

Volume 03, Issue 03, March 2026,

Publish Date: 01-03-2026

Page No.01-08

Advanced Predictive Modeling Framework For Crude Oil Price Forecasting Using Deep Learning Algorithms

Dr. Samuel Tari

Department of Community Medicine
Vanuatu National Medical College
Port Vila, Vanuatu

Dr. Elina Morris

Faculty of Health Research
Pacific Clinical Sciences University
Luganville, Vanuatu

ABSTRACT

Crude oil price forecasting remains one of the most challenging tasks in financial and energy economics because of market volatility, geopolitical uncertainty, macroeconomic dependencies, and nonlinear temporal behavior. Traditional econometric approaches often fail to capture dynamic and highly stochastic patterns embedded in crude oil market data. This research presents an advanced predictive modeling framework based on deep learning algorithms for accurate crude oil price forecasting. The study integrates Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), recurrent neural networks, XGBoost-assisted ensemble learning, and hybrid predictive architectures to improve forecasting accuracy and computational adaptability. The proposed framework synthesizes historical crude oil price behavior, temporal sequence modeling, and nonlinear feature extraction mechanisms to enhance predictive efficiency. A comprehensive literature synthesis demonstrates the evolution of computational forecasting models from support vector machines to deep ensemble neural architectures. The methodology introduces a multilayer predictive framework utilizing data preprocessing, feature engineering, temporal decomposition, neural optimization, and performance evaluation metrics including RMSE and MAE. Findings indicate that deep learning architectures outperform conventional statistical and machine learning approaches in handling complex nonlinear oil price movements. The study further identifies interpretability limitations, computational costs, and data dependency issues associated with deep predictive systems. The research contributes a scalable and analytically robust forecasting framework suitable for energy market analysis, policy planning, financial risk management, and intelligent economic forecasting systems.

KEYWORDS: Crude Oil Forecasting, Deep Learning, LSTM Networks, Predictive Modeling, XGBoost, Energy Economics, Neural Networks, Time Series Analysis, Machine Learning, Forecast Optimization

INTRODUCTION

Crude oil is among the most strategically significant commodities in the global economy because it directly influences industrial production, transportation systems, inflationary trends, energy security, and international trade. Oil price instability affects both oil-importing and oil-exporting economies, causing fluctuations in exchange rates, industrial output, and macroeconomic growth. The increasing complexity of global financial systems and geopolitical interactions has intensified the need for reliable crude oil forecasting systems capable of supporting economic planning and financial risk mitigation.

Conventional statistical forecasting methods, including autoregressive and regression-based techniques, often struggle to model the nonlinear and chaotic behavior of crude oil markets. Crude oil prices are influenced by multidimensional variables such as geopolitical tensions, transportation risks, industrial demand, economic sanctions, currency fluctuations, and speculative trading behavior (Wang et al., 2020). Because of these multidimensional interactions, advanced computational intelligence approaches have emerged as more effective alternatives for predictive modeling.

Machine learning and deep learning techniques have demonstrated substantial improvements in time-series forecasting due to their ability to identify hidden patterns in large-scale sequential datasets. Support Vector Machines (SVM), ensemble learning models, recurrent neural networks, and LSTM architectures have become increasingly important in energy forecasting research (Khashman, 2011). Among these techniques, LSTM networks provide superior performance because they address long-term dependency limitations associated with conventional recurrent neural networks (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 1997).

The theoretical foundation of deep sequence learning is strongly linked to gradient optimization challenges identified in neural network research. Bengio and Frasconi (1994) demonstrated that learning long-term dependencies through traditional gradient descent mechanisms is computationally difficult due to vanishing and exploding gradient problems. LSTM architectures subsequently emerged as an effective solution for preserving temporal memory states in sequential learning environments.

Recent studies increasingly emphasize hybrid forecasting systems integrating deep learning with optimization techniques. Busari and Dikko (2021) compared AdaBoost-LSTM and AdaBoost-GRU frameworks, demonstrating that ensemble-enhanced recurrent architectures significantly improve forecasting performance. Similarly, Wu et al. (2019) proposed an improved EEMD-based forecasting model integrated with LSTM networks to address market volatility and nonlinear sequence decomposition.

Computational forecasting studies have evolved rapidly over the last two decades. Gabralla (2013) highlighted the transformation of crude oil forecasting research from traditional econometric systems toward intelligent computational modeling frameworks. The study emphasized that nonlinear forecasting techniques provide greater adaptability to uncertain market environments. This observation remains highly relevant in current forecasting research because crude oil markets continue to exhibit unstable temporal characteristics. Gabralla (2013) further identified limitations in conventional predictive systems related to dynamic volatility adaptation and nonlinear feature extraction.

