Frontiers in Medical and Clinical sciences (Open Access)

Volume 03, Issue 05, May 2026,
Publish Date: 08-05-2026
Page No.23-33

Epidemiological Analysis Of Death Risk Among Intensive Care Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation For COVID-19 And Influenza Infections

Dr. Ahmad Haziq
Department of Medical Informatics
Advanced Institute of Health Sciences,India

ABSTRACT

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and seasonal influenza represent major respiratory viral illnesses associated with substantial morbidity and mortality among critically ill patients requiring invasive mechanical ventilation. Despite overlapping clinical presentations, epidemiological evidence demonstrates significant differences in disease progression, respiratory failure severity, inflammatory responses, and mortality outcomes between these conditions. This review-based research article critically evaluates death risk patterns among intensive care unit (ICU) patients receiving mechanical ventilation for COVID-19 and influenza infections. The study synthesizes epidemiological findings from multicenter cohort studies, retrospective analyses, national surveillance datasets, and comparative observational investigations published between 2020 and 2024. Methodological emphasis was placed on population-based cohorts, ventilatory characteristics, comorbidity adjustment models, discharge bias assessment, and ICU mortality determinants. The analysis demonstrates that mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients consistently exhibited higher mortality risk compared with influenza patients across multiple healthcare systems, although mortality differences narrowed during later pandemic waves. Several determinants including acute respiratory distress syndrome severity, racial disparities, comorbidity burden, treatment limitation practices, hospital resource strain, and ventilatory mechanics significantly influenced mortality outcomes. Additionally, coding frameworks such as the Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses improved epidemiological stratification and risk adjustment in large administrative databases. The findings further indicate that ICU mortality measurement remains vulnerable to discharge bias, hospice utilization, and heterogeneity in healthcare infrastructure. While influenza-associated respiratory failure remains clinically severe, COVID-19 demonstrated greater systemic inflammatory injury and prolonged ventilator dependency during the early pandemic phases. This study contributes a comprehensive epidemiological interpretation of mortality risk patterns among ventilated ICU populations and highlights the importance of standardized mortality assessment frameworks, multicenter surveillance systems, and longitudinal critical care analyses for future pandemic preparedness.

KEYWORDS: COVID-19; Influenza; Mechanical Ventilation; Intensive Care Unit; Mortality Risk; Epidemiology; Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome; Critical Care; Respiratory Failure; Comparative Cohort Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory viral infections remain among the leading causes of hospitalization and intensive care admission globally. Seasonal influenza has historically imposed substantial healthcare burdens through recurrent outbreaks characterized by pulmonary complications, secondary bacterial infections, and respiratory failure requiring invasive ventilatory support. However, the emergence of COVID-19 fundamentally altered critical care epidemiology because of its extensive transmissibility, multisystem inflammatory involvement, and unprecedented strain on healthcare systems worldwide (WHO COVID-19 Dashboard; COVID Data Tracker). Mortality associated with COVID-19 exceeded that observed during typical influenza seasons, particularly among critically ill patients requiring intensive care interventions and mechanical ventilation (Horita and Fukumoto, 2023).

Mechanical ventilation represents a defining marker of severe respiratory compromise in both influenza and

COVID-19. Patients admitted to ICUs with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), refractory hypoxemia, or respiratory failure frequently require invasive ventilatory management for survival. Nevertheless, emerging comparative evidence demonstrated substantial differences in respiratory mechanics, inflammatory injury, organ dysfunction, and mortality outcomes between COVID-19 and influenza-related ARDS (Chung et al., 2024; Maamar et al., 2023). Early pandemic investigations suggested markedly elevated mortality rates among ventilated COVID-19 patients, particularly during resource-limited periods characterized by overwhelmed healthcare systems and inadequate therapeutic standardization (Xie et al., 2020).

The epidemiological relevance of comparative mortality assessment between COVID-19 and influenza extends beyond descriptive analysis. Understanding disease-specific mortality determinants contributes to ICU resource planning, ventilatory management optimization, public health preparedness, and risk stratification frameworks. Several nationwide cohort studies identified differences in hospitalization rates, in-hospital mortality, and ventilatory outcomes between these infections across geographically distinct populations including the United States, Germany, Korea, France, and Japan (Ludwig et al., 2021; Sim et al., 2024; Taniguchi et al., 2022). However, mortality estimation remains complicated by heterogeneity in patient demographics, healthcare access, treatment limitation practices, and discharge bias.

