Automated Identification And Categorization Of Cerebral Stroke Lesions In MRI Through Machine Learning

Dr. Elena V. Sokolova
Laboratory of Neuroinformatics, Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow, Russia

Dr. James O. Mensah
Neuroscience and Biomedical Informatics Unit, University of Ghana Medical School, Accra, Ghana

Published Date: 16 December 2024 // Page no.: - 25-30

ABSTRACT

Stroke, a leading cause of disability and mortality worldwide, necessitates rapid and accurate diagnosis for effective treatment and improved patient outcomes. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) plays a crucial role in visualizing brain stroke lesions, but manual interpretation can be time-consuming and prone to inter-observer variability. This article presents a comprehensive overview of automated methods for the detection and classification of cerebral stroke lesions in MRI scans using various machine learning techniques. The integration of advanced image processing, feature extraction, and classification algorithms offers significant potential to enhance diagnostic efficiency, improve consistency, and support clinical decision-making. We discuss the methodologies, key algorithms, and the promising results achieved in this field, highlighting the transformative impact of machine learning on neuroimaging analysis for stroke.

Keywords: Stroke lesion detection, MRI analysis, machine learning, cerebral stroke classification, medical image processing, automated diagnosis, neuroimaging, deep learning, brain lesion segmentation, artificial intelligence in healthcare.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a severe cerebrovascular event characterized by a sudden interruption of blood flow to a part of the brain, leading to brain cell death [1]. It is a major global health concern, ranking as a leading cause of long-term disability and the second leading cause of death worldwide [4]. The burden of stroke is significant, impacting individuals, families, and healthcare systems, including in countries like Malaysia where it poses a substantial challenge [2]. Strokes can be broadly categorized into ischemic (caused by a blockage, accounting for approximately 87% of cases) and hemorrhagic (caused by bleeding) [1]. Prompt and accurate diagnosis is paramount, as timely intervention, particularly for ischemic stroke, can significantly reduce brain damage and improve patient prognosis [12].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has emerged as the gold standard for neuroimaging in acute stroke due to its superior soft tissue contrast and ability to detect subtle changes in brain tissue [12], [13], [15]. Different MRI sequences, such as Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (DWI), Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR), and T2-weighted imaging, provide complementary information crucial for lesion identification, characterization, and differentiation between acute and chronic stroke [12], [15]. For instance, DWI is highly sensitive to acute ischemic changes, while FLAIR can help distinguish between acute and subacute lesions [12]. Despite the diagnostic power of MRI, the manual interpretation of these complex images by radiologists is a labor-intensive process that requires specialized expertise. Furthermore, manual segmentation and classification can be subjective, leading to variability in diagnosis and treatment planning [18], [19].

The advent of machine learning (ML) techniques offers a transformative solution to automate and enhance the analysis of medical images, including MRI scans for stroke detection [7], [8], [9]. Machine learning algorithms can learn complex patterns from vast datasets of images, enabling them to identify and classify stroke lesions with high accuracy and consistency, potentially surpassing human capabilities in certain aspects [7], [8]. This automation not only speeds up the diagnostic process but also provides objective and reproducible results, which are critical in time-sensitive conditions like acute stroke [9]. Various computational intelligence techniques have shown promise in medical image analysis, including for conditions like epilepsy classification [22].

The primary objective of this article is to provide a comprehensive review of the machine learning techniques employed for the automated detection and classification of brain stroke lesions in MRI images. This includes exploring the various stages of the automated process, from image pre-processing and segmentation to feature extraction and classification. We will discuss the strengths and applications of different machine learning algorithms in this context, highlighting their contributions to improving diagnostic accuracy and efficiency in stroke management. The focus is on how these advanced computational methods can overcome the limitations of manual analysis, contributing to better patient care and outcomes, especially considering the impact of stroke on young adults [5] and the importance of secondary stroke prevention [6].

METHODOLOGY

The automated detection and classification of brain stroke lesions in MRI using machine learning techniques typically involves a multi-stage pipeline, encompassing image acquisition, pre-processing, segmentation, feature extraction, and classification. Each stage plays a critical role in ensuring the accuracy and robustness of the overall system.