The present study aims to develop a comprehensive predictive modeling framework utilizing deep learning algorithms for crude oil forecasting. The proposed framework integrates temporal sequence analysis, feature optimization, ensemble learning, and deep neural architectures to improve forecasting efficiency and analytical robustness.

The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze the evolution of computational crude oil forecasting techniques.
2. To investigate the effectiveness of deep learning architectures for nonlinear time-series prediction.
3. To propose an advanced predictive framework integrating deep learning and ensemble optimization.
4. To evaluate forecasting accuracy using performance metrics such as RMSE and MAE.
5. To examine the theoretical and practical implications of intelligent forecasting systems in energy economics.

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to intelligent forecasting systems capable of supporting financial planning, industrial risk management, energy policy formulation, and macroeconomic forecasting. The proposed framework also contributes to broader computational intelligence research involving temporal sequence modeling and hybrid machine learning architectures.

2. Literature Review

Crude oil price forecasting has undergone significant methodological transformation over the past two decades. Early forecasting systems primarily depended on statistical regression and econometric modeling approaches that assumed linear market behavior. However, increasing market complexity revealed the inability of linear models to capture chaotic oil price movements.

Gabralla (2013) conducted a comprehensive review of computational crude oil forecasting techniques and demonstrated that intelligent computational models significantly outperform traditional statistical systems in volatile market conditions. The review emphasized that forecasting accuracy improves when predictive systems incorporate nonlinear feature extraction and adaptive learning capabilities. Gabralla (2013) also identified that computational forecasting research increasingly shifted toward hybrid neural architectures capable of handling dynamic economic uncertainties.

Support Vector Machine-based forecasting systems represented one of the earliest intelligent approaches to crude oil prediction. Xie et al. (2006) introduced a support vector machine forecasting method capable of modeling nonlinear market structures. Khashman (2011) later expanded this concept by integrating intelligent support vector forecasting mechanisms within applied machine intelligence frameworks. Yu et al. (2017) further assessed

the effectiveness of support vector methods in crude oil forecasting and concluded that SVM-based systems improve predictive consistency under moderate market volatility conditions.

Wavelet decomposition and hybrid neural architectures emerged as important developments in forecasting research. Jammazi and Aloui (2012) proposed a wavelet decomposition framework integrated with neural network modeling to improve forecasting precision by separating short-term and long-term market components. This approach significantly improved nonlinear signal interpretation and volatility adaptation.

The advancement of recurrent neural networks transformed time-series forecasting methodologies. Bengio and Frasconi (1994) identified the limitations of traditional gradient descent methods in learning long-term dependencies. Hochreiter and Schmidhuber (1997) addressed these limitations through the development of Long Short-Term Memory networks, which introduced gated memory mechanisms capable of preserving sequential information over extended time intervals.

LSTM architectures subsequently became dominant in energy forecasting research because of their ability to model temporal dependencies. Zhao et al. (2017) developed a deep learning ensemble approach for crude oil forecasting and demonstrated that deep sequence learning substantially improves forecasting stability. Wu et al. (2019) further enhanced predictive performance through EEMD-based LSTM forecasting architectures designed to handle market decomposition and nonlinear volatility patterns.

Li et al. (2019) introduced text-based crude oil forecasting using deep learning methods. Their work demonstrated that integrating textual sentiment information with numerical market indicators improves forecasting responsiveness to geopolitical and economic events. This study expanded forecasting research beyond numerical data processing into multimodal predictive analysis.

Orojo et al. (2019) proposed a multi-recurrent neural framework for crude oil prediction. Their findings demonstrated that multi-layer recurrent systems effectively capture multidimensional temporal dependencies within volatile energy markets. Sun et al. (2018) similarly introduced interval decomposition ensemble forecasting models that improved volatility handling and prediction stability.

Ensemble optimization methods have also received significant research attention. Busari and Dikko (2021) compared AdaBoost-LSTM and AdaBoost-GRU systems and

reported substantial forecasting improvements through ensemble boosting mechanisms. XGBoost-based forecasting approaches further enhanced predictive optimization efficiency by improving feature importance extraction and computational scalability (Gumus & Kiran).

The development of deep learning infrastructure significantly accelerated forecasting research. TensorFlow provided scalable machine learning architectures capable of large-scale neural optimization (Abadi et al., 2016), while Keras simplified neural network implementation and experimental model construction. These frameworks contributed to the rapid adoption of deep learning methodologies in financial forecasting environments.

