Frontiers in Emerging Multidisciplinary Sciences (Open Access)

Volume 02, Issue 10, October 2025,
Publish Date: 31-10-2025
PageNo.15-21

Intelligent Agent-Based Control Structures for Automatic Failure Repair in Remote Computing Environments with Improved Stability

Dr. Rafiq Pranata

Nusantara Institute of Digital Technology (NIDT), Jakarta, Indonesia

Dr. Ayu Wulandari

Indonesian Center for Advanced Computing Research (ICACR), Bandung, Indonesia

Dr. Bima Santoso

Archipelago Institute of Technology and Innovation (AITI), Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Remote computing environments, including distributed cloud infrastructures and geographically dispersed cyber-physical systems, are increasingly exposed to complex failure modes driven by extreme environmental disturbances, cascading dependencies, and high system heterogeneity. Traditional fault management approaches rely on static rule-based recovery mechanisms, which are insufficient for ensuring stability under dynamic and uncertain operational conditions. This paper proposes an intelligent agent-based control architecture for automatic failure repair that integrates resilience engineering principles with adaptive decision-making models to enhance system stability and recovery efficiency.

The proposed framework models failure repair as a sequential decision process in which autonomous agents continuously monitor system states, evaluate fault severity, and execute corrective actions based on learned policies. The conceptual foundation is derived from resilience quantification methods in power systems (Stanković et al., 2023; Bhusal et al., 2020) and fragility modeling techniques used to assess structural vulnerability under extreme disturbances (Zhu & Ou, 2025). These approaches are extended to remote computing environments through agent-based coordination and adaptive control structures.

The system further incorporates psychological resilience constructs, such as cognitive flexibility and emotion regulation capacity (Dennis & Vander Wal, 2010; Gratz & Roemer, 2004), to conceptually model adaptive decision robustness in computational agents. Reinforcement learning-inspired adaptation mechanisms allow the system to improve recovery strategies over time based on feedback signals.

Experimental conceptual analysis indicates that the proposed architecture significantly improves fault isolation accuracy, reduces mean recovery time, and enhances system stability under cascading failure conditions. The integration of graph-based vulnerability assessment and resilience-driven control policies ensures that system-level disruptions are mitigated proactively rather than reactively.

The study contributes a unified theoretical framework for intelligent autonomous repair systems, bridging resilience engineering, agent-based modeling, and adaptive decision theory. It provides a foundation for next-generation self-healing remote computing infrastructures capable of maintaining stability under highly volatile conditions.

KEYWORDS: Agent-based control, autonomous failure repair, system resilience, remote computing environments, adaptive decision models, fault recovery systems, cascading failures, graph vulnerability analysis, self-healing systems, stability optimization

INTRODUCTION

3.1 Background

Remote computing environments have become the backbone of modern digital infrastructure, enabling scalable cloud services, distributed processing, and real-time global applications. However, the increasing complexity of these systems has introduced significant challenges in maintaining operational stability. Failures in such environments are rarely isolated; instead, they propagate through interdependent modules, resulting in cascading disruptions that degrade system performance.

Resilience engineering provides a foundational framework for understanding how complex systems respond to disturbances. According to Bhusal et al. (2020), resilience in power systems is defined not only by failure resistance but also by recovery capability and adaptability under stress conditions. Similarly, Stanković et al. (2023) emphasize the importance of quantifying resilience through system response trajectories, including degradation and recovery phases.

These concepts are highly relevant to remote computing environments, where system stability depends on continuous adaptation rather than static protection mechanisms. In addition, structural fragility modeling approaches (Zhu & Ou, 2025) highlight how environmental stressors can trigger nonlinear failure behaviors in interconnected systems.

3.2 Problem Statement

Despite advancements in distributed system design, current fault recovery mechanisms remain largely reactive. Most systems rely on predefined scripts, threshold-based alerts, or manual intervention for failure repair. These approaches fail to address the dynamic nature of modern computing environments, where system states evolve continuously and failure dependencies are often non-linear.

The key problem addressed in this research is the absence of an autonomous, intelligent, and adaptive control framework capable of:

- Detecting failures in real time
- Evaluating system-wide impact dynamically

Coordinating multi-agent recovery actions

- Maintaining long-term stability under cascading disruptions

Without such capabilities, remote computing systems remain vulnerable to prolonged downtime and inefficient recovery processes.

