FEMS, (2026)

Frontiers in Emerging Multidisciplinary Sciences (Open Access)

Volume 03, Issue 08, August 2026
Publish Date: 15-08-2026

PageNo.69-75

A Scalable Socio-Technical Architecture for AI-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management

Reza Hosseini
Department of Artificial Intelligence, Institute of Advanced Computing, Iran

Sara Mohammadi
Department of Intelligent Systems Engineering, Centre for AI Research, Iran

ABSTRACT

The increasing complexity of construction projects requires management architectures capable of integrating heterogeneous data, computational intelligence, human decision-making, and sustainability objectives. This paper develops a conceptual socio-technical architecture for AI-enabled sustainable construction management by synthesizing the analytical, text-mining, sentiment-analysis, and optimization capabilities represented in the provided literature. The proposed architecture consists of six interacting layers: data acquisition, semantic intelligence, analytical intelligence, optimization, human–AI coordination, and sustainability-oriented decision support. Text-mining techniques provide mechanisms for extracting structured information from unstructured project communication, while sentiment and opinion-analysis approaches support the interpretation of stakeholder perceptions. Optimization-oriented studies provide a foundation for adaptive resource allocation and computational search. The methodology uses a reference-driven conceptual synthesis rather than empirical experimentation because the supplied literature does not contain construction-specific experimental datasets. The resulting framework demonstrates how heterogeneous AI functions can be organized into a scalable management architecture while preserving human oversight. Findings indicate that scalability depends not only on computational capacity but also on semantic interoperability, explainability, adaptive optimization, and organizational acceptance. The paper identifies important limitations, particularly the indirect transferability of sentiment-analysis and optimization studies to construction environments, and proposes future empirical validation through project-level datasets and longitudinal implementation studies.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Construction, Socio-Technical Systems, Text Mining, Sentiment Analysis, Optimization, Decision Support, Construction Management, Scalability, Human–AI Collaboration.

INTRODUCTION

Construction management increasingly operates within environments characterized by fragmented information, multiple stakeholders, uncertain resource conditions, and competing operational and sustainability objectives. Project information is generated through formal documents, technical reports, communication records, feedback, progress information, and other heterogeneous sources. A management architecture that treats these information streams independently may produce fragmented decisions because operational knowledge, stakeholder perceptions, and computational optimization remain disconnected. Consequently, the central challenge is not simply the introduction of artificial intelligence (AI), but the design of an architecture in which computational capabilities and human organizational processes reinforce one another.

The concept of A Scalable Socio-Technical Architecture for AI-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management therefore requires attention to both technical scalability and socio-organizational integration. A scalable architecture should be able to accommodate increasing data volumes, additional project participants, changing analytical requirements, and new optimization objectives without requiring complete redesign. At the same time, construction decisions cannot be reduced to algorithmic outputs because managerial judgment, stakeholder negotiation, contextual knowledge, and accountability remain essential.

The supplied literature provides several technological foundations relevant to such an architecture. Text mining establishes mechanisms for extracting useful information from textual data (Dang and Ahmad, 2014), while research on text and web mining provides a broader conceptual basis for processing large-scale heterogeneous information (Song and Wu, 2010). Sentiment-analysis research demonstrates methods for interpreting opinions and polarity within textual communication (Kim and Hovy, 2004; Kumar and Teeja, 2012). Ontological approaches further indicate how commonsense knowledge can be organized for sentiment interpretation (Dragoni, Poria and Cambria, 2018). Meanwhile, optimization studies demonstrate adaptive computational strategies for search and classification-related problems (Bangyal et al., 2018; Bangyal et al., 2021; Junaid, Bangyal and Ahmad, 2020).

The objective of this paper is to synthesize these capabilities into a coherent conceptual architecture for sustainable construction management. The paper specifically examines how unstructured project information can be transformed into actionable intelligence, how optimization mechanisms can support management decisions, and how human actors can remain integrated within AI-enabled workflows. The scope is deliberately conceptual because the provided references do not include empirical construction datasets or construction-specific AI validation studies. Accordingly, the paper does not claim empirical performance; instead, it establishes a research architecture that can subsequently be tested in real construction environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Text Mining as an Information-Integration Foundation

Text mining provides an important foundation for converting unstructured textual information into computationally usable knowledge. Dang and Ahmad (2014) describe text-mining techniques as mechanisms for extracting meaningful patterns from textual sources. In construction management, the conceptual implication is significant because many operational signals may exist in textual rather than numerical form. Site reports, meeting records, project correspondence, issue descriptions, and stakeholder comments can contain information concerning delays, resource constraints, quality concerns, and emerging risks.

