Frontiers in Strategic Management (Open Access)

Volume 03, Issue 05, May 2026,
Publish Date: 01-05-2026
Page No.01-09

Strategic Cost Leadership Framework And Its Influence On The Operational Performance Of Small And Medium Enterprises In Nigeria

Dr. Emmanuel Habimana
Department of Organizational Strategy
Kigali Institute of Business Research
Kigali, Rwanda

Dr. Diane Mukamana
Faculty of Strategic Leadership and Governance
East African Management University
Butare, Rwanda

ABSTRACT

The increasing intensity of competition within emerging economies has compelled small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to adopt strategic management approaches capable of sustaining operational efficiency and long-term competitiveness. Among these approaches, cost leadership strategy has emerged as a dominant framework for enhancing productivity, market penetration, and profitability. This study examines the influence of strategic cost leadership on the operational performance of SMEs in Nigeria by synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical studies related to competitive strategy, operational efficiency, and organizational performance. The paper adopts a research and review journal approach through a comprehensive analysis of prior studies on strategic management, resource utilization, competitive positioning, and business performance. The analysis reveals that SMEs implementing structured cost leadership mechanisms experience improvements in operational efficiency, customer retention, production optimization, and financial sustainability. The study further identifies the significance of organizational resources, process innovation, quality management, and strategic alignment in strengthening the effectiveness of cost leadership systems. The findings indicate that cost leadership strategies contribute significantly to operational performance when supported by managerial competence, technology adoption, and resource optimization. However, the implementation process is constrained by infrastructural limitations, inadequate managerial capabilities, and unstable market conditions within developing economies. The paper contributes to strategic management literature by proposing a strategic cost leadership framework tailored to Nigerian SMEs while integrating insights from competitive advantage theory and organizational performance models. The study concludes that SMEs capable of balancing operational cost reduction with product quality and innovation are more likely to achieve sustainable performance outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Cost Leadership Strategy, Small and Medium Enterprises, Operational Performance, Competitive Advantage, Strategic Management, Organizational Performance, Nigeria, Resource-Based View, Process Innovation, Business Sustainability

INTRODUCTION

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) play a critical role in economic development through employment generation, industrial diversification, poverty reduction, and innovation enhancement. In developing economies such as Nigeria, SMEs constitute a substantial proportion of business organizations and contribute significantly to national economic growth. Despite their importance, many Nigerian SMEs face persistent operational challenges associated with high production costs, limited access to finance, infrastructural deficiencies, weak strategic planning, and increasing market competition. These challenges have intensified the need for strategic frameworks capable of enhancing operational performance while maintaining market competitiveness.

Cost leadership strategy has become one of the most significant competitive approaches used by firms seeking to achieve operational efficiency and market dominance. Porter’s competitive strategy framework emphasizes cost leadership as a strategic mechanism through which organizations minimize operational expenses while offering products and services at competitive prices (Porter, 1985). The strategy focuses on improving resource utilization, process efficiency, supply chain optimization, and cost control measures that collectively enhance organizational performance.

The relevance of cost leadership strategy has expanded significantly within emerging markets where SMEs operate under financial and infrastructural constraints. Nigerian SMEs frequently encounter unstable economic conditions, fluctuating exchange rates, high transportation costs, and limited technological infrastructure. Consequently, organizations increasingly seek sustainable operational frameworks capable of reducing costs without compromising quality or customer satisfaction. Studies examining competitive strategy and firm performance suggest that strategic positioning significantly influences organizational outcomes (Dess & Davis, 1984; Andrews, Boyne, & Walker, 2006).

The theoretical foundation of cost leadership strategy is strongly connected to the resource-based view of the firm, which emphasizes the importance of valuable, rare, and efficient organizational resources in generating competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). According to the resource-based perspective, firms capable of utilizing internal resources more efficiently than competitors are better positioned to achieve superior operational performance. This theoretical position is particularly relevant for SMEs that often operate under resource limitations and therefore require strategic optimization of available assets.

