Frontiers in Emerging Multidisciplinary Sciences (Open Access)

Volume 02, Issue 11, December 2025,
Publish Date: 30-11-2025
PageNo.01-05

The Algorithmic Transformation of Corporate Strategy: Integrating Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Multi-Criteria Decision-Making in Modern Mergers, Acquisitions, and Procurement Governance

Mitchel V. Sterling
Department of Applied Economics and Digital Transformation, University of Zurich, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of computational intelligence has fundamentally altered the landscape of corporate decision-making, particularly in high-stakes domains such as Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A), procurement, and strategic financial planning. This research provides a comprehensive investigation into the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), and Deep Learning (DL) within the modern business ecosystem. By synthesizing recent advancements in fraud detection, supplier selection, and due diligence, this article develops a theoretical framework for the "algorithmic firm." The study explores the utilization of AI for detecting procurement fraud and financial statement anomalies, leveraging methodologies such as Benford's Law and neural networks. Furthermore, it examines the transformation of M&A processes, specifically target identification and due diligence, through the lens of AI-powered analytics and blockchain technology. A significant portion of the discourse is dedicated to the redefinition of entry-level analyst roles, arguing that the traditional skillsets of data aggregation are being superseded by requirements for algorithmic literacy and explainable AI interpretation. The research also investigates multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) models, such as Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and fuzzy logic, in the context of sustainable supplier selection. The findings suggest that while AI significantly enhances forecasting accuracy and operational optimization, it introduces new dimensions of risk, including algorithmic bias and the necessity for robust data cleaning protocols. This article concludes with an analysis of the future of competitive intelligence systems and the emerging role of natural language processing in mining business insights.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Mergers and Acquisitions, Procurement Fraud, Machine Learning, Strategic Planning, Supplier Selection, Financial Fraud Detection.

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary business environment is characterized by an unprecedented volume of data and a corresponding reliance on automated systems to distill this information into actionable intelligence. At the heart of this shift is the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into core corporate functions. Historically, strategic planning and risk management were the exclusive domains of human expertise, relying on intuitive judgment and manual data analysis. However, as noted by Rane, Paramesha, Choudhary, and Rane (2024), the advent of advanced business strategies driven by deep learning has necessitated a paradigm shift in how corporations perceive value and competitive advantage.

One of the most critical areas of this transformation is the detection and prevention of procurement fraud. Procurement processes are inherently vulnerable to manipulation, yet the scale of modern global supply chains makes manual oversight nearly impossible. Ezeji (2024) demonstrates that AI can serve as a robust bulwark against these risks, identifying patterns of collusion and phantom billing that escape human notice. Similarly, financial statement fraud remains a significant threat to market stability. Xiuguo and Shengyong (2022) argue that deep learning models specifically tailored for Chinese listed companies can achieve superior accuracy in identifying fraudulent reporting by analyzing multi-dimensional financial indicators that traditional audits might overlook.

In the realm of Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A), the impact of AI is even more profound. The traditional M&A process is labor-intensive, particularly during the due diligence phase where thousands of documents must be reviewed for legal and financial risks. Rahman (2021) highlights that AI is redefining target identification and due diligence by automating the screening of potential candidates and highlighting red flags in real-time. This technological leap is further enhanced by the emerging impact of blockchain, which, when combined with AI, offers a transparent and immutable record of transactions that strengthens the integrity of the deal (Kajewole, Odioko, Agubata, & Ibrahim, 2023).

Despite these benefits, the transition to an AI-driven corporate structure presents significant challenges for the workforce. Shounik (2025) posits that entry-level analyst roles in M&A are being completely redefined. The days of manual data entry and basic financial modeling are fading; the modern analyst must now possess the skills to navigate AI-powered diligence tools and interpret complex algorithmic outputs. This shift creates a literature gap regarding the intersection of human cognitive abilities and machine efficiency. While much has been written about the technical capabilities of AI, less focus has been placed on the organizational and educational shifts required to support this integration.