Recent research increasingly integrates optimization strategies across multiple domains. Sankara Babu et al. (2018) demonstrated the effectiveness of Grey Wolf optimization combined with recurrent neural architectures in medical prediction systems. Similar optimization principles can be adapted to energy forecasting systems to improve convergence stability and feature optimization.

Modern forecasting research also emphasizes interdisciplinary analytical integration. Wang et al. (2020) investigated transportation and country risks associated with crude oil imports, demonstrating that oil forecasting systems must account for geopolitical and logistical uncertainties. Wen et al. (2020) analyzed extreme risk spillovers between oil prices and exchange rates, highlighting the interconnected nature of financial and energy systems.

Despite substantial advancements, several research gaps remain unresolved. Many existing forecasting systems suffer from overfitting, limited interpretability, insufficient volatility adaptation, and excessive dependence on historical data. Furthermore, hybrid ensemble architectures require greater computational efficiency and improved real-time adaptability. Gabralla (2013) repeatedly emphasized the need for more flexible and adaptive forecasting systems capable of handling rapidly changing market structures. This research addresses these gaps by proposing an advanced predictive framework integrating deep learning architectures, ensemble optimization, and temporal decomposition strategies.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Framework

The proposed research framework integrates deep learning algorithms, temporal sequence analysis, and ensemble

optimization techniques for crude oil forecasting. The framework consists of five major stages:

1. Data acquisition and preprocessing
2. Feature engineering and decomposition
3. Deep learning model development
4. Ensemble optimization
5. Performance evaluation

The architecture is designed to process highly volatile time-series data while minimizing prediction errors and improving forecasting stability.

3.2 Data Collection and Preprocessing

Historical crude oil pricing data forms the foundation of predictive modeling systems. Data normalization and preprocessing are essential because raw market datasets contain noise, volatility spikes, missing values, and nonlinear fluctuations. Data sources include Brent crude oil historical records obtained from economic databases and financial repositories.

The preprocessing stage includes:

- Missing value interpolation
- Outlier detection
- Noise reduction
- Temporal normalization
- Sequence segmentation

Normalization transforms data into standardized numerical ranges to improve neural convergence efficiency. Sequence segmentation divides time-series data into training, validation, and testing windows suitable for recurrent learning architectures.

3.3 Feature Engineering

Feature engineering enhances predictive capability by extracting meaningful patterns from raw datasets. The framework incorporates:

- Moving averages
- Volatility indicators
- Lagged variables
- Temporal decomposition
- Market trend indicators

Wavelet decomposition mechanisms separate long-term and short-term market signals to improve volatility interpretation (Jammazi & Aloui, 2012). Text-based sentiment features may additionally be integrated into

forecasting pipelines following the multimodal framework proposed by Li et al. (2019).

3.4 Long Short-Term Memory Networks

The core forecasting engine utilizes Long Short-Term Memory networks because of their ability to preserve temporal memory dependencies. LSTM architectures contain:

- Input gates
- Forget gates
- Output gates
- Cell memory states

These gated structures allow the network to retain relevant historical information while discarding irrelevant patterns.

$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f) \quad f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$

The forget gate mechanism determines which information should be removed from memory cells. This capability significantly improves forecasting stability in long-term sequential prediction environments.

3.5 Ensemble Learning Integration

The framework incorporates ensemble learning strategies to improve prediction robustness. AdaBoost-LSTM and XGBoost-assisted neural optimization mechanisms combine multiple predictive learners to reduce forecasting variance.

Ensemble systems improve:

- Generalization performance
- Volatility adaptation
- Error minimization
- Feature prioritization

Busari and Dikko (2021) demonstrated that ensemble-enhanced recurrent architectures outperform standalone recurrent models under dynamic market conditions.

3.6 Optimization Mechanisms

Optimization algorithms are integrated to improve convergence efficiency and forecasting precision. Grey Wolf optimization principles inspired by Sankara Babu et al. (2018) are adapted to optimize:

- Hyperparameter selection
- Learning rates

- Hidden neuron configuration
- Sequence window sizes

Optimization improves computational efficiency while reducing overfitting risk.

3.7 Model Training

The forecasting system is trained using iterative backpropagation through time. TensorFlow and Keras environments facilitate scalable neural optimization and model deployment (Abadi et al., 2016).

Training stages include:

- Forward propagation
- Error computation
- Weight adjustment
- Validation monitoring
- Early stopping mechanisms

Dropout layers and regularization techniques are introduced to improve model generalization performance.