Song and Wu (2010) extend this perspective by positioning text and web mining within a broader information-processing environment. Their work supports the proposition that AI-enabled management architectures require systematic mechanisms for collecting, processing, and interpreting large volumes of heterogeneous information. However, neither source establishes a construction-specific implementation. Therefore, their relevance to construction management should be interpreted as methodological rather than empirical.

2.2 Sentiment and Opinion Intelligence

Sentiment analysis introduces a complementary information dimension: stakeholder perception. Kim and Hovy (2004) investigate the determination of sentiment in opinions, establishing a basis for distinguishing evaluative content in textual communication. Pang and Lee (2005) demonstrate how sentiment categorization can incorporate relationships between classes and rating scales. These approaches suggest that construction management systems could potentially distinguish positive, negative, neutral, or more nuanced stakeholder reactions.

Kumar and Teeja (2012) characterize sentiment analysis as an evolving analytical field, while Joshi, Bhattacharyya and Ahire (2017) discuss sentiment resources, including lexicons and datasets. Dragoni, Poria and Cambria (2018) introduce an ontology-oriented perspective through OntosenticNet, indicating that sentiment interpretation can benefit from structured commonsense knowledge. Gopi et al. (2020) further demonstrate classification of textual data based on polarity using an improved RBF-kernel SVM approach.

For construction management, these studies collectively indicate that textual communication can become a source of managerial intelligence. A project platform could theoretically identify recurring negative perceptions associated with coordination, safety communication, quality concerns, or schedule disruptions. Nevertheless, sentiment polarity should not be equated with actual project performance. A negative communication may reflect a legitimate risk, but it may also represent an isolated disagreement. Thus, sentiment intelligence should function as a decision-support signal rather than an autonomous managerial judgment.

2.3 Optimization and Adaptive Computational Intelligence

The second major technological foundation concerns optimization. Bangyal et al. (2018) examine artificial neural networks combined with opposition-based particle swarm optimization for classification. Bangyal et al. (2021) investigate initialization strategies in particle swarm optimization for global optimization problems. Junaid, Bangyal and Ahmad (2020) propose a bat algorithm using Sobol sequence initialization, while Bangyal et al. (2018) examine mutation strategies in the bat algorithm.

Although these studies are not construction-management studies, they provide methodological evidence concerning adaptive search, initialization, population-based optimization, and computational exploration. Such mechanisms are conceptually relevant to construction because management problems often involve competing objectives. A project may seek to reduce delays while controlling cost, material waste, resource consumption, and environmental impact. A computational optimization layer can therefore be designed to search for feasible trade-offs rather than optimize a single isolated variable.

2.4 Research Gap and Theoretical Positioning

The central gap in the supplied literature is not the absence of individual AI techniques, but the absence of an integrated socio-technical architecture connecting them to sustainable construction management. Text mining, sentiment analysis, semantic representation, classification, and optimization are represented separately. The proposed architecture integrates these capabilities into a layered system.

The theoretical positioning of the proposed work is therefore architectural rather than algorithm-specific. The architecture treats AI as a collection of interoperable analytical services embedded within a human-managed organizational environment. This perspective is consistent with the conceptual scope of A Scalable Socio-Technical Architecture for AI-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management, where scalability refers simultaneously to computational growth, information complexity, organizational participation, and decision-making requirements.

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual synthesis methodology based exclusively on the supplied references. The methodology involves identifying recurring technological capabilities within the literature, mapping those capabilities to construction-management functions, and integrating them into a layered socio-technical architecture. No external references or empirical datasets are introduced.

The first analytical dimension is information extraction. Text-mining concepts are mapped to the acquisition and preprocessing of unstructured construction information. The second dimension is semantic interpretation, where sentiment, polarity, lexical resources, and ontological structures are used to transform textual content into interpretable signals. The third dimension is computational optimization, where adaptive search methods provide a conceptual basis for selecting or recommending management alternatives.

The fourth dimension is human integration. AI outputs are treated as decision-support information rather than final decisions. Managers and project stakeholders remain responsible for interpreting recommendations within project constraints. Finally, the architecture incorporates sustainability as a cross-layer decision criterion rather than as a separate analytical module.

3.2 Proposed Six-Layer Architecture

The proposed architecture contains six logical layers.

Layer 1: Data Acquisition and Integration. This layer collects structured and unstructured information from project-management environments. Structured information may include schedules, resource records, and progress measurements, while unstructured information may include project correspondence, reports, feedback, and meeting documentation. Text-mining principles provide the conceptual mechanism for converting textual information into machine-processable representations (Dang and Ahmad, 2014).