Furthermore, strategic planning itself is being bolstered by AI-driven forecasting and optimization. Nweke and Adelusi (2025) suggest that data insights are now the primary drivers of corporate longevity, allowing firms to predict market shifts with a level of precision that was previously unattainable. This is particularly relevant in specialized sectors such as the Sri Lankan apparel industry, where B2B sales trend analysis through machine learning is becoming a prerequisite for survival (Wasala, 2024).

METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed in this research is a multi-dimensional synthesis of existing literature, case study analysis, and theoretical modeling. We begin by categorizing the various applications of AI in business into three primary domains: risk mitigation (fraud detection), strategic execution (M&A and planning), and operational optimization (supplier selection and competitive intelligence).

To understand the detection of financial anomalies, we examine the leveraging of Benford's Law in conjunction with machine learning. Benford's Law, which describes the frequency distribution of leading digits in many real-life sets of numerical data, provides a mathematical baseline for identifying non-random human intervention in financial records (Fu, 2024). We analyze how ML algorithms can be trained on these mathematical distributions to flag suspicious entries automatically.

In the domain of supplier selection, we utilize a Comparative Analysis of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) models. We investigate hybrid methodologies such as the integration of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) with fuzzy logic (Wang, Nguyen, Dang, & Nguyen, 2022). This involves evaluating how companies weight key features affecting supplier selection, such as cost, sustainability, and technological readiness 4.0. We specifically look at the use of Random Forest approaches to classify supplier selection criteria and mitigate uncertainty (Ali, Nipu, & Khan, 2023).

The research also incorporates an analysis of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and text analytics. Sinjanka, Ibrahim, and Malate (2023) provide a comprehensive review of how NLP can extract business insights from unstructured data. We apply this to sentiment analysis in online product reviews, mining customer opinions to inform product development and market positioning (Bharadwaj, 2023).

Furthermore, we explore the exploratory data mining and data cleaning techniques necessitated by large-scale datasets. As Dasu and Johnson (2003) emphasize, the quality of an AI's output is entirely dependent on the cleanliness of the input data. We analyze the "Explainable Machine Learning" framework to address the "black box" problem of AI, ensuring that algorithmic decisions in corporate deployment are transparent and accountable (Bhatt et al., 2020). This methodology allows us to provide a rigorous, text-based explanation of how these complex systems function without relying on visual aids.

RESULTS

The results of our analysis indicate that the integration of AI across corporate functions leads to a statistically significant improvement in operational speed and detection accuracy, but also necessitates a radical restructuring of human resources.

Procurement and Financial Fraud Detection

The application of AI in procurement fraud detection has moved beyond simple rule-based systems. Current results show that deep learning models can analyze the entire lifecycle of a procurement transaction, from vendor onboarding to final payment. Ezeji (2024) reports that AI systems can reduce the time taken to identify fraudulent patterns by up to 70% compared to traditional internal audits. In the context of Chinese listed companies, deep learning architectures such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have demonstrated a high capacity for detecting subtle inconsistencies in financial statements that correlate with fraudulent intent (Xiuguo & Shengyong, 2022).

Moreover, the combination of Benford's Law with ML has proven particularly effective in the banking and insurance sectors. By training models on historical "clean" data, the system can immediately flag any deviation in the digit distribution of new claims or transactions, serving as an early-warning system for internal and external fraud (Fu, 2024).

Mergers and Acquisitions Transformation

In M&A, the results indicate that AI-powered due diligence is no longer a luxury but a necessity for competitive bidding. Baumgartner (2024) notes that AI tools can scan thousands of contracts in minutes to identify change-of-control clauses, litigation risks, and environmental liabilities. This speed allows firms to conduct more thorough diligence on a wider range of targets within shorter timeframes.

The integration of blockchain technology provides a secondary layer of results. By ensuring data integrity, blockchain reduces the "trust deficit" between the acquiring firm and the target. Kajewole et al. (2023) find that when AI and blockchain are utilized together, the likelihood of post-merger integration failure-often caused by hidden liabilities discovered too late-is significantly reduced.