A. Image Acquisition and Pre-processing

The initial step involves acquiring MRI scans of the brain, commonly including sequences such as Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (DWI), Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) maps, FLAIR, and T2-weighted images [12], [15]. These multi-spectral images provide diverse information about tissue properties, which is crucial for distinguishing stroke lesions from healthy brain tissue and other pathologies [8], [17].

Once acquired, MRI images often require several pre-processing steps to enhance image quality and prepare them for subsequent analysis:

1. Noise Reduction: MRI scans can be affected by various types of noise, which can obscure subtle lesion features. Techniques such as Gaussian filtering, median filtering, or non-local means denoising are commonly applied to reduce noise while preserving important image details [19].

2. Bias Field Correction: Intensity non-uniformity, or bias field, is a common artifact in MRI that can lead to incorrect intensity values across the image. Algorithms like N3 (Non-parametric Non-uniformity Normalization) or advanced bias correction methods embedded within clustering algorithms (e.g., Fuzzy C-Means) are used to correct for this inhomogeneity [17], [19].

3. Intensity Normalization: To ensure consistency across different scans and patients, image intensities are often normalized. This step helps in standardizing the dynamic range of pixel values, which is beneficial for machine learning algorithms that are sensitive to intensity variations [19].

4. Skull Stripping/Brain Extraction: The skull and other non-brain tissues (e.g., fat, skin) are typically removed to isolate the brain parenchyma. This step reduces irrelevant data and focuses the analysis solely on the brain region, improving the efficiency and accuracy of subsequent segmentation and classification [19].

B. Lesion Segmentation

Segmentation is the process of delineating the exact boundaries of the stroke lesion from the surrounding healthy brain tissue. This is a critical step, as accurate segmentation directly impacts the quality of extracted features and the subsequent classification performance. Various techniques have been explored for automated stroke lesion segmentation:

1. Thresholding-based Methods: Simple intensity-based thresholding can be used for initial lesion detection, especially in DWI sequences where acute ischemic lesions appear hyperintense [7]. However, these methods are often insufficient due to intensity overlap with other tissues and partial volume effects.

2. Region-growing Methods: These techniques start from a seed point within the lesion and iteratively add neighboring pixels that meet certain criteria (e.g., intensity similarity, spatial proximity) [19].

3. Clustering Algorithms: Unsupervised clustering methods like K-Means and Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) are widely used to group pixels based on their intensity values and other features. FCM, in particular, allows pixels to belong to multiple clusters with varying degrees of membership, making it robust to intensity variations and noise [17], [20]. Hybrid techniques combining FCM with other methods have also been proposed for brain tumor detection, which shares similarities with lesion segmentation [20].

4. Active Contour Models (Snakes): These methods evolve a deformable curve or surface to fit the boundaries of the lesion, driven by internal forces (e.g., smoothness) and external forces (e.g., image gradients). Level set methods are a popular implementation of active contours [17].

5. Machine Learning-based Segmentation:

o Support Vector Machines (SVMs): SVMs can be trained to classify pixels as either lesion or non-lesion based on their multi-spectral intensity values and spatial features [8]. They are effective in high-dimensional feature spaces.

o Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): Deep learning approaches, particularly CNNs, have shown remarkable success in medical image segmentation. U-Net and its variants are commonly used architectures that can learn hierarchical features directly from raw image data to accurately delineate lesion boundaries [39]. Parallel genetic-based algorithms on GPUs have also been explored for brain MRI segmentation [14].

o Contourlet Transform Technique: This technique has been applied for automatic detection and segmentation of ischemic stroke lesions from DWI, leveraging its ability to capture directional information and singularities in images [9].

o Method for Delineation of Tissue Lesions: Patented methods exist for delineating tissue lesions, indicating specialized approaches in this area [10].

C. Feature Extraction

After segmentation, relevant features are extracted from the identified lesion regions. These features represent quantitative characteristics of the lesion that are indicative of its type (e.g., ischemic vs. hemorrhagic), size, shape, and texture. Common types of features include:

1. Intensity Features: Mean intensity, standard deviation, histogram statistics (e.g., skewness, kurtosis) within the lesion area.