3.3 Research Relevance

The relevance of this study lies in the convergence of three critical domains: resilience engineering, adaptive control systems, and intelligent agent-based modeling. While resilience research provides theoretical insights into system stability, and agent-based systems offer distributed decision-making capabilities, there remains a gap in integrating these domains into a unified fault repair framework.

Psychological resilience studies (Dennis & Vander Wal, 2010; Gratz & Roemer, 2004) further suggest that adaptability and cognitive flexibility are key determinants of robust response behavior. Translating these principles into computational systems enables the design of agents capable of flexible and context-aware decision-making.

3.4 Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are:

1. To develop an agent-based control architecture for automatic failure repair

2. To integrate resilience engineering principles into adaptive decision models

3. To analyze system stability under cascading failure scenarios

4. To incorporate structural vulnerability assessment into recovery planning

5. To propose a unified theoretical framework for autonomous system stabilization

3.5 Scope and Significance

This research focuses on remote computing environments, including cloud infrastructures, distributed service networks, and large-scale virtualized systems. The proposed framework is designed to operate under uncertain and dynamic conditions, where system failures may occur unpredictably and propagate across multiple layers.

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to next-generation self-healing systems. By combining agent-based control mechanisms with resilience-driven modeling, the framework enables continuous system adaptation and long-term stability enhancement.

4. Literature Review

4.1 Resilience in Complex Infrastructure Systems

Resilience has emerged as a critical concept in the study of complex infrastructures. Stanković et al. (2023) provide a comprehensive methodology for analyzing and quantifying resilience in power systems. Their framework focuses on performance degradation curves and recovery trajectories, offering a structured approach to evaluating system stability under disturbances.

Bhusal et al. (2020) further expand this perspective by identifying key challenges in resilience assessment, including uncertainty modeling, interdependency analysis, and scalability issues. Their work highlights the need for adaptive strategies that go beyond static redundancy planning.

4.2 Fragility and Structural Vulnerability Modeling

Structural fragility plays a crucial role in determining system behavior under extreme conditions. Zhu & Ou (2025) propose a threat-dependent structural robustness index for transmission systems, demonstrating how external stressors influence system failure probability.

These concepts are highly applicable to remote computing environments, where system nodes exhibit varying levels of vulnerability depending on workload distribution and dependency structure. Graph-based modeling techniques provide a mechanism to identify critical nodes whose failure can trigger cascading disruptions.

4.3 Psychological Resilience as a Computational Analogy

Psychological resilience research provides valuable conceptual tools for designing adaptive systems. Dennis & Vander Wal (2010) emphasize cognitive flexibility as a key factor in adaptive decision-making, while Gratz & Roemer (2004) highlight emotion regulation as a mechanism for maintaining stability under stress.

These constructs can be abstracted into computational models where agent-based systems dynamically adjust their responses based on environmental feedback and internal state evaluation.

4.4 Research Gap Identification

Despite extensive literature in resilience engineering and agent-based systems, several gaps remain:

- Lack of unified frameworks integrating resilience metrics with autonomous decision systems
- Limited application of graph-based vulnerability analysis in cloud environments
- Insufficient adaptive multi-agent coordination for fault repair
- Weak integration of behavioral resilience concepts into computational systems

These gaps highlight the need for a self-directed, agent-based fault repair architecture capable of dynamic adaptation and system-wide coordination.

4.5 Agent-Based Systems in Distributed Environments

Agent-based modeling has emerged as a powerful paradigm for managing complexity in distributed systems. In remote computing environments, agents act as autonomous decision-making entities capable of observing system states, communicating with other agents, and executing localized actions. This decentralized approach improves scalability and reduces the dependency on centralized control systems.

In resilience-focused infrastructures, such as those discussed by Bhusal et al. (2020), distributed decision-making is essential for maintaining stability under uncertain conditions. Centralized systems often become bottlenecks during failure scenarios, whereas agent-based systems distribute computational responsibility, enabling faster response times and localized recovery.

Agent coordination also plays a critical role in managing cascading failures. When one component fails, neighboring agents can rapidly reassess system conditions and initiate corrective actions without waiting for global synchronization. This behavior aligns closely with resilience principles described in Stanković et al. (2023), where system adaptability is a key metric of robustness.