Supplier Selection and Supply Chain Resilience

The results of applying MCDM models to supplier selection show that "sustainability" and "Technology 4.0 readiness" have become primary criteria. Wang et al. (2022) demonstrate that hybrid fuzzy-MARCOS methodologies allow firms to select suppliers who not only offer the best price but also the lowest environmental risk and the highest digital compatibility.

Random Forest approaches have been particularly successful in classifying these criteria. Results suggest that ML can identify the most influential features in a supplier's profile, such as geographic location and historical reliability, allowing procurement officers to focus their negotiations on the factors that truly impact long-term value (Ali et al., 2023). Furthermore, the use of goal programming-based fuzzy best-worst methods has enabled companies to navigate the trade-offs between "green" initiatives and cost-efficiency (Rostami et al., 2023).

Corporate Strategic Planning and Intelligence

Strategic planning has evolved from an annual exercise into a continuous, real-time optimization process. Nweke and Adelusi (2025) report that corporations using AI-driven forecasting insights achieve a higher alignment between strategic goals and market realities. In the apparel sector, B2B sales trend analysis using ML has allowed manufacturers to anticipate fashion cycles with greater accuracy, reducing excess inventory and improving cash flow (Wasala, 2024).

Finally, the creation of competitive intelligence systems has become a standard requirement for market leaders. Rantanen (2021) finds that firms that systematically gather and analyze data on competitors' movements using AI are 1.5 times more likely to capture new market share. This is supported by the use of NLP to mine customer opinions from product reviews, providing a direct feedback loop into the R&D process (Bharadwaj, 2023).

DISCUSSION

The implications of these results are profound, suggesting that the very nature of the "firm" is being redefined around its algorithmic capabilities. However, this transition is fraught with theoretical and practical complexities that require deep interpretation.

The Redefinition of Human Capital

The most significant discussion point arises from Shounik's (2025) assertion regarding the redefinition of entry-level analyst roles. If AI can perform the data gathering and basic analysis that once formed the training ground for junior professionals, what happens to the career path of the future CEO? There is a risk that by automating "grunt work," firms are inadvertently hollowing out their middle management pipeline. The solution lies in a radical overhaul of the skillset expected of new hires. Analysts must now move toward "Value-Added Analysis," where they interpret the why behind the AI's what. This requires a high level of critical thinking and an understanding of explainable AI (XAI).

As Bhatt et al. (2020) argue, explainability is the bridge between machine efficiency and human accountability. In an M&A context, if an AI flags a target as "high risk," a human analyst must be able to interrogate the model to understand if the flag is based on a genuine liability or an algorithmic bias. This shift from "data producer" to "algorithm auditor" represents one of the most significant changes in corporate history.

Algorithmic Bias and Data Integrity

A critical counter-argument to the unbridled adoption of AI is the risk of bias. Random Forest and other ML models are only as good as the data they are fed. Li et al. (2019) discuss the necessity of debiased feature importance measures. If historical data reflects human prejudices-for example, if a firm has historically avoided suppliers from certain regions-the AI will learn and perpetuate this bias, potentially excluding viable partners and increasing supply chain risk.

Furthermore, the "black box" nature of deep learning in financial fraud detection (Xiuguo & Shengyong, 2022) poses a regulatory challenge. If a listed company is flagged for fraud by an algorithm, the legal system requires a clear, evidence-based trail to justify an investigation. This necessitates a hybrid approach where DL identifies the anomaly, but more traditional, interpretable models are used to provide the legal "smoking gun."

M&A Risks and Opportunities

The work of Marquardt, Mathieu, and Dery (2023) highlights that while AI offers opportunities, it also creates new risks. The speed of AI-powered M&A could lead to "algorithmic bidding wars," where prices are driven up by automated systems reacting to each other in milliseconds, similar to high-frequency trading in the stock market. Firms must develop "circuit breakers" to ensure that human judgment remains the final arbiter of value.

Additionally, the application of AI technology in M&A regulation is a burgeoning field. Li (2018) argues that regulators themselves must adopt AI to keep pace with the firms they oversee. Without "RegTech" (Regulatory Technology), the speed of AI-driven deals could allow for anti-competitive mergers to be finalized before human regulators even realize they have occurred.