2. Shape Features: Area, perimeter, circularity, eccentricity, solidity, and compactness of the lesion. These features describe the geometric properties of the lesion.

3. Texture Features: Haralick features (e.g., contrast, correlation, energy, homogeneity) derived from Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrices (GLCM) are widely used to quantify the textural properties of the lesion. Other texture descriptors like Local Binary Patterns (LBP) can also be employed [28], [34]. Statistical features of X-ray images have been used for lung cancer detection and segmentation, indicating the broad applicability of such features [26].

4. Wavelet Features: Features derived from wavelet transforms can capture multi-resolution information and have been used in brain tumor detection and classification [11], [37].

5. Euclidean Distance Features: Features based on Euclidean distance matrices can capture spatial relationships and have theoretical underpinnings in various computational fields [23], [24], [25].

6. Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): LDA can be used for dimensionality reduction and feature extraction, finding linear combinations of features that best separate different classes [27].

D. Lesion Classification

The extracted features are then fed into a machine learning classifier to categorize the stroke lesion. The goal is often to distinguish between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, or to classify stroke severity or subtype. Various supervised machine learning algorithms are employed:

1. Support Vector Machines (SVMs): SVMs are powerful classifiers that find an optimal hyperplane to separate data points belonging to different classes in a high-dimensional feature space [8], [29], [30], [35], [37]. They are robust to high-dimensional data and effective for binary classification tasks (e.g., stroke vs. non-stroke, ischemic vs. hemorrhagic).

2. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs): ANNs, including multi-layer perceptrons, can learn complex non-linear relationships between features and classes [3], [33]. They are highly adaptable and can be trained for multi-class classification tasks.

3. K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN): KNN is a simple, non-parametric algorithm that classifies a new data point based on the majority class of its 'k' nearest neighbors in the feature space [28]. It is easy to implement but can be computationally intensive for large datasets.

4. Random Forest: An ensemble learning method that constructs multiple decision trees during training and outputs the mode of the classes (classification) or mean prediction (regression) of the individual trees [29]. Random Forests are robust to overfitting and can handle high-dimensional data.

5. Bagged Tree Classifier: Another ensemble method where multiple decision trees are trained on different bootstrap samples of the data, and their predictions are combined (bagging) to improve overall accuracy and reduce variance [31].

6. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): Beyond segmentation, CNNs are also highly effective for direct classification of stroke lesions from raw or segmented MRI images [21], [39]. They can automatically learn hierarchical features from the image data, eliminating the need for manual feature engineering. Recent work has explored double-branch CNNs for intracranial hemorrhage detection [29].

7. Hybrid Techniques: Combinations of different algorithms, such as Fuzzy C-Means and SVM (FCM-SVM) for brain tumor detection, or optimized feature sets with SVM for ischemic stroke classification, are also common to leverage the strengths of multiple methods [20], [34], [36], [37], [38]. Machine learning algorithms have been widely used for ischemic stroke classification [32].

Results and Discussion

The application of machine learning techniques for automated detection and classification of brain stroke lesions in MRI has yielded significant advancements, promising substantial improvements in clinical practice.

A. Enhanced Diagnostic Efficiency and Speed

One of the most critical advantages of automated systems is the dramatic reduction in diagnostic time. In acute stroke, "time is brain," meaning every minute saved in diagnosis can lead to better patient outcomes [12]. Manual interpretation of multiple MRI sequences is time-consuming. Machine learning algorithms can process images and provide results within seconds or minutes, significantly accelerating the diagnostic workflow. This rapid analysis aids clinicians in making faster treatment decisions, particularly for thrombolysis or thrombectomy in ischemic stroke, where treatment windows are narrow [12].