The operational performance of SMEs extends beyond financial profitability to include production efficiency, customer satisfaction, process optimization, market responsiveness, employee productivity, and long-term sustainability. Kaplan and Norton (1996) argue that strategic management systems should incorporate balanced performance indicators that reflect both financial and operational dimensions. Consequently, cost leadership strategy must be evaluated not only in terms of cost reduction but also through its influence on operational effectiveness and organizational sustainability.

Several empirical studies have explored the relationship between cost leadership strategy and organizational performance across different industries and countries. Kharub, Mor, and Sharma (2019) found that quality management mediates the relationship between cost leadership and firm performance, indicating that operational efficiency alone may not guarantee sustained competitiveness. Similarly, Hilman and Kaliappen (2014) reported that process innovation strengthens the effectiveness of cost leadership strategy within the hospitality industry. These findings suggest that successful implementation of cost leadership requires strategic integration with innovation, quality management, and operational systems.

In Nigeria, SMEs continue to struggle with strategic implementation due to inadequate managerial expertise, weak technological adoption, and inconsistent policy environments. Although prior studies have examined strategic management and firm performance in various contexts, limited attention has been given to the development of a structured cost leadership framework specifically tailored to Nigerian SMEs. Existing studies often focus on general competitive strategies without addressing the operational mechanisms through which cost leadership enhances SME performance.

The primary objective of this study is therefore to examine the influence of strategic cost leadership on the operational performance of SMEs in Nigeria while identifying the factors that strengthen or weaken its implementation effectiveness. The study also aims to provide a theoretical and analytical framework capable of guiding SMEs toward sustainable operational competitiveness.

The significance of the study lies in its contribution to strategic management literature and SME development policy. By synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical evidence, the paper provides practical insights into how Nigerian SMEs can improve operational performance through cost optimization, strategic resource utilization, and process innovation. The study also contributes to policy discussions regarding SME competitiveness, industrial development, and economic sustainability within emerging economies.

2. Literature Review

Conceptual Foundation of Cost Leadership Strategy

Cost leadership strategy refers to an organizational approach aimed at achieving the lowest operational costs within an industry while maintaining acceptable product quality and customer value. Porter (1985) identified cost leadership as one of the three generic competitive strategies that organizations can use to achieve competitive advantage. The strategy involves operational efficiency, economies of scale, efficient supply chain management, process standardization, and cost minimization.

Dess and Davis (1984) examined Porter's generic strategies and established that firms adopting structured strategic positioning demonstrate stronger organizational performance outcomes than firms lacking clear strategic direction. Their findings reinforced the argument that strategic consistency plays a critical role in operational effectiveness and market competitiveness.

Allen and Helms (2006) further emphasized that strategic practices aligned with cost leadership improve organizational performance through enhanced managerial coordination and operational efficiency. The authors argued that organizations capable of integrating strategic management practices into operational systems are more likely to achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

Cost leadership strategy is not limited to price reduction but extends to organizational capability development, resource efficiency, and process optimization. Hofer and Schendel (1978) noted that strategic formulation requires alignment between organizational resources and environmental conditions. This perspective suggests that cost leadership effectiveness depends on strategic adaptability and operational integration.

Resource-Based View and Competitive Advantage

The resource-based theory developed by Barney (1991) provides a foundational explanation for the relationship between organizational resources and competitive performance. Barney (1991) argued that firms achieve sustained competitive advantage when they possess valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources. This perspective is particularly relevant for SMEs because resource limitations often necessitate strategic optimization and efficient operational management.

Barney (1991) further emphasized that competitive advantage emerges from organizational capabilities rather than external market positioning alone. SMEs capable of utilizing internal resources efficiently are therefore more likely to achieve operational sustainability despite external environmental uncertainties.

The resource-based perspective complements Porter's cost leadership model by explaining how firms develop internal efficiencies that support operational competitiveness. Barney (1991) maintained that organizational capabilities such as managerial expertise, technological competence, and process efficiency significantly influence long-term performance outcomes.

Several empirical studies support the relevance of the resource-based view in strategic management research. Andrews, Boyne, and Walker (2006) found that organizational strategy content directly affects performance outcomes, indicating that strategic capabilities influence operational success. Similarly, Teece (2010) argued that business models and strategic innovation determine organizational competitiveness within dynamic market environments.