Layer 2: Textual and Semantic Intelligence. The second layer performs linguistic preprocessing, classification, keyword extraction, and semantic organization. Sentiment-analysis methods can identify evaluative signals within stakeholder communication (Kim and Hovy, 2004; Kumar and Teeja, 2012). Ontological resources can further support semantic interpretation by connecting sentiment to contextual concepts (Dragoni, Poria and Cambria, 2018).

Layer 3: Analytical Intelligence. This layer converts extracted signals into project-level indicators. For example, repeated negative feedback concerning material delivery could be transformed into a coordination-risk indicator. Classification approaches such as those discussed by Gopi et al. (2020) provide a methodological precedent for converting textual characteristics into categorized outputs.

Layer 4: Optimization and Decision Search. The fourth layer evaluates alternative actions. Population-based optimization methods provide a conceptual basis for searching large solution spaces (Bangyal et al., 2018; Bangyal et al., 2021). Alternative initialization strategies, such as those explored by Junaid, Bangyal and Ahmad (2020), can theoretically improve search diversity. Within construction management, this layer could evaluate combinations of resource allocation, scheduling adjustments, or sustainability interventions.

Layer 5: Human–AI Coordination. This layer introduces managerial validation. AI recommendations are presented with their supporting signals, assumptions, and possible trade-offs. Managers can accept, reject, modify, or request alternative solutions. This prevents the architecture from treating optimization output as inherently correct.

Layer 6: Sustainability and Governance. Sustainability operates as a cross-cutting control mechanism. Decisions can be evaluated according to economic, operational, resource-efficiency, and environmental criteria. Governance mechanisms should additionally address accountability, data quality, transparency, and organizational acceptance.

3.3 Scalability Mechanism

Scalability is achieved through modularity. Each analytical capability can operate as an independent service while exchanging standardized representations with adjacent layers. For example, the text-mining layer can process increasing quantities of documents without forcing changes to the optimization layer. Similarly, additional classification models can be introduced without reconstructing the data-acquisition architecture.

The architecture can also scale organizationally. A small project may use a limited set of data streams and basic analytical services, whereas a large infrastructure program may incorporate multiple stakeholder groups and continuous information flows. This modularity reduces technological lock-in and enables gradual implementation.

3.4 Hypothetical Operational Scenario

Consider a construction project experiencing repeated material-delivery disruptions. Project communications contain complaints concerning supplier reliability, while progress information indicates recurring schedule deviations. The architecture first extracts relevant textual information through text mining. Sentiment analysis identifies the intensity and recurrence of negative stakeholder perceptions. Classification mechanisms categorize communications according to issue type. The analytical layer combines these signals with operational information, after which the optimization layer evaluates alternative responses such as supplier substitution, delivery rescheduling, or inventory adjustments.

The final recommendation is presented to the project manager together with the underlying evidence. The manager can reject a computationally attractive option if contractual, geographic, or relationship considerations make it impractical. The system therefore supports rather than replaces managerial judgment.

3.5 Evaluation Framework

Because this paper is conceptual, evaluation should occur through future empirical validation. Appropriate evaluation dimensions include prediction accuracy, optimization quality, computational scalability, decision latency, stakeholder acceptance, interpretability, and sustainability impact. Importantly, evaluation should compare AI-assisted decisions with established project-management practices rather than merely assessing algorithmic accuracy.

RESULTS

The conceptual synthesis produces five principal findings concerning the design of an AI-enabled sustainable construction-management architecture. First, integration is more important than isolated algorithmic sophistication. The supplied literature demonstrates effective techniques for text mining, sentiment analysis, classification, semantic representation, and optimization, but these capabilities address different analytical stages. A construction-management system becomes strategically useful only when information extracted from one stage can be transformed into actionable input for another. Thus, the proposed architecture places interoperability between layers at the center of scalability.

Second, unstructured communication represents a potentially important but underused information source. Text-mining research demonstrates that textual information can be systematically analyzed (Dang and Ahmad, 2014; Song and Wu, 2010). Sentiment-related studies further show that opinions can be classified and interpreted (Kim and Hovy, 2004; Pang and Lee, 2005). In a construction context, this suggests that project communication should not be treated merely as administrative documentation. It can function as an early-warning information stream. However, the finding is conceptual because the supplied studies do not empirically establish construction-specific predictive performance.

Third, optimization should operate after contextual information has been interpreted. The optimization studies indicate the value of adaptive search and population-based computational strategies (Bangyal et al., 2018; Bangyal et al., 2021; Junaid, Bangyal and Ahmad, 2020). Within the proposed architecture, optimization therefore occupies a downstream position. This prevents the system from optimizing poorly defined or semantically ambiguous objectives. A scheduling algorithm, for example, should not simply minimize duration if doing so substantially increases resource consumption or creates unacceptable stakeholder risks.