Theoretical understanding of ICU mortality further requires examination of social determinants, comorbidity adjustment methodologies, and coding frameworks used in epidemiological analyses. Studies investigating discharge practices, hospice utilization, and racial disparities demonstrated that mortality outcomes may reflect institutional structures as much as disease severity itself (Reineck et al., 2014; Schorr et al., 2020; Hua and Feinglass, 2023). Consequently, epidemiological analyses increasingly rely on validated coding algorithms and risk-adjustment tools such as the Charlson Comorbidity Index and the Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses to improve consistency across datasets (Quan et al., 2011). The Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses also supports standardized categorization of respiratory and systemic complications in large administrative databases, thereby improving the reliability of mortality prediction models and epidemiological surveillance.

This article aims to critically examine mortality risk among ICU patients receiving mechanical ventilation for COVID-19 and influenza infections through synthesis of contemporary epidemiological evidence. The objectives are to compare mortality outcomes across respiratory viral infections, evaluate determinants influencing ICU mortality, assess methodological limitations in mortality reporting, and identify implications for critical care practice and pandemic preparedness. The study additionally explores how administrative coding systems and observational cohort methodologies contribute to modern epidemiological evaluation of severe respiratory viral disease.

2. Literature Review

Comparative epidemiological studies consistently demonstrate higher mortality rates among hospitalized COVID-19 patients relative to influenza cohorts, particularly during early pandemic phases. Xie et al. (2020) conducted one of the earliest cohort investigations comparing clinical manifestations and mortality risk between COVID-19 and seasonal influenza, identifying substantially elevated death risk among COVID-19 admissions. Similarly, Piroth et al. (2021) reported increased morbidity and mortality among COVID-19 patients in a nationwide French cohort analysis, emphasizing the greater systemic severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection despite clinical similarities with influenza.

Subsequent multicenter investigations expanded these findings within ICU populations. Naouri et al. (2023) demonstrated significant differences in outcomes among critically ill adults with COVID-19 and influenza, highlighting increased respiratory complications and prolonged ICU stays in COVID-19 cases. Marois et al. (2022) specifically evaluated invasively ventilated ICU patients and reported worse mortality outcomes among COVID-19 cohorts compared with influenza populations treated across Paris public hospitals. These observations reinforced the hypothesis that COVID-19-associated ARDS exhibits greater pathophysiological severity than influenza-associated respiratory failure.

Respiratory mechanics investigations further clarified disease-specific ventilatory differences. Chung et al. (2024) identified distinct respiratory mechanics among mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19 and Influenza A-related ARDS. COVID-19 patients demonstrated altered pulmonary compliance and prolonged ventilatory dependence, supporting observations from Maamar et al. (2023), who reported increased mortality and adverse ventilator parameters among moderate-to-severe ARDS patients with COVID-19 compared with influenza cohorts. These findings suggest that mechanical ventilation outcomes cannot be interpreted solely through generalized ARDS frameworks because viral-specific pathophysiology significantly modifies respiratory dynamics.

Population-based cohort studies from diverse healthcare systems contributed additional epidemiological evidence. Ludwig et al. (2021) analyzed hospitalized patients in Germany and identified more severe clinical outcomes among COVID-19 admissions relative to influenza hospitalizations. Taniguchi et al. (2022) similarly demonstrated higher in-hospital mortality among Japanese COVID-19 patients compared with influenza cohorts between 2017 and 2020. Sim et al. (2024), utilizing propensity score matching in Korea, reinforced these mortality differences while attempting to minimize confounding from demographic and clinical disparities.

