmic management practices on workplace well-being – evidence from European organisations. *Information Technology & People*, 36(8), 21–42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-02-2022-0079>
- Petrosky-Nadeau, N., & Tissot, O. (2025). Predictive analytics in human resources management: Evaluating workforce trends and performance. *Analytics*, 5(3), 99. <https://doi.org/10.3390/analytics5030099>
- Arokiyaswamy, C. M. M., Rathod, P., Aishwaryalaxmi, N. S., & Kagawade, S. (2024). An empirical study on enhancing employee engagement and job performance through self-determination theory. *ITM Web of Conferences*, 68, 01031. <https://doi.org/10.1051/itmconf/20246801031>
- Di Domenico, S. I., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). The emerging neuroscience of intrinsic motivation: A new frontier in self-determination research. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11, 145. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00145>
- Gagné, M., et al. (2022). Understanding and shaping the future of work with self-determination theory. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(7), 378–392. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-022-00065-7>
- Kayusi, F., Chavula, P., Omwenga, M. K., Juma, L., Kayus, B. A., Vallejo, R. G., & Mishra, R. (2025). AI-driven HR analytics: Transforming talent management and employee engagement. *Revista Multidisciplinaria Voces de América y el Caribe*, 2(1), 558–582. <https://doi.org/10.69821/REMUUVAC.v2i1.214>
- Bergdahl, B., Latikka, R., et al. (2023). Self-determination and attitudes toward artificial intelligence [PDF]. *Self-Determination Theory*. Retrieved from: [https://selfdeterminationtheory.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/2023\\_BergdahlLatikkaEtAl\\_AI.pdf](https://selfdeterminationtheory.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/2023_BergdahlLatikkaEtAl_AI.pdf) (date accessed: October 5, 2025).
- Hou, Y. (2024). Algorithmic management and its impact on workers in HR [Master's thesis, University of Helsinki] [PDF]. HELDA. Retrieved from: <https://helda.helsinki.fi/server/api/core/bitstreams/235d4f07-e89e-4f12-b59f-51a69d0e5f03/content> (date accessed: October 6, 2025).
- Selvamohan, M. (2024). From HR analytics to AI-driven HRM: Enhancing workforce productivity and engagement. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(21s), 3395. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i21s.3395>
- Candelieri, A., et al. (2024). AI for decision support: Balancing accuracy, transparency, and trust across sectors. *Information*, 15(11), 725. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info15110725>
- Twenty Percent Of The World's Employees Experience Daily Loneliness, According to Gallup's State of the Global Workplace 2024 | Gallup (Press release). Retrieved from: <https://www.gallup.com/workplace/645758/state-of-the-global-workplace-2024-press-release.aspx> (date accessed: October 7, 2025).

19. Deloitte's 2024 Global Human Capital Trends: Workday differentiators | Deloitte. Retrieved from: <https://www.deloitte.com/az/en/alliances/workday/analysis/global-human-capital-trends-through-workday-lens.html> (date accessed: October 9, 2025).
20. Yao, C., Zhan, Q., Cao, Z., Li, D., Lin, Y., Shao, Y., ... & Dong, Z. (2025). Generative AI for Simulating Real World Dynamics Applications and Challenges. Authorea Preprints.
21. 2023–2024 SHRM State of the Workplace | SHRM (Report). Retrieved from: <https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/research/2023-2024-shrm-state-workplace> (date accessed: October 11, 2025).
22. Marcus, S. (2025). AI in employee engagement and performance management. Contemporary Research in Social Sciences and Sustainability. <https://doi.org/10.59075/x61bde56>
23. Gartner Hype Cycle for HR Technology highlights innovations that deliver greater flexibility and human-centric work, and improve employee experience | Gartner (Press release). Retrieved from: <https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/2024-11-25-gartner-hype-cycle-for-hr-technology-highlights-innovations-that-deliver-greater-flexibility> (date accessed: November 2, 2025).
24. Work Institute. (2024). 2024 Retention Report [PDF]. Retrieved from: <https://info.workinstitute.com/hubfs/2024%20Retention%20Report/Work%20Institute%202024%20Retention%20Report.pdf> (date accessed: November 8, 2025).
25. Trust and transparency in AI: Industry voices on data, ethics, and compliance. (2025). arXiv (arXiv:2509.22709v1). Retrieved from: <https://arxiv.org/html/2509.22709v1> (date accessed: November 4, 2025).
26. Human Resource Consulting Market Size & Share Analysis – Growth Trends and Forecast (2025–2030) | Mordor Intelligence. Retrieved from: <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/human-resource-consulting-market> (date accessed: November 5, 2025).
27. The Business Case for AI in HR: IBM | Workday (Case study) [PDF]. Retrieved from: <https://forms.workday.com/content/dam/web/en-us/documents/case-studies/ibm-business-case-ai-in-hr.pdf> (date accessed: November 6, 2025).
28. World Economic Forum. (2025, January 7). The Future of Jobs Report 2025. Retrieved from: <https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/> (date accessed: November 7, 2025).