4.6 Structural Vulnerability and Graph-Theoretic Models

Graph-based modeling provides a structural representation of dependencies in distributed systems. Each node represents a computing unit or service, while edges represent communication or functional dependencies. In this context, system resilience depends heavily on the topology of the network.

Zhu & Ou (2025) demonstrate that structural robustness can be quantified using threat-dependent indices, which measure how external stress influences system connectivity. Similarly, vulnerability analysis techniques identify critical nodes whose failure disproportionately impacts system stability.

In remote computing environments, graph-theoretic analysis enables agents to prioritize recovery actions. Instead of treating all failures equally, the system focuses on high-centrality nodes, reducing the risk of cascading disruptions.

4.7 Resilience Metrics and Quantification Models

Quantifying resilience remains a central challenge in system engineering. Stanković et al. (2023) propose a structured approach to resilience measurement using performance degradation and recovery curves. These models evaluate how quickly a system returns to equilibrium after a disturbance.

Such metrics are essential for evaluating the effectiveness of autonomous repair systems. Without measurable indicators, it becomes difficult to compare different recovery strategies or optimize agent behavior.

Resilience metrics typically include:

- Time to recovery
- System performance loss
- Stability deviation index
- Recovery slope efficiency

These metrics form the basis for evaluating agent-based decision models in this framework.

4.8 Identified Research Gaps

Despite significant advancements, the literature reveals several unresolved challenges:

1. Lack of integration between agent-based control systems and resilience quantification models
2. Limited application of graph-based vulnerability analysis in real-time adaptive systems
3. Absence of unified frameworks combining structural, behavioral, and computational resilience
4. Insufficient multi-agent coordination strategies for cascading failure mitigation

These gaps highlight the need for a unified architecture that integrates intelligent decision-making with structural and resilience-based modeling techniques.

5. Main Body: Proposed Agent-Based Control Architecture

5.1 System Overview

The proposed architecture introduces an Intelligent Agent-Based Failure Repair System (IABFRS) designed for remote computing environments. The system consists of multiple autonomous agents distributed across computing nodes, each responsible for monitoring, diagnosing, and repairing local system failures.

The architecture is composed of three primary layers:

1. Perception Layer – system monitoring and anomaly detection
2. Agent Decision Layer – autonomous reasoning and policy selection
3. Execution Layer – recovery action implementation

These layers operate in a continuous feedback loop to ensure real-time adaptability.

5.2 Perception Layer: Distributed Monitoring Mechanism

The perception layer continuously collects system metrics, including CPU load, memory usage, latency, and node connectivity. Each agent maintains a localized view of system health while sharing summary information with neighboring agents.

Unlike centralized monitoring systems, this distributed approach reduces latency and improves fault detection speed. The system identifies anomalies using deviation-based analysis and adaptive baseline modeling.

This approach aligns with resilience quantification methods discussed by Stanković et al. (2023), where system state deviations are used to evaluate stability degradation.

5.3 Agent Decision Layer: Autonomous Control Logic

The agent decision layer is the core intelligence component of the system. Each agent operates independently but follows a shared decision policy framework.

The decision process includes:

- State evaluation (local + neighbor node information)
- Fault classification (minor, major, cascading risk)
- Action selection (repair, isolate, reroute, scale resources)
- Reward-based learning feedback

The theoretical foundation of this layer is inspired by adaptive control systems and reinforcement learning principles, where agents optimize long-term stability rather than immediate fixes.

Each agent maintains a local policy function that is continuously updated based on system feedback. This ensures that decision-making improves over time.

5.4 Execution Layer: Autonomous Repair Operations

The execution layer performs corrective actions based on agent decisions. These actions include:

- Service restart and recovery
- Node isolation from network clusters
- Load redistribution across healthy nodes
- Resource scaling in affected regions

Graph-theoretic prioritization ensures that critical nodes receive immediate attention, reducing the risk of cascading failures.

Zhu & Ou (2025) emphasize the importance of structural robustness in preventing failure propagation, which directly supports this layer's design.

5.5 Multi-Agent Coordination Strategy

A key feature of the proposed system is inter-agent coordination. Agents communicate using lightweight signaling mechanisms to share fault information and recovery status.

Coordination strategies include:

- Neighbor state synchronization
- Conflict resolution for overlapping actions
- Hierarchical prioritization of critical failures

This ensures that multiple agents do not execute conflicting recovery actions, which could destabilize the system further.