Despite these findings, temporal epidemiological trends indicate gradual mortality reduction during later pandemic phases. Horita and Fukumoto (2023) observed a substantial decline in global COVID-19 case fatality rates over 2.5 years, likely attributable to vaccination programs, improved therapeutics, enhanced ventilatory management, and population-level immunity. Mukherjee et al. (2024) further demonstrated outcome variability across pandemic variant periods, emphasizing the evolving epidemiological landscape of COVID-19 critical care outcomes. Xie et al. (2023) found that mortality differences between COVID-19 and influenza narrowed during the Fall-Winter 2022-2023 period, suggesting convergence in severe disease management outcomes over time.

Mortality assessment within ICU epidemiology remains methodologically complex because hospital-based mortality indicators are influenced by discharge practices, treatment limitations, and hospice utilization. Reineck et al. (2014) demonstrated associations between discharge bias and ICU performance measurement, while Vasilevskis et al. (2009) reported distortions in mortality performance caused by discharge practices. Schorr et al. (2020) and Kozar et al. (2014) further identified significant relationships between hospice use and observed mortality reduction, indicating that institutional practices may influence epidemiological interpretations independently of actual disease severity.

Studies addressing social and demographic determinants also identified disparities in COVID-19 mortality outcomes. Asch et al. (2021) reported significant mortality differences between Black and White Medicare beneficiaries hospitalized with COVID-19. Hua and Feinglass (2023) similarly demonstrated race- and hospital-type-related variations in COVID-19 mortality across Illinois healthcare institutions. These findings suggest that epidemiological mortality analyses must incorporate healthcare access, socioeconomic factors, and institutional variation when comparing COVID-19 and influenza outcomes.

Administrative data reliability represents another central methodological issue. Bosch et al. (2022) validated ICD coding approaches for identifying critically ill COVID-19 patients, while Benack et al. (2024) evaluated ICD-10-based influenza identification algorithms. Comorbidity adjustment frameworks developed by Quan et al. (2005; 2011) remain foundational for mortality risk adjustment in retrospective cohort studies. The Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses additionally facilitates standardized classification of respiratory, cardiovascular, neurological, and systemic complications across epidemiological datasets. Because several comparative COVID-19 and influenza investigations rely heavily on administrative databases, the Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses strengthens analytical consistency by reducing diagnostic heterogeneity.

A significant research gap remains regarding standardized mortality interpretation among mechanically ventilated ICU populations across varying healthcare infrastructures and pandemic phases. Existing literature demonstrates considerable variability in cohort selection, mortality endpoints, ventilatory protocols, and adjustment methodologies. Furthermore, limited studies integrate epidemiological, ventilatory, social, and administrative dimensions simultaneously. Therefore, a comprehensive synthesis of mortality determinants among ventilated COVID-19 and influenza patients remains clinically and epidemiologically necessary.

3. Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a narrative-integrative review methodology grounded in epidemiological synthesis and comparative critical care analysis. The methodological structure was designed to evaluate mortality risk among mechanically ventilated ICU patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and influenza infections using previously published observational studies, retrospective cohorts, multicenter investigations, and national surveillance reports provided within the reference framework.

The review adhered conceptually to principles outlined in the STROBE statement for observational epidemiological investigations (von Elm et al., 2007). Emphasis was placed on comparative mortality assessment, respiratory failure severity, ventilatory outcomes, healthcare-system variability, and epidemiological determinants influencing ICU survival.

Data Sources and Literature Integration

The analytical dataset consisted exclusively of the 46 provided references, including cohort studies, surveillance databases, critical care investigations, administrative coding validation studies, and epidemiological analyses. No external references were incorporated. Data synthesis included studies conducted in the United States, France, Germany, Korea, and Japan to ensure multinational epidemiological representation.

Primary evidence categories included:

1. Comparative COVID-19 and influenza cohort studies
2. Mechanical ventilation and ARDS outcome investigations
3. ICU mortality determinant analyses
4. Administrative coding validation studies
5. Public health surveillance databases
6. Risk adjustment and comorbidity assessment frameworks

Public health surveillance resources such as the WHO COVID-19 Dashboard, RESP-NET, CDC COVID Data Tracker, and influenza burden surveillance datasets provided epidemiological context regarding hospitalization trends and mortality distributions.

Population Framework

The target analytical population included critically ill adult ICU patients requiring invasive mechanical ventilation due to COVID-19 or influenza-associated respiratory failure. Included studies predominantly evaluated patients with severe ARDS, acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, or multi-organ dysfunction requiring intensive care interventions.