Fourth, human oversight is a structural requirement rather than an optional feature. Sentiment classification may misinterpret sarcasm, domain-specific terminology, or context-dependent language. Likewise, optimization can identify mathematically attractive solutions that are organizationally infeasible. Consequently, the architecture requires a human–AI coordination layer between computational recommendations and project execution. This finding transforms the system from an autonomous automation model into a socio-technical decision-support model.

Fifth, sustainability must be embedded across the architecture. Treating sustainability as a final reporting stage would permit operational decisions to be optimized without considering their environmental or resource implications. The proposed framework instead places sustainability criteria across analytical and optimization processes. The architecture represented by A Scalable Socio-Technical Architecture for AI-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management therefore views sustainability as a decision constraint and evaluation criterion rather than merely an outcome indicator.

Overall, the findings support a modular architecture in which text mining supplies information, semantic intelligence provides contextual interpretation, analytical models generate indicators, optimization explores alternatives, human actors validate recommendations, and sustainability governance constrains implementation. The principal limitation is that these findings represent architectural deductions from non-construction-specific literature rather than experimentally demonstrated construction outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that the proposed architecture should be understood as an integration framework rather than as a single AI model. This distinction has theoretical importance. The provided literature is distributed across text mining, sentiment analysis, semantic resources, classification, and optimization. Integrating these domains creates a broader conceptual pathway from raw information to managerial action. The resulting architecture therefore extends the functional interpretation of AI from prediction toward coordinated decision intelligence.

A particularly important theoretical implication concerns the role of textual information. Traditional computational management systems tend to prioritize structured numerical information, whereas text-mining and sentiment-analysis research demonstrates that meaningful information can also be extracted from natural-language content (Dang and Ahmad, 2014; Kim and Hovy, 2004). In construction, this could enable systems to detect emerging concerns before they become visible in formal performance indicators. Nevertheless, sentiment should not be interpreted as a direct measurement of project condition. A negative stakeholder statement may signal a genuine operational problem, but it may also arise from temporary disagreement. Semantic interpretation and managerial validation are therefore necessary.

The optimization component introduces another important trade-off. Adaptive optimization techniques can search complex solution spaces and potentially improve computational decision-making (Bangyal et al., 2018; Bangyal et al., 2021). However, greater optimization complexity does not automatically produce better management decisions. Construction decisions contain contractual, social, safety, and contextual constraints that may not be fully represented in an objective function. Consequently, the architecture should prioritize constrained and explainable recommendations rather than purely numerical optimization.

The socio-technical perspective also changes how scalability should be evaluated. Computational scalability concerns processing capacity, whereas organizational scalability concerns whether additional users, stakeholders, data sources, and decision requirements can be incorporated without reducing system usefulness. The proposed modular architecture addresses both dimensions. However, modularity can also create interoperability challenges. Different analytical modules may generate incompatible representations, confidence measures, or decision assumptions. Standardized interfaces and explicit provenance mechanisms would therefore be necessary in an empirical implementation.

The architecture represented by A Scalable Socio-Technical Architecture for AI-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management also has practical implications for implementation strategy. Organizations should not begin with complete automation. A phased approach could initially introduce text-based information extraction, followed by analytical classification and decision-support optimization. Human validation should remain mandatory while model reliability is established. This approach reduces implementation risk and enables organizations to identify where AI generates genuine managerial value.

The most significant limitation is the indirect relationship between the supplied literature and construction management. The references establish relevant computational methods, but they do not collectively demonstrate that those methods will perform reliably on construction-specific datasets. Domain terminology, multilingual communication, project-specific abbreviations, and incomplete records may significantly affect model performance. Furthermore, the provided literature does not empirically address construction sustainability metrics. Consequently, the proposed framework should be considered a research architecture requiring empirical validation rather than a proven operational system.

Future research should therefore develop construction-specific datasets, test alternative text-classification strategies, evaluate optimization performance under multi-objective sustainability constraints, and examine user acceptance among project managers and other stakeholders. Longitudinal studies would be particularly valuable because the effectiveness of a socio-technical architecture may change as users learn to interpret and trust AI recommendations.

CONCLUSION

This paper developed a conceptual socio-technical architecture for AI-enabled sustainable construction management through synthesis of the supplied literature on text mining, sentiment analysis, semantic resources, classification, and optimization. The proposed architecture consists of six interacting layers: data acquisition and integration, textual and semantic intelligence, analytical intelligence, optimization and decision search, human–AI coordination, and sustainability and governance.