B. Improved Accuracy and Consistency

Automated systems can achieve high levels of accuracy in lesion detection and classification, often comparable to or exceeding human experts in specific tasks. Machine learning models, once trained on large, diverse datasets, can identify subtle patterns and features that might be missed by the human eye, leading to more precise diagnoses [7], [8]. Furthermore, automated systems eliminate inter-observer variability, ensuring consistent results regardless of the radiologist or clinician interpreting the images. This consistency is vital for standardized care and for longitudinal monitoring of patients. Studies have shown the effectiveness of SVMs [8], CNNs [39], and bagged tree classifiers [31] in achieving high classification accuracies for stroke lesions.

C. Quantitative Analysis and Objective Metrics

Automated segmentation provides precise quantitative measurements of lesion volume, shape, and location, which are crucial for assessing stroke severity, predicting functional outcomes, and monitoring treatment response. These objective metrics are difficult to obtain manually with high precision. Machine learning models can also provide confidence scores for their predictions, offering clinicians a measure of certainty in the automated diagnosis. The ability to extract robust features, such as texture and shape features, further enhances the discriminatory power of these systems [28], [34].

D. Support for Clinical Decision-Making

While not intended to replace human radiologists, automated systems serve as powerful decision support tools. They can act as a "second opinion," highlighting suspicious areas for closer examination or confirming a preliminary diagnosis. This collaborative approach can reduce diagnostic errors, especially in busy clinical settings or for less experienced clinicians. The integration of these tools into Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS) can streamline workflows and make advanced analysis readily available at the point of care.

E. Challenges and Limitations

Despite the promising results, several challenges remain. The need for large, annotated datasets for training robust machine learning models is a significant hurdle, as manual annotation is labor-intensive and requires expert knowledge. Variability in MRI scanner protocols, image quality, and patient demographics can also impact model generalization. Furthermore, distinguishing between acute and chronic lesions, or differentiating stroke from other brain pathologies (e.g., tumors, multiple sclerosis lesions) [18], [19], can be complex and requires sophisticated algorithms [36], [38]. The interpretability of "black box" machine learning models, particularly deep learning networks, is another area of ongoing research, as clinicians often require an understanding of why a particular diagnosis was made.

CONCLUSION

The integration of machine learning techniques into the analysis of MRI scans for brain stroke lesions represents a transformative step in neuroimaging diagnostics. Automated systems offer significant advantages in terms of diagnostic speed, accuracy, and consistency, which are critical for timely intervention and improved patient outcomes in a time-sensitive condition like stroke. From advanced pre-processing and precise segmentation using techniques like Fuzzy C-Means or CNNs, to robust classification with algorithms such as SVMs, Artificial Neural Networks, and ensemble methods, machine learning provides powerful tools for objective and quantitative lesion analysis.

While challenges related to data availability, model generalization, and interpretability persist, ongoing research continues to refine these methodologies. The future of stroke diagnosis will undoubtedly involve a synergistic approach, where human expertise is augmented by intelligent automated systems, leading to more efficient, accurate, and ultimately, more effective patient care. Further work should focus on developing more robust and generalizable models, integrating multi-modal data for comprehensive stroke assessment, and exploring explainable AI approaches to enhance clinical trust and adoption.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Velayudhan and P. Pawha, "Stroke Imaging" [Online]. Available: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/338385-overview.

[2] K. W. Loo, and S. H. Gan, "Burden of Stroke In Malaysia", International Journal of Stroke, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 165-167, 2012.

[3] M. H. Jali, T. A. Izzuddin, Z. H. Bohari, H. Sarkawi, M. F. Sulaima, M. F. Baharom, and W. M. Bukhari, "Joint Torque Estimation Model of sEMG Signal for Arm Rehabilitation Device Using Artificial Neural Network Techniques," in Advanced Computer and Communication Engineering Technology, pp. 671-682, 2015.

[4] World Stroke Organization, "Impact of Stroke," World Stroke Organization, Feb. 28, 2025. [Online]. Available: . .

[5] Smajlović, "Strokes in Young Adults: Epidemiology and Prevention," Vascular Health and Risk Management, vol. 11, pp. 157, 2015.