Population subgroups identified across studies included:

- Elderly ICU populations
- Patients with severe ARDS
- Multicenter mechanically ventilated cohorts
- Medicare beneficiaries
- Patients with multiple comorbidities
- Race- and ethnicity-stratified populations

Comparative analyses prioritized mortality endpoints among ventilated cohorts rather than general hospitalized populations to ensure consistency with severe disease epidemiology.

Epidemiological Variables

Several epidemiological variables were synthesized to assess mortality risk patterns:

Mortality Endpoints

Mortality indicators included:

- In-hospital mortality
- ICU mortality
- 30-day mortality
- Risk-adjusted mortality
- Ventilator-associated mortality

Studies by Law et al. (2024), Reineck et al. (2014), and Schorr et al. (2020) were incorporated to evaluate methodological complexities associated with mortality measurement.

Ventilatory Characteristics

Mechanical ventilation variables included:

- Pulmonary compliance
- Duration of mechanical ventilation
- Severity of ARDS
- Respiratory mechanics
- Organ support utilization

Respiratory mechanics studies by Chung et al. (2024) and Maamar et al. (2023) contributed substantially to ventilatory outcome interpretation.

Comorbidity Adjustment

Risk adjustment approaches were evaluated using validated comorbidity algorithms described by Quan et al. (2005; 2011). Administrative coding frameworks and disease classification systems were analyzed for epidemiological reliability.

The Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses was incorporated conceptually as a standardized framework for categorizing respiratory, cardiovascular, infectious, and systemic complications in retrospective datasets. The Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses also enables improved stratification of mechanically ventilated populations by reducing diagnostic inconsistency across institutional coding systems.

Comparative Analytical Framework

The comparative framework focused on five domains:

1. Disease Severity

COVID-19 and influenza cohorts were compared regarding:

- ARDS progression
- Multi-organ dysfunction
- Systemic inflammatory response
- Ventilator dependency duration

COVID-19 patients consistently demonstrated more severe systemic complications during early pandemic periods.

2. Temporal Mortality Evolution

Mortality trends were evaluated across pandemic phases using studies from 2020 through 2024. Analyses incorporated changing therapeutic protocols, vaccination implementation, viral variant evolution, and healthcare adaptation processes.

3. Institutional and Social Determinants

Mortality disparities related to race, hospital type, discharge practices, and hospice utilization were critically analyzed using studies by Asch et al. (2021), Hua and Feinglass (2023), and Kozar et al. (2014).

4. Administrative Data Reliability

Administrative coding validity and epidemiological reliability were examined using ICD-10 validation investigations and surveillance-based methodologies. The Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses was particularly relevant for improving epidemiological consistency in large retrospective analyses involving respiratory viral infections.

5. Critical Care System Burden

The review examined how healthcare strain, ICU overload, and pandemic emergency conditions influenced mortality outcomes during peak COVID-19 periods.

Theoretical Foundation

The theoretical basis of this study derives from epidemiological transition theory, critical care mortality modeling, and healthcare systems analysis. Mortality risk among ventilated ICU patients was conceptualized as a multidimensional outcome influenced by:

- Viral pathogenicity
- Patient comorbidity burden
- Healthcare resource availability
- Mechanical ventilation physiology
- Institutional practices
- Social determinants of health

COVID-19 mortality was interpreted not only as a biological phenomenon but also as an interaction between systemic healthcare pressures and severe respiratory pathology.

Methodological Limitations

Several limitations affected the synthesized analysis. Included studies demonstrated heterogeneity regarding cohort size, mortality definitions, ventilatory protocols, and statistical adjustments. Many investigations relied on retrospective administrative datasets vulnerable to coding inconsistencies and incomplete clinical documentation. Variability in ICU admission thresholds across countries also complicated direct comparisons between COVID-19 and influenza mortality.

Additionally, evolving pandemic conditions altered treatment standards over time, thereby reducing comparability between early and later COVID-19 cohorts. Nevertheless, integrating multicenter evidence strengthened the overall epidemiological interpretation of mortality risk among mechanically ventilated populations.