Cost Leadership and Organizational Performance

Organizational performance represents a multidimensional construct involving productivity, profitability, efficiency, customer satisfaction, innovation capability, and sustainability. Kaplan and Norton (1996) introduced the balanced scorecard framework to demonstrate that organizational performance should be evaluated using both financial and non-financial indicators.

Research examining the relationship between cost leadership and performance has produced substantial empirical evidence supporting the effectiveness of strategic cost management. Ali and Zehir (2016) found that cost leadership strategy positively influences financial performance when integrated with total quality management practices. Their findings suggest that cost efficiency alone is insufficient unless accompanied by quality enhancement mechanisms.

Kharub, Mor, and Sharma (2019) investigated the mediating role of quality management in the relationship between cost leadership and firm performance. The study concluded that organizations implementing cost leadership alongside quality management systems achieve stronger operational outcomes and customer satisfaction levels.

Williams, D'Souza, Rosenfeldt, and Kassaee (1995) examined the relationship between manufacturing strategy and business performance and found that strategic operational alignment significantly improves firm competitiveness. Their findings demonstrate the importance of integrating operational systems with broader strategic objectives.

Teeratansirikool, Siengthai, Badir, and Charoenngam (2013) also identified performance measurement systems as important mediators between competitive strategy and organizational performance. According to the study, firms implementing structured performance evaluation systems are better positioned to monitor operational efficiency and strategic effectiveness.

Strategic Cost Leadership in SMEs

SMEs face unique operational challenges that differentiate them from large corporations. These challenges include limited financial resources, inadequate infrastructure, technological constraints, and managerial inefficiencies. Consequently, strategic cost leadership within SMEs often requires adaptive operational frameworks capable of maximizing efficiency under constrained conditions.

Sarker and Palit (2015) emphasized that strategic orientation significantly influences SME performance within developing economies. The study found that SMEs adopting proactive strategic approaches demonstrate stronger operational resilience and market adaptability.

Rita, Israel, and Oladimeji (2023) specifically examined the effects of cost leadership strategy on SME performance in Nigeria. Their findings indicated that cost leadership contributes positively to operational and financial performance through improved resource utilization and market competitiveness. However, the study also highlighted the importance of managerial competence and strategic implementation capacity.

Consolata, Martin, John, Muranga, and Kinoti (2020) reported that cost strategy significantly influences firm performance by improving operational efficiency and reducing production costs. Similarly, Kimiti (2020) examined the relationship between cost leadership and organizational performance within Kenyan milk processing firms and concluded that strategic cost management positively affects operational sustainability.

Njuguna and Waithaka (2020) investigated cost leadership strategy within insurance companies and found that operational efficiency and cost reduction mechanisms improve organizational performance and customer retention.

Process Innovation and Operational Efficiency

Process innovation represents an important component of cost leadership implementation because it enhances efficiency while reducing operational waste. Hilman and Kaliappen (2014) argued that process innovation strengthens organizational performance by improving service delivery and operational productivity.

Hilman and Mohamed (2011) further emphasized the importance of sourcing strategies in organizational performance. Their study revealed that strategic sourcing enhances operational efficiency and supports competitive positioning.

Marques, Lisboa, Zimmerer, and Yasin (2000) found that dominant firms within competitive industries achieve success through strategic operational coordination and cost management systems. Their findings reinforce the argument that process optimization and operational efficiency are essential components of sustainable competitiveness.

Danbauchi and Ishaku Maryam (2020) demonstrated that differentiation strategy influences sales growth, suggesting that cost leadership should not completely eliminate innovation or product uniqueness. Effective strategic management therefore requires balancing cost efficiency with value creation.

Research Gap

Although extensive literature exists on competitive strategy and organizational performance, several research gaps remain evident. First, many studies focus broadly on competitive strategies without specifically examining operational mechanisms associated with cost leadership implementation in SMEs. Second, limited research has developed integrated strategic frameworks tailored to the operational realities of Nigerian SMEs. Third, previous studies often examine financial performance while neglecting operational dimensions such as productivity, process efficiency, and organizational adaptability.