The Future of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making

The integration of Technology 4.0 into supplier selection (Wang et al., 2022) suggests that the supply chain of the future will be a "network of machines." If both the buyer and the seller are using AI to optimize their respective ends of the transaction, we may see the emergence of autonomous procurement networks. In such a scenario, the criteria for selection would include not just the supplier's product quality, but the interoperability of their AI systems with the buyer's.

However, the "Food Supply Chain" study by Gupta et al. (2023) reminds us that uncertainty remains a constant. Even the most advanced Delphi fuzzy AHP frameworks cannot predict "black swan" events like global pandemics or sudden geopolitical shifts. Thus, AI must be used to build "Resilience" rather than just "Efficiency." A resilient supply chain is one where AI identifies multiple redundant paths, rather than just the single cheapest one.

Sentiment Analysis and Competitive Intelligence

The mining of customer opinions through sentiment analysis (Bharadwaj, 2023) provides a fascinating look into the democratization of business insights. Traditionally, market research was expensive and slow. Now, a small firm can use NLP to analyze thousands of reviews for a competitor's product and identify a market gap in hours. This lowers the barrier to entry for innovative startups, potentially leading to more fragmented and competitive markets.

Yet, this also leads to the problem of "Data Noise." Sinjanka et al. (2023) point out that as more data becomes available, the difficulty of distinguishing genuine customer sentiment from "bot-generated" or incentivized reviews increases. Firms must develop sophisticated text analytics that can detect "Review Fraud" with the same intensity that they detect procurement fraud.

CONCLUSION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning into the corporate fabric is not merely a technological update; it is a fundamental re-imagining of organizational strategy and human labor. This article has demonstrated that from the detection of procurement fraud to the intricate due diligence of M&A and the strategic selection of suppliers, algorithmic decision-making provides a level of precision and speed that is essential for modern competitive advantage.

However, the "Algorithmic Firm" is not a fully autonomous entity. The results of our investigation emphasize that the human element remains irreplaceable, albeit in a transformed capacity. The redefinition of entry-level roles from data processors to interpretable analysts is the first wave of a broader professional shift. Explainable AI and debiased feature selection are not just technical requirements but ethical and legal imperatives that will define the next decade of corporate governance.

Future scope for research should focus on the "Interoperability of Corporate AIs"-how the algorithms of different firms interact in the marketplace. Additionally, the role of AI in fostering "Sustainable and Green" supply chains remains a critical area where data-driven optimization can meet global environmental goals. Ultimately, the successful firm of the 21st century will be one that harmonizes the cold efficiency of the machine with the warm intuition and ethical oversight of the human mind.

REFERENCES

1. Abdulla A, Baryannis G, Badi I (2019). Weighting the key features affecting supplier selection using machine learning techniques. 7th International Conference on Transport and Logistics, Niš, Serbia.
2. Ali MR, Nipu SMA, Khan SA (2023). A decision support system for classifying supplier selection criteria using machine learning and random forest approach. Decision Analytics Journal 7: 100238.
3. Alves MA, Meneghini IR, Gaspar-Cunha A, Guimarães FG (2023). Machine learning-driven approach for large scale decision making with the analytic Hierarchy process. Mathematics 11(3): 627.
4. Baumgartner M (2024). How AI will impact due diligence in M&A transactions. EY.
5. Bharadwaj L (2023). Sentiment analysis in online product reviews: mining customer opinions for sentiment classification. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research 5(5).
6. Bhatt U, Xiang A, Sharma S, Weller A, Taly A, Jia Y (2020). Explainable machine learning in deployment. Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency.
7. Bouraima MB, Gore A, Ayyildiz E, Yalcin S, Badi I, Kiptum CK, Qiu Y (2023). Assessing of causes of accidents based on a novel integrated interval-valued fermatean fuzzy methodology: towards a sustainable construction site. Neural Computing and Applications: 1-26.
8. Chakraborty S, Raut RD, Rofin TM, Chatterjee S, Chakraborty S (2023). A comparative analysis of multi-attributive Border Approximation Area comparison (MABAC) model for healthcare supplier selection in fuzzy environments. Decision Analytics Journal 8: 100290.
9. Churchman CW, Ackoff RL (1954). An approximate measure of value. Journal of the Operations Research Society of America 2(2): 172-187.
10. Dasu T, Johnson T (2003). Exploratory Data Mining and Data Cleaning. John Wiley & Sons.
11. Deretarla Ö, Erdebilli B, Gündoğan M (2023). An integrated analytic hierarchy process and complex proportional assessment for vendor selection in supply chain management. Decision Analytics Journal 6: 100155.
12. Ezeji CL (2024). Artificial Intelligence for detecting and preventing procurement fraud. International Journal of Business Ecosystem & Strategy 6(1): 63-73.
13. Fu BR (2024). Leveraging Benford's Law and Machine Learning for Financial Fraud Detection.
14. Goodarzi F, Abdollahzadeh V, Zeinalnezhad M (2022). An integrated multi-criteria decision-making and multi-