4. Results / Findings

Comparative analysis demonstrated that mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients consistently experienced higher mortality risk than influenza patients across most observational cohorts and national datasets. Early pandemic studies reported substantially elevated ICU mortality among COVID-19 populations, particularly among patients with severe ARDS and prolonged ventilator dependency (Xie et al., 2020; Piroth et al., 2021). Mortality disparities were most pronounced during 2020 and early 2021 when healthcare systems experienced significant operational strain.

Respiratory mechanics analyses revealed that COVID-19-associated ARDS differed physiologically from influenza-related respiratory failure. COVID-19 patients exhibited reduced pulmonary compliance, prolonged mechanical ventilation duration, and increased organ-support requirements (Chung et al., 2024; Maamar et al., 2023). These differences contributed to extended ICU stays and greater ventilator-associated complications.

Temporal epidemiological patterns indicated gradual improvement in COVID-19 survival outcomes over time. Studies evaluating later pandemic periods observed narrowing mortality differences between COVID-19 and influenza cohorts, likely reflecting vaccination effects, improved therapeutic protocols, corticosteroid utilization, antiviral treatment optimization, and enhanced ICU preparedness (Horita and Fukumoto, 2023; Xie et al., 2023).

Several demographic and institutional variables significantly influenced mortality outcomes. Race-based disparities were evident in multiple studies, with minority populations demonstrating higher mortality rates in some healthcare settings (Asch et al., 2021; Hua and Feinglass, 2023). Hospital resource availability, discharge practices, and hospice utilization further altered observed mortality performance, emphasizing the complexity of interpreting ICU mortality indicators (Reineck et al., 2014; Schorr et al., 2020).

Administrative coding validation studies demonstrated that standardized classification systems improved epidemiological consistency in retrospective analyses. Use of the Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses facilitated structured categorization of respiratory and systemic complications, thereby improving mortality risk stratification across large hospital databases.

Overall, the synthesized findings suggest that while both COVID-19 and influenza produce severe respiratory disease requiring mechanical ventilation, COVID-19 generated greater mortality burden among ICU populations, particularly during early pandemic phases characterized by limited therapeutic preparedness and heightened healthcare-system stress.

5. Discussion

The present analysis demonstrates that mortality risk among mechanically ventilated ICU patients differed substantially between COVID-19 and influenza infections, particularly during the initial pandemic period. Although both diseases produce severe respiratory compromise requiring invasive ventilation, COVID-19 exhibited greater systemic inflammatory involvement, prolonged ventilatory dependence, and increased organ dysfunction compared with seasonal influenza. These findings align with multinational cohort investigations showing consistently elevated mortality among critically ill COVID-19 populations (Naouri et al., 2023; Marois et al., 2022).

A central interpretation emerging from this analysis concerns the multifactorial nature of ICU mortality. Mortality outcomes were not determined solely by viral pathogenicity but were heavily influenced by healthcare infrastructure, resource strain, social inequities, and institutional practices. During peak pandemic phases, ICU overcrowding, ventilator shortages, workforce limitations, and evolving treatment protocols likely amplified mortality risk independently of disease severity. Consequently, comparisons between COVID-19 and influenza must account for broader healthcare-system conditions rather than relying exclusively on biological explanations.

The narrowing mortality gap observed in later pandemic phases reflects important developments in critical care adaptation. Vaccination campaigns, corticosteroid therapy standardization, anticoagulation strategies, antiviral treatments, and improved ventilatory protocols collectively reduced COVID-19 fatality rates over time (Horita and Fukumoto, 2023). This epidemiological transition suggests that healthcare preparedness and therapeutic learning significantly modify mortality trajectories during emerging respiratory pandemics.

Another important implication concerns methodological limitations in ICU mortality measurement. Studies addressing discharge bias, hospice utilization, and treatment limitation practices demonstrated that mortality statistics may not fully capture actual disease burden (Kozar et al., 2014; Reineck et al., 2014). Hospitals transferring critically ill patients to hospice or step-down facilities may appear to have lower mortality despite comparable disease severity. Therefore, epidemiological investigations must integrate longitudinal mortality endpoints and standardized adjustment methodologies.

The Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses contributed important methodological advantages within retrospective cohort analyses. By enabling standardized diagnostic categorization across administrative datasets, the Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses improved consistency in identifying respiratory failure, sepsis, cardiovascular complications, and comorbid conditions among ventilated populations. This standardization is especially important in multinational epidemiological investigations where institutional coding practices vary substantially.

Despite strong evidence supporting higher COVID-19 mortality during early pandemic periods, several limitations remain unresolved. Retrospective studies are inherently vulnerable to coding inaccuracies, missing data, and heterogeneous ICU admission criteria. Additionally, evolving viral variants and changing treatment paradigms complicate temporal comparisons. Influenza epidemiology itself also changed during the pandemic due to non-pharmaceutical interventions and reduced viral circulation (Bonacina et al., 2023), limiting direct equivalence between pre-pandemic influenza cohorts and pandemic-era COVID-19 populations.

Future epidemiological research should prioritize prospective multicenter ICU registries integrating ventilatory mechanics, biomarker profiling, long-term mortality outcomes, and standardized coding frameworks. Such approaches may improve mortality prediction models and strengthen preparedness for future respiratory pandemics involving severe ventilatory failure.

6. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive epidemiological analysis of mortality risk among mechanically ventilated ICU patients with COVID-19 and influenza infections. Synthesized evidence from multicenter cohort studies, retrospective analyses, and surveillance datasets demonstrates that COVID-19 produced substantially higher mortality burden than influenza during the early pandemic period, particularly among patients with severe ARDS and prolonged mechanical ventilation requirements.

The findings indicate that mortality outcomes were shaped by a complex interaction between viral pathogenicity, respiratory mechanics, healthcare-system capacity, comorbidity burden, institutional practices, and social determinants of health. Although mortality differences between COVID-19 and influenza narrowed over time due to therapeutic advances and healthcare adaptation, COVID-19 remained associated with more severe systemic disease and greater ICU resource utilization.

The study additionally highlights important methodological considerations in critical care epidemiology. Administrative coding frameworks such as the Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses improve standardization and risk adjustment in retrospective mortality analyses, thereby enhancing epidemiological reliability across large healthcare datasets. However, ICU mortality assessment remains vulnerable to discharge bias, hospice utilization patterns, and institutional variability.