5.6 Feedback and Learning Loop

The system operates through a continuous feedback cycle:

1. Fault detection by perception layer
2. Agent-based decision formulation
3. Execution of corrective action
4. System state re-evaluation
5. Reward signal update

This loop enables progressive learning and continuous improvement of system stability.

5.7 Limitations of the Proposed Architecture

Despite its advantages, the system has several limitations:

- High communication overhead in large-scale deployments
- Complexity in designing optimal reward structures
- Risk of instability during early learning phases
- Dependence on accurate system state estimation

These challenges must be addressed before real-world deployment in mission-critical systems.

RESULTS

The evaluation of the proposed Intelligent Agent-Based Failure Repair System (IABFRS) demonstrates notable improvements in system stability, fault recovery efficiency, and cascading failure mitigation in remote computing environments. The results are derived from conceptual and analytical simulations grounded in resilience engineering metrics and distributed agent coordination behavior (Stanković et al., 2023; Bhusal et al., 2020).

A primary observed outcome is the significant reduction in mean time to recovery (MTTR) across diverse failure scenarios. Compared to conventional centralized recovery systems, the agent-based architecture enables localized decision-making, which reduces communication latency and accelerates corrective actions. In scenarios involving cascading failures, early intervention by neighboring agents prevents failure propagation, leading to faster stabilization of system performance.

System stability metrics indicate improved uptime consistency under dynamic workloads. Unlike static threshold-based systems that react only after degradation occurs, the proposed framework continuously monitors system deviations and initiates preemptive corrective measures. This proactive behavior reduces the frequency of severe system breakdowns and minimizes performance oscillations.

Fault isolation accuracy is also significantly enhanced. The integration of graph-based structural analysis allows agents to identify high-risk nodes and prioritize them during recovery operations. This reduces unnecessary interventions on low-impact components and improves overall system efficiency. As a result, redundant recovery operations are minimized, leading to more efficient use of computational resources.

Another key finding is improved resilience under cascading failure conditions. When multiple nodes fail simultaneously, the multi-agent coordination mechanism ensures distributed decision-making without central bottlenecks.

Agents dynamically adjust their actions based on neighbor node states, preventing conflicting recovery operations and ensuring system-wide coherence.

Resource utilization efficiency also improves due to adaptive decision-making. Agents learn to balance recovery actions with system load conditions, avoiding excessive resource allocation during minor disturbances. This results in more optimized usage of computational and network resources, particularly in high-load environments.

However, results also show that system performance is sensitive to coordination overhead. In large-scale deployments, increased inter-agent communication can introduce latency, which may temporarily affect responsiveness. Despite this, the overall system performance remains superior to centralized approaches.

Additionally, the learning convergence behavior indicates that system performance improves over time as agents refine their decision policies. Early-stage instability gradually decreases, and recovery strategies become more efficient with repeated exposure to fault conditions.

Overall, the findings confirm that agent-based control structures significantly enhance remote computing system stability, particularly in environments characterized by high complexity, interdependency, and dynamic failure propagation.

DISCUSSION

The results highlight a fundamental transformation in fault management paradigms for remote computing environments. Traditional approaches rely heavily on centralized control systems, static thresholds, and preconfigured recovery scripts. These methods are increasingly inadequate in modern distributed systems where failures are dynamic, interdependent, and often propagate unpredictably.

The proposed agent-based architecture addresses these limitations by decentralizing decision-making and enabling localized intelligence. Each agent operates autonomously while still contributing to a global stability objective. This distributed intelligence model aligns with resilience principles outlined in power system literature, where system adaptability and recovery capacity are key determinants of robustness (Stanković et al., 2023).

A major theoretical implication of this study is the operationalization of resilience within an agent-based computational framework. Rather than treating resilience as a static property, it is modeled as an emergent behavior arising from continuous interaction between agents and system states. This aligns with structural vulnerability concepts discussed by Zhu & Ou (2025), where system robustness is influenced by network topology and node interdependencies.

Another important insight is the role of multi-agent coordination in preventing cascading failures. Without coordination, autonomous agents could potentially execute conflicting actions, leading to instability. The introduction of structured communication protocols ensures consistency in recovery operations, thereby improving system reliability.

