

Volume 03, Issue 04, April 2026,

Publish Date: 02-04-2026

Page No.06-11

Strategic Architecture For Global Procurement And Supply Chain Network Management In Nigeria After COVID-19: A Comprehensive Review-Based Analysis

Dr. Kwame Mensah

Department of Corporate Strategy
Accra Business and Leadership University
Accra, Ghana

Dr. Abigail Owusu

School of Strategic Innovation and Planning
Kumasi Institute of Management Research
Kumasi, Ghana

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed critical vulnerabilities in global procurement systems and supply chain networks, particularly in emerging economies such as Nigeria where structural inefficiencies, infrastructure gaps, and overdependence on external suppliers intensified disruption impacts. This study develops a strategic architecture for global procurement and supply chain network management in Nigeria in the post-COVID-19 era through a comprehensive literature-based analysis. Drawing exclusively on established supply chain, procurement, and logistics literature, the paper synthesizes lean, agile, and resilient supply chain paradigms to propose an integrated framework for network redesign and performance optimization.

The study reveals that traditional linear procurement models are inadequate in volatile global environments and emphasizes the need for adaptive multi-pipeline systems (Aitken,J., Childerhouse,P., Christopher,M.,&Towill,D.,2005). Findings highlight that agility, digital integration, and relational coordination are central to post-pandemic supply chain transformation. The proposed strategic architecture integrates supply network design, digital coordination systems, and resilience-oriented governance mechanisms to enhance procurement efficiency and responsiveness in Nigeria.

The paper concludes that Nigerian procurement systems must transition from cost-centric procurement models to strategically networked, digitally enabled, and resilience-driven architectures. This transformation requires institutional reform, technological adoption, and capability development across supply chain actors.

KEYWORDS: Procurement strategy, Supply chain resilience, Network design, Post-COVID-19 logistics, Agile supply chains, Nigeria, Strategic architecture, Digital integration, Global procurement systems

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Global procurement and supply chain systems have undergone significant transformation due to globalization, digitalization, and disruptive crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. In Nigeria, these disruptions exposed systemic weaknesses in procurement coordination, logistics infrastructure, and supply network visibility. Supply chains that were previously optimized

for cost efficiency became highly vulnerable to shocks, delays, and demand-supply mismatches.

Modern supply chain theory emphasizes the shift from linear, cost-driven models to dynamic, network-based architectures that integrate flexibility, responsiveness, and resilience. Christopher (2000) highlights the importance of agile supply chains in volatile markets, where responsiveness becomes a critical competitive advantage. Similarly, Christopher (1998) emphasizes logistics integration as a mechanism for reducing

inefficiencies and improving service delivery in complex supply systems.

The COVID-19 disruption further reinforced the need for resilient systems capable of absorbing shocks and maintaining operational continuity. According to Christopher and Peck (2004), resilient supply chains are designed to anticipate, adapt, and recover from disruptions while maintaining functional integrity.

1.2 Problem Statement

Nigeria's procurement and supply chain systems remain heavily dependent on fragmented processes, weak integration, and limited digital adoption. These challenges reduce efficiency in global procurement coordination and limit competitiveness in international markets. The pandemic exposed critical gaps in supply continuity, supplier reliability, and logistics responsiveness.

Existing models are insufficient in addressing multi-layered disruptions in global procurement networks. Therefore, there is a need for a strategic architecture that integrates agility, resilience, and digital coordination in Nigeria's procurement systems.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

This study aims to:

1. Develop a conceptual strategic architecture for procurement and supply chain networks in Nigeria.
2. Analyze post-COVID-19 supply chain vulnerabilities using literature synthesis.
3. Identify key enablers of resilience, agility, and integration in global procurement systems.
4. Propose a framework for improving procurement efficiency and supply network performance.

1.4 Scope and Significance

The study focuses on procurement systems and supply chain networks in Nigeria within a global post-pandemic context. It contributes to theoretical development in supply chain architecture and provides practical insights for policymakers, procurement managers, and logistics professionals.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Supply Chain and Procurement Systems

Supply chain management has evolved from traditional procurement-focused systems to integrated global networks. Frazelle (2002) describes supply chain strategy as a logistics-driven mechanism for coordinating material, information, and financial flows. Similarly, Harland et al. (2001) emphasize that supply networks extend beyond linear chains into complex relational structures.