From a clinical and public health perspective, the findings emphasize the necessity of robust ICU preparedness systems, standardized mortality reporting frameworks, and integrated epidemiological surveillance mechanisms. Future research should focus on longitudinal ventilatory outcomes, multicenter international registries, and advanced predictive modeling approaches capable of improving mortality stratification in severe respiratory viral disease.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad FB, Cisewski JA, Anderson RN. Mortality in the United States - provisional data, 2023. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2024;73(31):677-681.
2. Alrawashdeh M, Klompas M, Simpson SQ, Kadri SS, Poland R, Guy JS, Perlin JB, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of previously healthy adults among patients hospitalized with community-onset sepsis. Chest. 2022;162(1):101-110.
3. Asch DA, Islam MN, Sheils NE, Chen Y, Doshi JA, Buresh J, Werner RM. Patient and hospital factors associated with differences in mortality rates among black and white US medicare beneficiaries hospitalized with COVID-19 infection. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(6):e2112842.
4. Benack K, Nyandege A, Nonnenmacher E, Jan S, Setoguchi S, Gerhard T, Strom BL, et al. Validity of ICD-10-based algorithms to identify patients with influenza in inpatient and outpatient settings. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2024;33(4):e5788.
5. Bhaskaran K, Bacon S, Evans SJ, Bates CJ, Rentsch CT, MacKenna B, Tomlinson L, et al. Factors associated with deaths due to COVID-19 versus other causes: population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2021;6:100109.
6. Bonacina F, Boelle PY, Colizza V, Lopez O, Thomas M, Poletto C. Global patterns and drivers of influenza decline during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Infect Dis. 2023;128:132-139.
7. Bosch NA, Law AC, Peterson D, Walkey AJ. Validation of the international classification of diseases code for COVID-19 among critically ill patients. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2022;19(5):861-863.
8. Chandel A, Leazer S, Alcover KC, Farley J, Berk J, Jayne C, McNutt R, et al. Intensive care and organ support related mortality in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care Explor. 2023;5(3):e0876.
9. Chung E, Leem AY, Chung KS, Kang YA, Park MS, Kim YS, Jang HJ, et al. Differences of respiratory mechanics in mechanical ventilation of acute respiratory distress syndrome between patients with COVID-19 and Influenza A. Respir Res. 2024;25(1):112.
10. Clinical Classification Software Refined (CCSR) for ICD-10-CM diagnoses. Health Care Cost & Utilization Project, User Support. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Available from: https://hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccsr/dxccsr.jsp.
11. COVID Data Tracker. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available from: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#trends_totaldeaths_select_00.
12. COVID-19 Public Health Emergency. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Coronavirus. Available from: https://www.hhs.gov/coronavirus/covid-19-public-health-emergency/index.html.
13. Flu disease burden: past seasons. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/flu-burden/php/data-vis/past-seasons.html.
14. Fuchs L, Anstey M, Feng M, Toledano R, Kogan S, Howell MD, Clardy P, et al. Quantifying the mortality impact of do-not-resuscitate orders in the ICU. Crit Care Med. 2017;45(6):1019-1027.
15. Horita N, Fukumoto T. Global case fatality rate from COVID-19 has decreased by 96.8% during 2.5 years of the pandemic. J Med Virol. 2023;95(1):e28231.
16. Hua MJ, Feinglass J. Variations in COVID-19 hospital mortality by patient race/ethnicity and hospital type in Illinois. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities. 2023;10(2):911-919.
17. Kaufmann M, Perren A, Cerutti B, Dysli C, Rothen HU, Swiss Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Severity-adjusted ICU mortality only tells half the truth-the impact of treatment limitation in a nationwide database. Crit Care Med. 2020;48(12):e1242-e1250.
18. Ketcham SW, Bolig TC, Molling DJ, Sjoding MW, Flanders SA, Prescott HC. Causes and Circumstances of Death among Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18(6):1076-1079.
19. Kojima N, Taylor CA, Tenforde MW, Ujamaa D, O'Halloran A, Patel K, Chai SJ, et al. Clinical outcomes of US adults hospitalized for COVID-19 and influenza in the respiratory virus hospitalization surveillance network, October 2021-September 2022. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2024;11(1):ofad702.
20. Kozar RA, Holcomb JB, Xiong W, Nathens AB. Are all deaths recorded equally? The impact of hospice care on risk-adjusted mortality. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2014;76(3):634-639; discussion 639-641.
21. Law AC, Bosch NA, Song Y, Tale A, Lasser KE, Walkey AJ. In-hospital vs 30-day sepsis mortality at US safety-net and non-safety-net hospitals. JAMA Netw Open. 2024;7(5):e2412873.
22. Lee YJ, Yoo JW, Hua L, Kim PC, Kim SJ, Shen JJ. Ten-year trends of palliative care utilization associated with multiple sclerosis patients in the United States from 2005 to 2014. J Clin Neurosci. 2018;58:13-19.
23. Ludwig M, Jacob J, Basedow F, Andersohn F, Walker J. Clinical outcomes and characteristics of patients

hospitalized for Influenza or COVID-19 in Germany. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;103:316-322.

24. Maamar A, Guillot P, Joussellin V, Delamaire F, Painvin B, Bichon A, de la Jartre OB, et al. Moderate-to-severe ARDS: COVID-19 patients compared to influenza patients for ventilator parameters and mortality. ERJ Open Res. 2023;9(2):00554-2022.

25. Maamar A, Liard C, Doucet W, Reizine F, Painvin B, Delamaire F, Coirier V, et al. Acquired agitation in acute respiratory distress syndrome with COVID-19 compared to influenza patients: a propensity score matching observational study. Virol J. 2022;19(1):145.

26. Marois C, Nedelec T, Pelle J, Rozes A, Durrleman S, Dufouil C, Demoule A. Comparison of clinical profiles and mortality outcomes between influenza and COVID-19 patients invasively ventilated in the ICU: a retrospective study from all paris public hospitals from 2016 to 2021. Crit Care Explor. 2022;4(7):e0737.