Another major limitation within existing literature involves insufficient integration of resource-based theory with operational cost leadership frameworks. Barney (1991) highlighted the importance of internal resources in sustaining competitive advantage, yet many empirical studies fail to explain how SMEs can strategically utilize limited resources to achieve operational competitiveness.

This study addresses these gaps by developing an integrated strategic cost leadership framework focused specifically on operational performance within Nigerian SMEs. The study combines theoretical insights from competitive advantage theory, strategic management literature, and operational performance models to provide a more comprehensive analytical perspective.

3. Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research and review journal approach based on analytical synthesis of existing literature related to strategic cost leadership and operational performance. The methodology focuses on conceptual integration and comparative evaluation of existing theories and empirical findings within strategic management and SME performance research.

The review-based methodology is appropriate because the study seeks to examine theoretical relationships, identify strategic patterns, and develop an integrated framework for cost leadership implementation within Nigerian SMEs. The approach enables comprehensive evaluation of strategic management concepts without relying on primary quantitative data.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of the study integrates Porter's competitive strategy model with the resource-based view developed by Barney (1991). Porter's framework explains how firms achieve competitive advantage through cost leadership strategies, while Barney (1991) provides insight into how internal organizational resources contribute to sustained operational performance.

Barney (1991) argued that organizational resources become sources of sustained competitive advantage when they possess characteristics such as value, rarity, inimitability, and strategic organization. Within the context of Nigerian SMEs, strategic resources include managerial competence, technological capability, operational systems, workforce efficiency, supplier relationships, and process innovation.

The integrated framework proposed in this study suggests that cost leadership effectiveness depends on the interaction between operational efficiency mechanisms and strategic resource management. SMEs capable of aligning internal capabilities with cost reduction objectives are more likely to achieve sustainable operational performance.

Components of the Strategic Cost Leadership Framework

Operational Cost Optimization

Operational cost optimization involves systematic reduction of unnecessary expenditures while maintaining productivity and quality standards. SMEs can achieve operational cost optimization through inventory management, process automation, energy efficiency, strategic sourcing, and workforce productivity enhancement.

Hilman and Mohamed (2011) emphasized that sourcing strategies significantly influence organizational performance because efficient supplier management reduces procurement costs and operational delays. Strategic sourcing therefore becomes an essential component of operational cost leadership.

Operational cost optimization also requires effective resource allocation. Barney (1991) argued that efficient resource utilization contributes to sustained competitive advantage because firms capable of maximizing limited resources achieve stronger operational outcomes.

Process Innovation and Efficiency

Process innovation refers to the introduction of improved operational systems capable of enhancing productivity and reducing waste. Hilman and Kaliappen (2014) demonstrated that process innovation strengthens the effectiveness of cost leadership strategies by improving organizational efficiency.

Within Nigerian SMEs, process innovation may involve digital accounting systems, automated inventory management, online customer engagement, and streamlined production processes. Such innovations reduce operational redundancies and improve productivity.

Teece (2010) argued that strategic innovation contributes significantly to business sustainability because organizations operating within dynamic environments must continuously adapt operational systems to changing market conditions.

Quality Management Integration

One major challenge associated with cost leadership implementation involves maintaining quality standards while reducing operational costs. Ali and Zehir (2016) found that total quality management significantly strengthens the relationship between cost leadership and financial performance.

Kharub, Mor, and Sharma (2019) also established that quality management mediates the effectiveness of cost leadership strategies. Organizations focusing exclusively on cost reduction without maintaining quality standards risk customer dissatisfaction and reduced competitiveness.

Consequently, the proposed framework emphasizes balanced strategic implementation where operational efficiency is integrated with quality assurance mechanisms.

Performance Measurement Systems

Kaplan and Norton (1996) emphasized that strategic management systems require balanced performance evaluation frameworks. Operational performance should therefore be measured using indicators such as productivity, customer satisfaction, operational efficiency, market responsiveness, employee performance, and profitability.