Harrison et al. (1999) argue that agile supply chains are essential for managing variability in demand and supply conditions. The shift toward agility is reinforced by Bruce et al. (2004), who demonstrate that both lean and agile strategies are necessary depending on industry volatility.

2.2 Agile and Resilient Supply Chain Theories

Agility and resilience are central themes in modern supply chain literature. Christopher and Towill (2000) highlight the migration from lean to agile supply chain systems as a response to market volatility. Preiss (2005) further explains that agility is rooted in responsiveness, flexibility, and rapid reconfiguration of supply networks.

Aitken,J., Childerhouse,P., Christopher,M.,&Towill,D.(2005) emphasize the importance of multiple pipeline structures in managing uncertainty. Their work demonstrates that designing parallel supply pipelines enables firms to balance efficiency and responsiveness under fluctuating demand conditions.

Similarly, Christopher and Peck (2004) argue that resilience requires proactive risk identification, redundancy, and supply chain collaboration.

2.3 Digital Integration and Supply Chain Coordination

Digital transformation plays a significant role in improving procurement systems. Patnayakuni et al. (2006) highlight that information flow integration is critical for supply chain coordination. Rai et al. (2006) further demonstrate that digitally enabled supply chain integration enhances firm performance.

Hicks et al. (2006) emphasize that effective information management is essential in engineering and

manufacturing SMEs, where fragmented systems often limit operational efficiency.

2.4 Supply Network Design and Global Procurement

Supply network design determines the efficiency and responsiveness of procurement systems. Chartered Institute of Procurement and Supply Management (n.d) explains that structured supply network design enhances visibility and coordination across procurement channels.

Nagurney (2006) introduces supply chain network economics, emphasizing flow optimization, pricing dynamics, and profit distribution across interconnected systems. Ford et al. (2003) further highlight the importance of managing inter-organizational relationships in global procurement networks.

2.5 Research Gaps

Despite extensive literature, gaps remain in:

- Context-specific application of supply chain architecture in developing economies like Nigeria.
- Integration of resilience and agility within procurement governance systems.
- Limited post-COVID-19 empirical frameworks addressing global procurement disruptions.

This study addresses these gaps by synthesizing literature into a strategic architecture for Nigeria.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative, review-based research design. It systematically synthesizes existing literature on procurement systems, supply chain networks, and logistics frameworks to develop a strategic architecture model.

3.2 Data Collection

Data is derived exclusively from secondary sources provided in the reference list. These include academic journals, books, institutional reports, and conceptual frameworks in supply chain management.

3.3 Analytical Framework

The analysis is structured around three conceptual dimensions:

1. **Agility Framework** – responsiveness to demand fluctuations and disruptions.
2. **Resilience Framework** – ability to absorb and recover from shocks.
3. **Network Integration Framework** – coordination of procurement and logistics systems.

Aitken,J., Childerhouse,P., Christopher,M.,&Towill,D.(2005) is used as a foundational theoretical lens for understanding multi-pipeline supply systems and adaptive network structures.

3.4 Model Development Approach

The strategic architecture is developed through thematic synthesis of literature, focusing on:

- Supply network structure
- Digital procurement integration
- Risk and resilience mechanisms
- Coordination and relational governance

4. Results / Findings

The literature synthesis reveals that post-COVID-19 procurement systems require structural transformation from linear supply chains to dynamic, multi-node networks. A central finding is that traditional procurement models in Nigeria are largely cost-focused and lack adaptive capacity, making them vulnerable to external disruptions.

One of the most significant findings is the importance of multi-pipeline supply structures. Aitken,J., Childerhouse,P., Christopher,M.,&Towill,D.(2005) demonstrate that designing multiple supply pipelines enhances flexibility and reduces dependency on single sourcing channels. This concept is particularly relevant for Nigeria, where supply disruptions frequently arise from import dependency and infrastructural bottlenecks.