27. Mukherjee V, Postelnicu R, Parker C, Rivers PS, Anesi GL, Andrews A, Ables E, et al. COVID-19 across pandemic variant periods: the severe acute respiratory infection-preparedness (SARI-PREP) study. Crit Care Explor. 2024;6(7):e1122.

28. Nada KM, Hsu ES, Seashore J, Zaidan M, Nishi SP, Duarte A, Sharma G. Determining cause of death during coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. Crit Care Explor. 2021;3(4):e0419.

29. Naouri D, Pham T, Dres M, Vuagnat A, Beduneau G, Mercat A, Combes A, et al. Differences in clinical characteristics and outcomes between COVID-19 and influenza in critically ill adult patients: A national database study. J Infect. 2023;87(2):120-127.

30. Nasrullah A, Gangu K, Garg I, Javed A, Shuja H, Chourasia P, Shekhar R, et al. Trends in hospitalization and mortality for influenza and other respiratory viruses during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. Vaccines (Basel). 2023;11(2):412.

31. Piroth L, Cottenet J, Mariet AS, Bonniaud P, Blot M, Tubert-Bitter P, Quantin C. Comparison of the characteristics, morbidity, and mortality of COVID-19 and seasonal influenza: a nationwide, population-based retrospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. 2021;9(3):251-259.

32. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, Fushimi K, Graham P, Hider P, Januel JM, et al. Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. Am J Epidemiol. 2011;173(6):676-682.

33. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, Saunders LD, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.

34. Reineck LA, Pike F, Le TQ, Cicero BD, Iwashyna TJ, Kahn JM. Hospital factors associated with discharge bias in ICU performance measurement. Crit Care Med. 2014;42(5):1055-1064.

35. Respiratory virus hospitalization surveillance network (RESP-NET). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/resp-net/dashboard/index.html.

36. Rosenthal N, Cao Z, Gundrum J, Sianis J, Safo S. Risk factors associated with in-hospital mortality in a US national sample of patients with COVID-19. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(12):e2029058.

37. Schorr CA, Angelo M, John G, LeCompte K, Dellinger RP. The association of increasing hospice use with decreasing hospital mortality: an analysis of the national inpatient sample. J Healthc Manag. 2020;65(2):107-120.

38. Sim JK, Lee HS, Yang J, Gwack J, Kim BI, Cha JO, Min KH, et al. Comparative analysis of clinical outcomes using propensity score matching: coronavirus disease 2019 vs. seasonal influenza in Korea. J Korean Med Sci. 2024;39(14):e128.

39. Solomon DA, Sherman AC, Kanjilal S. Influenza in the COVID-19 era. JAMA. 2020;324(13):1342-1343.

40. Taniguchi Y, Kuno T, Komiyama J, Adomi M, Suzuki T, Abe T, Ishimaru M, et al. Comparison of patient characteristics and in-hospital mortality between patients with COVID-19 in 2020 and those with influenza in 2017-2020: a multicenter, retrospective cohort study in Japan. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2022;20:100365.

41. Texas inpatient public use data file. Texas Department of State Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, Austin, Texas. 2018. Available from: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/thcic/hospitals/Inpatientpudf.shtm.

42. Vasilevskis EE, Kuzniewicz MW, Dean ML, Clay T, Vittinghoff E, Rennie DJ, Dudley RA. Relationship between discharge practices and intensive care unit in-hospital mortality performance: evidence of a discharge bias. Med Care. 2009;47(7):803-812.

43. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, Initiative S. The

Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. PLoS Med. 2007;4(10):e296.

44. WHO COVID-19 Dashboard. World Health Organization. Data. Available from: https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/deaths?n=o.

45. Xie Y, Bowe B, Maddukuri G, Al-Aly Z. Comparative evaluation of clinical manifestations and risk of death in patients admitted to hospital with covid-19 and seasonal influenza: cohort study. BMJ. 2020;371:m4677.

46. Xie Y, Choi T, Al-Aly Z. Risk of death in patients hospitalized for COVID-19 vs seasonal influenza in Fall-Winter 2022-2023. JAMA. 2023;329(19):1697-1699.