Teeratansirikool et al. (2013) argued that performance measurement systems strengthen strategic implementation because they enable organizations to monitor operational outcomes and strategic alignment.

Within Nigerian SMEs, performance measurement systems remain underdeveloped due to limited managerial expertise and technological constraints. The proposed framework therefore recommends simplified performance evaluation systems tailored to SME operational capacities.

Strategic Implementation Mechanisms

The implementation of cost leadership strategy within SMEs requires coordinated managerial processes and organizational commitment. Strategic implementation mechanisms include:

1. Resource allocation efficiency
2. Process standardization
3. Supply chain coordination
4. Employee productivity enhancement
5. Technological integration
6. Cost monitoring systems
7. Customer value management

These mechanisms collectively contribute to operational efficiency and long-term competitiveness.

Application to Nigerian SMEs

The Nigerian business environment presents several operational challenges including unreliable infrastructure, inflationary pressures, limited financing opportunities, and policy instability. Consequently, SMEs require adaptive strategic frameworks capable of minimizing operational vulnerabilities.

The proposed strategic cost leadership framework emphasizes flexible operational systems that allow SMEs to respond effectively to environmental uncertainties. Barney (1991) argued that adaptable organizational capabilities contribute significantly to sustained competitive advantage because firms operating within dynamic environments require continuous strategic adjustment.

Nigerian SMEs can apply the framework by integrating operational cost control with innovation, quality management, and performance evaluation. This integrated approach improves operational resilience and market competitiveness.

Limitations of the Framework

Although cost leadership strategy offers significant operational benefits, several limitations affect its implementation within SMEs. Excessive cost reduction may compromise product quality, employee morale, and innovation capability. Additionally, SMEs operating within highly volatile environments may experience difficulties sustaining operational efficiencies due to inflationary pressures and infrastructural constraints.

Another limitation involves managerial capability gaps. Effective cost leadership implementation requires strategic planning expertise, financial management competence, and operational coordination. SMEs lacking these capabilities may struggle to achieve sustainable operational performance.

4. Results / Findings

The analytical review revealed that strategic cost leadership exerts a substantial positive influence on the operational performance of SMEs. Organizations implementing structured cost reduction mechanisms demonstrate improved operational efficiency, higher productivity levels, stronger market competitiveness, and enhanced customer retention.

The findings indicate that process innovation significantly strengthens cost leadership effectiveness. Studies by Hilman and Kaliappen (2014) and Teece (2010) demonstrated that organizations integrating operational innovation with cost management achieve better performance outcomes than firms relying solely on expenditure reduction.

The review also established that resource optimization remains central to operational success. Barney (1991) emphasized that efficient utilization of organizational resources contributes directly to sustained competitive advantage. SMEs capable of maximizing workforce productivity, technological resources, and operational systems are more likely to maintain competitiveness under challenging economic conditions.

Another major finding involves the mediating role of quality management. Ali and Zehir (2016) and Kharub, Mor, and Sharma (2019) found that quality management systems significantly improve the effectiveness of cost leadership strategies. SMEs maintaining product quality while reducing operational costs experience stronger customer loyalty and market sustainability.

The findings further reveal that performance measurement systems enhance strategic implementation effectiveness. Kaplan and Norton (1996) and Teeratansirikool et al. (2013) demonstrated that organizations using structured performance indicators are better able to monitor operational efficiency and strategic alignment.

However, the review identified several implementation challenges within Nigerian SMEs. These challenges include infrastructural deficiencies, unstable economic conditions, managerial capability limitations, and restricted access to financing. Such constraints reduce the effectiveness of cost leadership initiatives despite their theoretical benefits.

The analysis additionally revealed that excessive cost reduction without strategic balance may negatively affect innovation capability and customer satisfaction. Consequently, sustainable operational performance requires integration of cost efficiency with process innovation, quality management, and strategic adaptability.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study reinforce the strategic importance of cost leadership within SME operational management. The positive relationship between cost leadership and operational performance supports Porter's argument that firms achieving operational efficiency can sustain market competitiveness through lower production and service delivery costs.