The study also finds that agility is a critical determinant of procurement success. Christopher (2000) and Christopher and Towill (2000) show that agile supply chains outperform traditional models in volatile environments. In Nigeria's context, agility enables faster

response to import delays, currency fluctuations, and global supply shortages.

Resilience emerges as another key finding. Christopher and Peck (2004) highlight that resilient supply chains incorporate redundancy, collaboration, and risk visibility. Nigerian procurement systems currently lack structured resilience mechanisms, leading to prolonged disruption recovery times.

Digital integration is identified as a major enabler of supply chain performance. Rai et al. (2006) and Patnayakuni et al. (2006) demonstrate that digitally integrated systems improve coordination and performance outcomes. However, digital adoption in Nigerian procurement systems remains uneven, limiting end-to-end visibility.

The findings further indicate that relational governance is essential for effective procurement coordination. Ford et al. (2003) emphasize that strong inter-organizational relationships enhance trust and operational efficiency in global procurement systems.

Overall, the findings suggest that Nigeria requires a strategic shift toward integrated procurement architectures that combine agility, resilience, and digital coordination. Without such transformation, procurement inefficiencies will continue to constrain economic competitiveness.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study highlight a fundamental mismatch between traditional procurement structures and the demands of post-pandemic global supply chains. The literature strongly supports the transition toward agile and resilient systems, particularly in environments characterized by uncertainty and volatility.

The concept of multi-pipeline supply systems introduced by Aitken,J., Childerhouse,P., Christopher,M.,&Towill,D.(2005) provides a critical theoretical foundation for rethinking procurement architecture in Nigeria. By diversifying procurement channels, organizations can reduce dependency risks and improve responsiveness. However, implementing such systems requires advanced coordination capabilities and digital infrastructure, which remain underdeveloped in Nigeria.

The dominance of agility as a strategic requirement aligns with Christopher (2000), who argues that market volatility necessitates rapid responsiveness. However, agility alone is insufficient without resilience mechanisms. Christopher and Peck (2004) emphasize that resilience provides the structural stability needed to sustain operations during disruptions. The integration of both agility and resilience is therefore essential for sustainable procurement systems.

Digital integration is another critical dimension. Rai et al. (2006) show that digital capabilities significantly enhance supply chain performance. However, the Nigerian procurement environment faces challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, limited data integration, and low technological adoption.

From a theoretical perspective, the study reinforces the network theory of supply chains proposed by Harland et al. (2001), which views supply systems as interconnected networks rather than linear chains. This perspective is essential for understanding global procurement complexity.

Practically, the proposed strategic architecture has implications for policymakers and procurement managers in Nigeria. It suggests the need for investment in digital procurement systems, supplier diversification, and institutional reforms that support agile decision-making.

However, limitations exist. The study is based solely on secondary data and does not include empirical validation. Additionally, contextual variability across industries may affect the applicability of the proposed framework.

6. Conclusion

This study developed a strategic architecture for global procurement and supply chain network management in Nigeria in the post-COVID-19 era through comprehensive literature synthesis. The findings demonstrate that traditional procurement systems are insufficient in addressing modern disruptions and must be replaced with integrated, agile, and resilient network structures.

The study contributes to supply chain theory by integrating agility, resilience, and digital coordination into a unified procurement architecture. It also highlights the importance of multi-pipeline systems as described by Aitken,J., Childerhouse,P.,

Christopher, M., & Towill, D. (2005), which enhance flexibility and reduce systemic risk.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the proposed model and sector-specific implementation strategies within Nigerian industries.