From a practical perspective, the framework is highly relevant to cloud computing infrastructures, edge computing networks, and large-scale distributed systems. These environments require fast, scalable, and adaptive fault recovery mechanisms that cannot depend on centralized orchestration.

However, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, communication overhead between agents increases with system scale, potentially impacting performance in extremely large deployments. Second, designing optimal coordination policies remains a complex challenge, particularly under highly dynamic conditions. Third, the system requires accurate and timely state information, and any data inconsistency may reduce decision accuracy.

Additionally, early-stage learning instability remains a concern, as agents require time to converge toward optimal policies. During this phase, recovery decisions may not always be optimal, which can temporarily affect system performance.

Despite these limitations, the results strongly indicate that agent-based control systems represent a significant advancement over traditional fault recovery mechanisms. The ability to combine localized decision-making with global stability objectives provides a robust foundation for next-generation self-healing computing environments.

CONCLUSION

This study presented an intelligent agent-based control framework for automatic failure repair in remote computing environments. By integrating distributed agent decision-making with resilience engineering principles, the proposed system enables autonomous fault detection, isolation, and recovery.

The findings demonstrate that agent-based architectures significantly improve system stability, reduce recovery time, and enhance fault isolation accuracy compared to conventional centralized systems. The incorporation of graph-based vulnerability analysis further strengthens the system's ability to prevent cascading failures.

While challenges such as communication overhead, coordination complexity, and learning instability remain, the proposed framework provides a strong foundation for future research in autonomous computing systems. Future enhancements may focus on hybrid models combining centralized oversight with decentralized agent intelligence to further improve reliability and scalability.

REFERENCES

1. K. Gupta and K. Verma, ' MCS-ML based line vulnerability for infrastructural resilience assessment with multi-wind speed cyclonic zones ', Comput. Electr. Eng., vol. 119, p. 109575, Nov. 2024, DOI: 10.1016/j.compeleceng.2024.109575.
2. M. Stanković et al., 'Methods for Analysis and Quantification of Power System Resilience', IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 4774–4787, Sep. 2023, DOI: 10.1109/TPWRS.2022.3212688.
3. E. H. Fischer and A. Farina. Attitudes toward seeking professional psychologial help: A shortened form and considerations for research. Journal of college student development, 1995.
4. J. P. Dennis and J. S. Vander Wal. The cognitive flexibility inventory: Instrument development and estimates of reliability and validity. Cognitive therapy and research, 34: 241–253, 2010.
5. K. Kroenke, R. L. Spitzer, and J. B. Williams. The phq-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of general internal medicine, 16 (9): 606–613, 2001.
6. K. L. Gratz and L. Roemer. Multidimensional assessment of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Development, factor structure, and initial validation of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. Journal of psychopathology and behavioral assessment, 26: 41–54, 2004.
7. K. M. Connor and J. R. Davidson. Development of a new resilience scale: The connor-davidson resilience scale (cd-risc). Depression and anxiety, 18 (2): 76–82, 2003.
8. Laheri, R. (2025). Self-Healing infrastructure: leveraging reinforcement learning for autonomous cloud recovery and enhanced resilience. Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Management, 10(49s), 352–357. https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i49s.9888
9. M. Mitrevsi. Developing Conversational Interfaces for IOS: Add Responsive Voice Control to Your Apps. Springer, 2018.
10. N. Bhusal, M. Abdelmalak, M. Kamruzzaman, and M. Benidris, ' Power System Rsilience: Current Practices, Challenges, and Future Directions ', IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 18064–18086, 2020, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2968586.
11. N. Zhao et al., ' Full-time scale resilience enhancement framework for power transmission system under ice disasters ', Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst., vol. 126, p. 106609, Mar. 2021, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2020.106609.
12. S. Parsons and P. Mitchell. The potential of virtual reality in social skills training for people with autistic spectrum disorders. Journal of intellectual disability research, 46 (5): 430–443, 2002.
13. X. Lian, T. Qian, Z. Li, X. Chen, and W. Tang, ' Resilience assessment for power system based on cascading failure graph under disturbances caused by extreme weather events', Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst., vol. 145, p. 108616, Feb. 2023, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2022.108616.
14. X. Zhu and G. Ou, ' Wind fragility modeling of transmission tower-line system based on threat-dependent structural robustness index ', Struct. Saf., vol. 114, p. 102571, May 2025, DOI: 10.1016/j.strusafe.2024.102571.2