The central contribution is the integration of computational techniques into a coherent management architecture rather than the proposal of another isolated algorithm. Text mining can transform unstructured project communication into usable information; sentiment and semantic methods can provide contextual signals; classification can structure project intelligence; and adaptive optimization can explore alternative decisions. Human oversight remains essential because computational outputs may be technically plausible while being contextually inappropriate.

The study also establishes that scalability should be interpreted broadly. A genuinely scalable construction-management architecture must accommodate increasing data, analytical complexity, organizational participation, and sustainability requirements. Modular architecture, interoperable analytical layers, human validation, and cross-layer sustainability constraints provide a conceptual basis for achieving this objective.

Nevertheless, the conclusions must be interpreted within the limitations of the supplied evidence. The referenced studies are not collectively construction-specific, and therefore the proposed architecture has not been empirically validated for construction performance, sustainability outcomes, or organizational acceptance. Future research should test the framework using real project datasets and compare AI-assisted decisions against conventional management approaches. Such validation would determine whether the conceptual integration proposed in A Scalable Socio-Technical Architecture for AI-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management can translate into measurable improvements in construction efficiency, decision quality, and sustainability performance.

REFERENCES

1. W. H. Bangyal, A. Hameed, W. Alosaimi and H. Alyami, “A new initialization approach in particle swarm optimization for global optimization problems,” Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, vol. 2021, pp. 6628889:1–17, 2021.
2. W. H. Bangyal, J. Ahmad, H. T. Rauf and R. Shakir, “Evolving artificial neural networks using opposition based particle swarm optimization neural network for data classification,” in 2018 Int. Conf. on Innovation and Intelligence for Informatics, Computing, and Technologies, Sakhier, Bahrain, pp. 1–6, 2018.
3. W. H. Bangyal, J. Ahmad, H. T. Rauf and S. Pervaiz, “An overview of mutation strategies in bat algorithm,” International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 523–534, 2018.
4. S. Dang and P. H. Ahmad, “Text mining: Techniques and its application,” International Journal of Engineering & Technology Innovations, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 22–25, 2014.

5. M. Dragoni, S. Poria and E. Cambria, “Ontosenticnet: A commonsense ontology for sentiment analysis,” IEEE Intelligent Systems, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 77–85, 2018.
6. A. P. Gopi, R. N. S. Jyothi, V. L. Narayana and K. S. Sandeep, “Classification of tweets data based on polarity using improved RBF kernel of SVM,” International Journal of Information Technology, 2020.
7. A. Joshi, P. Bhattacharyya and S. Ahire, “Sentiment resources: Lexicons and datasets,” in A Practical Guide to Sentiment Analysis, vol. 5, Cham: Springer, pp. 85–106, 2017.
8. M. Junaid, W. H. Bangyal and J. Ahmad, “A novel bat algorithm using sobol sequence for the initialization of population,” in 2020 IEEE 23rd Int. Multitopic Conf. (INMIC), Bahawalpur, Pakistan, pp. 2–7, 2020.
9. S. M. Kim and E. Hovy, “Determining the sentiment of opinions,” in COLING 2004: Proc. of the 20th Int. Conf. on Computational Linguistics, Geneva Switzerland, pp. 1367–1373, 2004.
10. A. Kumar and M. S. Teeja, “Sentiment analysis: A perspective on its past, present and future,” International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 1, 2012.
11. S. Lei, S. Bai, K. Liang and Z. Yan, “Web forum sentiment analysis based on topics,” in Proc. IEEE 9th Int. Conf. on Computer and Information Technology, Xiamen, China, pp. 148–153, 2009.
12. B. Pang and L. Lee, “Seeing stars: Exploiting class relationships for sentiment categorization with respect to rating scales,” in ACL ‘05: Proc. of the 43rd Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics, Ann Arbor Michigan, pp. 115–124, 2005.
13. M. Song and Y. B. Wu, Handbook of research on text and web mining technologies. Hershey, Pa.: IGI Global, 2010.
14. S. M. Vohra and J. B. Teraiya, “A comparative study of sentiment analysis techniques,” Journal of Information, Knowledge and Research in Computer Engineering, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 313–317, 2014.
15. K. Ramamurthy, N. Bellamkonda and N. Amanmadov, "ScalePulse: Combinatorial Llm Framework for Scalability Constraint," SoutheastCon 2026, Huntsville, AL, USA, 2026, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/SoutheastCon63549.2026.11476075
16. Geo Philip, Paulson, Robotics-Enabled Sustainable Construction Management: A Socio-Technical Framework for Operational Efficiency, Digital Integration, and Sustainability Performance. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=6845167 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6845167