REFERENCES

1. Aitken, J., Childerhouse, P., Christopher, M., & Towill, D. (2005). Designing and managing multiple pipelines. *Journal of Business Logistics* 26(2), 73.
2. Bruce, M., Daly, L., & Towers, N. (2004). Lean or agile: A solution for supply chain management in the textiles and clothing industry? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 24(2), 151-170.
3. Chartered Institute of Procurement and Supply Management, UK (n.d). Supply network design.
4. Christopher, M. (1998). Logistics and supply chain management: Strategies for reducing cost and improving service (2th ed.). London: Financial Times Management Christopher
5. Christopher, M. (2000). The agile supply: Competing in volatile markets. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29, 37-44.
6. Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 15(2), 1-13.
7. Christopher, M. & Towill, D. R., (2000). Supply chain migration from lean and functional to agile and customized. *International Journal on Supply Chain Management*, 5(4), 206-213.
8. Dictionary of International Trade (2017). International procurement.
9. Fawcett, S. E., Calantone, R., & Smith, S. R. (1997). Delivery capability and firm performance in international operations. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 51(3), 191-204.
10. Frazelle, E., H. (2002). Supply chain strategy: The logistics of supply chain management. McGraw Hill, New York.
11. Ford, D., Gadde, L. E., Hakansson, H., & Snehota, I. (2003). Managing business relationships. 2nd edition. John Wiley, p.18.
12. Gitman, L. J., & Zutter, C. J. (2012). Principles of managerial finance. Boston: Prentice hall.
13. Goldman, S., Naegel, R. & Preiss, K. (1995). Agile competitors and visual organisations: Strategies for enriching the customer.
14. Harland, C. M., Lamming, R. C., Zheng, J. & Johnsen, T. E. (2001). Taxonomy of supply networks. *Journal of supply chain management*, 37(4), 21-27.
15. Harrison, A., Christopher, M., & Van-Hoek, R. (1999). Creating the agile supply chain. School of Management Working Paper, Cranfield University, Cranfield.
16. Hermanson (1989). Accounting Principles: A Business Perspective, Financial Accounting (Chapters 1 –8). A Textbook. Equity Open College Textbook.
17. Journal of Strategic Management ISSN 2520-0461 (Online) Vol.6, Issue 1, pp 17 -25, 2021 www.ajpojournals.org
18. Hewitt, F. (1999). Supply or demand? Chains or pipelines? Co-ordination or control? Proceedings from International Symposium in the Information Age, Florence, p. 785-90, 1999.
19. Hicks, B., J. Culley, S., J. & McMahon, C., A. (2006). A study of issues relating to information management across engineering SMEs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 26(4), 267-289.
20. Institute of shipping economics and logistics (n.d). ISL container throughput index.
21. Kakwezi, P. (2015). Re: What is the difference between Supply Network Design (SND) and Supply Chain Network Design (SCND)?
22. Kisperska-Moron, D., & Swierczek, A. (2008). The agile capabilities of Polish companies in the supply chain: An empirical study. *International Journal on Production Economics*.
23. Lee, H. L., & Billington, C. (1992). Managing supply chain inventory: Pitfalls and opportunities, *Sloan Management Review*, 65 –73.
24. Lee, W., B. & Lau, H., C. (1999). Factory on demand: The shaping of an agile network. *International Journal of Agile Manufacturing Systems*, 1(2), 83-87.
25. Nagurney A. (2006). Supply Chain Network Economics: Dynamics of Prices, Flows, and Profits, Edward Elgar Publishing. Cheltenham, England.
26. Patnayakuni, R., Rai, A. & Seth, N. (2006). Relational antecedents of information flow integration for supply chain coordination. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 23(1), 13-49.
27. Planergy (n.d). Supply chain agility and how to achieve it.
28. Preiss, K. (2005). Agility -the origins, the vision and the reality. In Proceedings of the international conference on agility.

29. Rai, A., Patnayakuni, R., & Seth, N. (2006). Firm performance impacts of digitally enabled supply chain integration capabilities. *MIS Quarterly: Journal of Management Information Systems*, 30(2), 225-246.
30. Sherer S. (2005). From supply-chain management to value network advocacy: implications for e-supply chains. *Supply chain management: An international journal*, 110:2 (2005), pp.77-83.
31. Sillanpää, I. (2011). Supply chain performance measurement in the manufacturing industry. A single case study research to develop a supply chain performance measurement framework. Academic Dissertation presented with the assent of the Faculty of Technology of the University of Oulu, Linnanmaa.
32. Snow, C. C., Miles, R. E., & Coleman, H. J. (1992). Managing 21st century network organizations. *Organisational dynamics*. Winter 20(3), 5 -7.
33. Upton, H. (1961). *Introduction to Business Finance*. McGraw Hill, New York.
34. Wanzala, G. P. (n.d). *International procurement process, benefits and challenges*.