The study also validates the relevance of the resource-based perspective developed by Barney (1991). Barney (1991) argued that internal organizational resources determine sustained competitive advantage, and the findings demonstrate that SMEs with stronger managerial capabilities, process systems, and resource optimization mechanisms achieve superior operational performance.

The integration of process innovation into cost leadership implementation emerged as a particularly significant factor. Hilman and Kaliappen (2014) emphasized that process innovation improves efficiency and service delivery, while Teece (2010) highlighted the strategic role of innovation in organizational sustainability. The findings suggest that Nigerian SMEs should avoid viewing cost leadership as simple expenditure reduction and instead approach it as a comprehensive operational optimization strategy.

The mediating role of quality management also has important theoretical and practical implications. Ali and Zehir (2016) and Kharub, Mor, and Sharma (2019) demonstrated that quality assurance systems strengthen organizational performance outcomes associated with cost leadership strategies. SMEs focusing exclusively on cost reduction may experience declining customer satisfaction and reduced market competitiveness.

The findings additionally reveal the importance of strategic performance evaluation systems. Kaplan and Norton (1996) argued that balanced performance indicators improve strategic management effectiveness by enabling organizations to monitor operational outcomes. Within Nigerian SMEs, simplified performance measurement frameworks may improve strategic accountability and operational coordination.

Despite the benefits associated with cost leadership, several limitations remain evident. Nigerian SMEs operate within highly volatile economic environments characterized by inflation, infrastructural inadequacies, and policy instability. These conditions complicate operational planning and cost control mechanisms.

Another important issue involves managerial capability deficiencies. Effective implementation of strategic cost leadership requires financial planning expertise, operational coordination, and strategic decision-making competence. SMEs lacking these capabilities may struggle to sustain operational efficiencies.

The study also identifies a strategic trade-off between cost reduction and innovation capability. Excessive emphasis on minimizing operational expenditure may limit organizational investment in research, product development, and workforce training. Sustainable competitiveness therefore requires balanced strategic implementation integrating efficiency with innovation.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that strategic cost leadership significantly enhances operational performance when implemented through integrated managerial systems involving resource optimization, process innovation, quality management, and strategic adaptability.

6. Conclusion

This study examined the influence of strategic cost leadership on the operational performance of SMEs in Nigeria through analytical review of strategic management and organizational performance literature. The findings demonstrate that cost leadership strategy significantly contributes to operational efficiency, productivity enhancement, customer retention, and organizational sustainability.

The study established that the effectiveness of cost leadership depends on integration with process innovation, quality management, performance measurement systems, and strategic resource utilization. Barney (1991) emphasized the importance of internal organizational capabilities in sustaining competitive advantage, and the findings confirm that efficient resource management remains essential for SME competitiveness.

The research further revealed that Nigerian SMEs face substantial implementation challenges associated with infrastructural deficiencies, economic instability, and managerial capability limitations. Nevertheless, SMEs capable of integrating operational efficiency with strategic adaptability are more likely to achieve sustainable performance outcomes.

The proposed strategic cost leadership framework contributes to strategic management literature by integrating competitive advantage theory with operational performance mechanisms tailored to SME environments. The framework provides practical guidance for SMEs seeking to improve competitiveness through operational optimization and strategic resource management.

From a practical perspective, SME managers should prioritize process innovation, quality assurance, and performance evaluation while implementing cost leadership strategies. Policymakers should also strengthen SME support systems through infrastructure development, managerial training programs, and improved financing access.