objective optimization framework for green supplier evaluation and optimal order allocation under uncertainty. Decision Analytics Journal 4: 100087.
15. Gupta S, Chatterjee P, Rastogi R, Gonzalez EDS (2023). A delphi fuzzy analytic hierarchy process framework for criteria classification and prioritization in food supply chains under uncertainty. Decision Analytics Journal 7: 100217.
16. Kajewole P, Odioko O, Agubata K, Ibrahim YO (2023). Transforming Mergers and Acquisitions: The Emerging Impact of Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence. Cross Current International Journal of Economics Management and Media Studies 5(6): 157-165.
17. Li X, Wang Y, Basu S, Kumbier K, Yu B (2019). A debiased MDI feature importance measure for random forests. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32.
18. Li Y (2018). Application of AI technology in mergers and acquisitions and its regulation. The Affiliated High School of South China Normal University.
19. Marquardt W, Mathieu K, Dery F (2023). Artificial Intelligence: Risks and opportunities in mergers and acquisitions. Berkeley Research Group LLC.
20. Nweke O, Adelusi O (2025). Utilizing AI driven forecasting, optimization, and data insights to strengthen corporate strategic planning. International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews 6(3): 4260-72.
21. Rahman MA (2021). Artificial Intelligence on Merger and Acquisition Processes: Observation from The Target Identification and Due Diligence Perspective. Academia.
22. Rane NL, Paramesha M, Choudhary SP, Rane J (2024). Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning for advanced business strategies: a review. Partners Universal International Innovation Journal 2(3): 147-71.
23. Rantanen A (2021). Creation of a Competitive Intelligence System.
24. Rostami O, Tavakoli M, Tajally A et al. (2023). A goal programming-based fuzzy best-worst method for the viable supplier selection problem: a case study. Soft Computing 27: 2827-2852.
25. Shounik, S. (2025). Redefining Entry-Level Analyst Roles in M&A: Essential Skillsets in the Age of AI-Powered Diligence. The American Journal of Applied Sciences, 7(07), 101-110. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajas/Volume07Issue07-11
26. Sinjanka Y, Ibrahim US, Malate F (2023). Text analytics and natural language processing for business insights: A comprehensive review. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology 11(9): 1626-51.
27. Wang C-N, Nguyen TTT, Dang T-T, Nguyen N-A-T (2022). A hybrid OPA and fuzzy MARCOS methodology for sustainable supplier selection with technology 4.0 evaluation. Processes 10(11): 2351.
28. Wasala WM (2024). Future of Sri Lankan Apparel Industry: Proposal for the B2B Sales Trend Analysis Using Machine Learning Approach. Doctoral dissertation.
29. Xiuguo W, Shengyong D (2022). An analysis on financial statement fraud detection for Chinese listed companies using deep learning. IEEE Access 10: 22516-32.
30. 30. Zavadskas EK, Turskis Z (2010). A new additive ratio assessment (ARAS) method in multicriteria decision-making. Technology and Economic Development of Economy 16(2): 159-172.