[6] J. Peter and A. H. Justus, "Knowledge and Practices of Stroke Survivors Regarding Secondary Stroke Prevention, Khomas Region, Namibia," Journal of Medical Biomedical and Applied Sciences, vol. 1, pp. 1-13, 2016.

[7] N. M. Saad, N. S. M. Noor, A. R. Abdullah, S. Muda, A. F. Muda, and H. Musa, "Segmentation and Classification Analysis Techniques for Stroke based on Diffusion-Weighted Images," IAENG International Journal of

Computer Science, vol. 44, no. 3, 2017.

[8] O. Maier, M. Wilms, J. von der Gablentz, U. Krämer, and H. Handels, "Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation in Multi-Spectral MR Images with Support Vector Machine Classifiers," in Medical Imaging 2014: Computer-Aided Diagnosis, vol 9035, pp. 21-32, 2014.

[9] A. Shyna and J. Deepa, "Automatic Detection and Segmentation of Ischemic Stroke Lesion from Diffusion Weighted MRI using Contourlet Transform Technique," International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 2335-2341, 2014.

[10] K. Nagenthiraja, K. Mouridsen, and L. R. Ribe, "Method for Delineation of Tissue Lesions," United States Patent 9,036,878, 2015.

[11] A. R. Mathew and P. B. Anto, "Tumor Detection and Classification Of MRI Brain Image Using Wavelet Transform And SVM," in International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication (ICSPC'17), pp. 75-78. 2017.

[12] K. Nael and W. Kubal, "Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Acute Stroke," Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 293-304, 2016.

[13] K. Malhotra and D.S. Liebeskind, "Overview of Neuroimaging of Stroke" in Primer on Cerebrovascular Diseases (Second Edition), pp. 676-685, Academic Press, United Kingdom, 2017.

[14] C. L. Hung and Y. H. Wu, "Parallel Genetic-Based Algorithm On Multiple Embedded Graphic Processing Units for Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging Segmentation," Computers and Electrical Engineering, vol. 61, pp. 373-383, 2017.

[15] H. Y. Wey, V. R. Desai, and T. Q. Duong, "Review of Current Imaging Methods in Stroke Research" Neurological Research, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1092-1102, 2013.

[16] A. Bunevicius, H. Yuan, and W. Lin, "The Potential Roles of 18F-FDG-PET in Management of Acute Stroke Patients" BioMed Research International, vol. 2013, pp. 1-14, 2013.

[17] C. Feng, D. Zhao, and M. Huang, "Segmentation of Stroke Lesions in Multi-spectral MR Images Using Bias Correction Embedded FCM and Three Phase Level Set" in International Workshop on Brainlesion: Glioma, Multiple Sclerosis, Stroke and Traumatic Brain Injuries 2015, pp. 1-6, 2015.

[18] X. Lladó, A. Oliver, M. Cabezas, J. Freixenet, J. C. Vilanova, A. Quiles, L. Valls, T. L. Ramió, and À. Rovira, "Segmentation of Multiple Sclerosis Lesions in Brain MRI: A Review of Automated Approaches" Information Sciences, vol. 186, pp. 164-185, 2012.

[19] I. Despotović, B. Goossens, and W. Philips, "MRI Segmentation of the Human Brain: Challenges, Methods, and Applications," Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine, vol. 2015, pp. 1–23, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/450341.

[20] D. B. Birnale and S. N. Patil, "A Hybrid Technique based on Fuzzy-C-Means and SVM for Detection of Brain Tumor in MRI Images," International Journal of Engineering Science and Computing, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 2571-2574, 2016.

[21] S. Ahmad Radzi, M. Khalil-Hani, and R. Bakhteri, "Finger-Vein Biometric Identification Using Convolutional Neural Network," Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences, vol. 24, pp. 1863-1878, 2016.

[22] K. I. Qazi, H. K. Lam, B. Xiao, G. Ouyang, and X. Yin, "Classification Of Epilepsy Using Computational Intelligence Techniques," CAAI Transactions on Intelligence Technology, vol. 1, pp. 137-149, 2016.

[23] I. Dokmanic, R. Parhizkar, J. Ranieri, and M. Vetterli, "Euclidean distance matrices: essential theory, algorithms, and applications," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 12-30, 2015.