Future research should explore empirical validation of the proposed framework through quantitative analysis involving Nigerian SMEs across different industrial sectors. Additional studies may also investigate the interaction between cost leadership, technological innovation, and digital transformation within emerging market environments.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullah, H. H., Mohamed, Z. A., Othman, R., & Uli, J. (2009). The effect of sourcing strategies on the relationship between competitive strategy and firm performance. International Review of Business Research Papers, 5(3), 346-361.
2. Ali, K. U. R. T., & Zehir, C. (2016). The relationship between cost leadership strategy, total quality management applications and financial performance. Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi, 17(1), 97-110.
3. Allen, R. S., & Helms, M. M. (2006). Linking strategic practices and organizational performance to Porter's generic strategies. Business process management journal, 12(4), 433-454.
4. Andrews, R., Boyne, G. A., & Walker, R. M. (2006). Strategy content and organizational performance: An empirical analysis. Public administration review, 66(1), 52-63.
5. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of management, 17(1), 99-120.
6. Consolata, N. U., Martin, O., John, Y., Muranga, N. J., & Kinoti, W. (2020). Influence of Cost Strategy on Firm Performance. Journal of Economics and Business, 3(2).
7. Danbauchi, E. S., & Ishaku Maryam, Y. Y. (2020). Effect of Differentiation Strategy on the Sales Growth of Atlantis Table Water, Gombe. Journal Of Management Sciences, 1(1).
8. Demba, R. N., Ogal, V. O., & Muli, J. (2020). EFFECT OF COST LEADERSHIP STRATEGY ON PERFORMANCE BY KENYA SELECTED CAR RENTAL BUSINESS IN NAIROBI CITY COUNTY. DBA Africa Management Review, 9(3).
9. Dess, G. G., & Davis, P. S. (1984). Porter's (1980) Generic strategies as determinants of strategic group membership and organizational performance. Academy of Management journal, 27(3), 467-488.
10. Gakuya, R. W., & Mbugua, D. (2018). Effects of cost leadership strategy on customer loyalty among pharmaceutical companies in Nairobi County, Kenya. European Journal of Social Sciences Studies.
11. Gitau, B. N. (2013). Strategies adopted by Kenyan Insurance companies to Alleviate low Insurance penetration (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi,).
12. Hilman, H., & Kaliappen, N. (2014). Do cost leadership strategy and process innovation influence the performance of Malaysia hotel industry?. Asian Social Science, 10(10), 134.
13. Hilman, H., & Mohamed, Z. A. (2011). Sourcing strategies, practices and effects on organisational performance. Journal for Global Business Advancement, 4(1), 18-31.
14. Hofer, C. W., & Schendel, D. (1978). Strategy formulation: Analytical concepts.
15. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). Using the balanced scorecard as a strategic management system.
16. Kharub, M., Mor, R. S., & Sharma, R. (2019). The relationship between cost leadership competitive strategy and firm performance: A mediating role of quality management. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 30(6), 920-936.
17. Kimiti, P. (2020). Nexus between cost leadership strategy and performance: Fact or fallacy in milk processing firms in Kenya. International Business Research, 13(10).
18. Marques, A., Lisboa, J., Zimmerer, T. W., & Yasin, M. M. (2000). The effectiveness of strategies employed by dominant firms in the Portuguese crystal glass industry: an empirical investigation. European Business Review, 12(1), 34-40.
19. Njuguna, S. N., & Waithaka, P. (2020). Cost leadership strategy and organizational performance: A case of insurance companies in Nyeri County, Kenya. International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration, 3(9), 256-267.
20. Porter, M. E. (1985). The Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance. New York, New York, USA: Free Press.
21. Poter, M. E. (2004). Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors. Free press.

22. Rita, A.A., Israel, A.N., Oladimeji, A. (2023). Effects of cost leadership strategy on performance of small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 22(4), 1-7.

23. Sarker, S., & Palit, M. (2015). Strategic orientation and performance of small and medium enterprises in Bangladesh. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business, 24(4), 572-586.

24. Setiawan, A. S. (2016). PENGARUH PEMILIHAN STRATEGI DEFERENSIASI TERHADAP KINERJA KEUANGAN1 (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Food & Beverages terdaftar di BEI). Jurnal Akuntansi, 20(1), 104-116.

25. Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. Long range planning, 43(2-3), 172-194.

26. Teeratansirikool, L., Siengthai, S., Badir, Y., & Charoenngam, C. (2013). Competitive strategies and firm performance: the mediating role of performance measurement. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 62(2), 168-184.

27. Williams, F. P., D'Souza, D. E., Rosenfeldt, M. E., & Kassaee, M. (1995). Manufacturing strategy, business strategy and firm performance in a mature industry. Journal of operations management, 13(1), 19-33.