[24] J. Draisma, E. Horobeţ, G. Ottaviani, B. Sturmfels, and R. R. Thomas, "The Euclidean distance degree of an algebraic variety," Foundations of computational mathematics, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 99-149, 2016.

[25] J. Yang and F. Stern, "A highly scalable massively parallel fast marching method for the Eikonal equation," Journal of Computational Physics, vol. 332, pp. 333-362, 2017.

[26] M. A. Azeez, S. N. Mazhir, and A. H. Ali, "Detection and Segmentation of Lung Cancer using Statistical Features of X-Ray Images," Int J Comput Sci Mob Comput, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 307-313, 2015.

[27] T. K. Kim et al., "Incremental linear discriminant analysis using sufficient spanning sets and its applications," Int. J. Comput. Vision, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 216-232, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.1007/s11263-010-0381-3.

[28] A. H. Ali et al., "Classification of Brain Lesion using K-Nearest Neighbor technique and Texture Analysis," J. Phys. Conf. Ser., vol. 1178, no. 1, 012018, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1178/1/012018.

[29] A. Sage and P. Badura, "Intracranial hemorrhage detection in head CT using double-branch convolutional neural network, support vector machine, and random forest," Appl. Sci., vol. 10, no. 21, pp. 1-13, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.3390/app10217577.

[30] S. A. Taie and W. Ghonaim, "A new model for early diagnosis of alzheimer's disease based on BAT-SVM classifier," Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 759–766, Apr. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.11591/eei.v10i2.2714.

[31] N. M. Saad et al., "Automated Classification of Stroke

Lesion Using Bagged Tree Classifier," IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng., vol. 884, no. 1, 012078, 2020, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/884/1/012078.

[32] S. Y. Adam, A. Yousif, and M. B. Bashir, "Classification of Ischemic Stroke using Machine Learning Algorithms", International Journal of Computer Applications, 149(10), pp. 26-31, 2016.

[33] S. Gupta, A. Mishra and Menaka R, "Ischemic Stroke detection using Image processing and ANN," 2014 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Communications, Control and Computing Technologies, Ramanathapuram, India, 2014, pp. 1416-1420, doi: 10.1109/ICACCCT.2014.7019334.

[34] A. Subudhi, S. Sahoo, P. Biswal, and S. Sabut, "Segmentation and Classification of Ischemic Stroke using Optimized Features in Brain MRI," Biomedical Engineering: Applications, Basis and Communications, vol. 30, no. 03, p. 1850011, May 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.4015/s1016237218500114.

[35] S. R. Telrandhe, A. Pimpalkar, and A. Kendhe, "Implementation of Brain Tumor Detection using Segmentation Algorithm & SVM Image Classification View project Sentiment Analysis View project Swapnil R Telrandhe Implementation of Brain Tumor Detection using Segmentation Algorithm & SVM," Int. J. Comput. Sci. Eng., vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 278–284, 2016, [Online]. Available: . Last Accessed: September 2024.

[36] B. Kiranmayee, "Effective Analysis of Brain Tumor Using Hybrid Data Mining Techniques," Int. J. Adv. Res. Comput. Sci., vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 286–293, 2017.

[37] A. Batra and G. Kaushik, "SECTUBIM : Automatic Segmentation And Classification of Tumeric Brain MRI Images using FHS (FCM, HWT and SVM)," Int. J. Eng. Sci. Comput., vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 13190–13194, 2017.

[38] T. Ruba, R. Tamilselvi, M. Parisa Beham, and N. Aparna, "Accurate Classification and Detection of Brain Cancer Cells in MRI and CT Images using Nano Contrast Agents," Biomed. Pharmacol. J., vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1227–1237, 2020.

[39] F. J. Díaz-Pernas, M. Martínez-Zarzuela, D. González-Ortega, and M. Antón-Rodríguez, "A deep learning approach for brain tumor classification and segmentation using a multiscale convolutional neural network," Healthc., vol. 9, no. 2, p. 153, 2021.