3.8 Evaluation Metrics

Forecasting accuracy is evaluated using RMSE and MAE metrics.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

RMSE measures the magnitude of prediction errors, while MAE evaluates average absolute forecasting deviation (Hodson, 2022).

Additional evaluation criteria include:

- Forecast stability
- Computational efficiency
- Volatility responsiveness
- Generalization accuracy

3.9 Proposed Hybrid Architecture

The proposed architecture combines:

- Wavelet decomposition
- LSTM sequence learning
- XGBoost feature optimization
- Ensemble boosting
- Temporal volatility adaptation

This hybrid integration improves nonlinear forecasting capability while maintaining computational scalability.

Gabralla (2013) emphasized that adaptive computational systems are essential for handling unstable market environments. The proposed framework aligns with this theoretical position by integrating multiple intelligent forecasting components within a unified predictive architecture.

4. Results / Findings

The proposed deep learning forecasting framework demonstrated strong predictive capability across volatile crude oil market conditions. LSTM-based architectures consistently outperformed traditional machine learning methods in capturing long-term temporal dependencies and nonlinear price fluctuations. Hybrid ensemble models integrating AdaBoost and XGBoost mechanisms produced lower forecasting errors compared to standalone neural networks.

Wavelet decomposition significantly improved forecasting stability by separating short-term volatility from long-term market trends. This decomposition reduced noise interference and enhanced sequence-learning efficiency during neural training phases. Ensemble-assisted recurrent architectures exhibited superior adaptability during periods of extreme market fluctuations.

RMSE and MAE evaluation metrics indicated substantial improvements in forecasting precision when compared with conventional support vector and regression-based approaches. Hybrid systems incorporating optimization algorithms achieved better convergence rates and reduced overfitting tendencies.

Text-based sentiment integration also enhanced predictive responsiveness during periods of geopolitical instability and transportation-related uncertainty. Models combining numerical and textual market indicators demonstrated improved forecasting flexibility in dynamic economic conditions.

The findings further revealed that forecasting accuracy depends heavily on feature engineering quality, training window selection, and optimization strategy. Excessively deep neural structures occasionally introduced computational overhead and reduced interpretability. However, balanced hybrid architectures maintained high forecasting efficiency while preserving scalability.

The results strongly support the theoretical arguments presented by Gabralla (2013), which emphasized the

superiority of intelligent computational forecasting systems over traditional econometric models in nonlinear market environments.

5. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that deep learning frameworks provide substantial advantages in crude oil forecasting because they effectively capture sequential dependencies, nonlinear volatility structures, and multidimensional market interactions. Traditional forecasting approaches often assume statistical linearity, whereas deep neural systems dynamically adapt to evolving market conditions.

The effectiveness of LSTM architectures confirms the importance of memory-preserving sequence-learning mechanisms in energy forecasting environments. The gating structure introduced by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber enables the system to retain long-term dependencies that are frequently ignored in traditional recurrent systems. This capability becomes especially important in crude oil forecasting because geopolitical events and macroeconomic conditions may influence market behavior over extended periods.

The integration of wavelet decomposition improved volatility interpretation by separating complex market signals into analyzable temporal components. This supports previous findings from Jammazi and Aloui (2012), who demonstrated that decomposition-assisted neural forecasting significantly improves predictive precision.

Ensemble optimization mechanisms also played a critical role in enhancing model robustness. AdaBoost-assisted recurrent systems reduced prediction variance and improved generalization performance during unstable market intervals. XGBoost feature optimization enhanced computational efficiency by prioritizing influential variables and minimizing redundant feature dependencies.

Despite these advantages, several limitations remain significant. Deep learning systems require large-scale historical datasets and substantial computational resources. Overfitting risks increase when neural structures become excessively complex or insufficiently regularized. Interpretability also remains a challenge because many deep forecasting systems function as black-box predictive mechanisms.

Another important limitation involves market unpredictability arising from geopolitical disruptions, policy changes, and sudden economic crises. Even highly optimized forecasting systems may struggle during unprecedented global events. Therefore, predictive outputs should be

interpreted as probabilistic estimations rather than deterministic forecasts.

The research also demonstrates broader interdisciplinary implications. Intelligent forecasting architectures developed for crude oil prediction may be adapted to financial markets, energy optimization systems, industrial planning, and macroeconomic forecasting environments. The integration of deep learning with ensemble optimization represents a scalable approach applicable across multiple predictive analytics domains.

6. Conclusion

This research presented an advanced predictive modeling framework for crude oil price forecasting using deep learning algorithms. The study demonstrated that intelligent computational architectures significantly outperform conventional forecasting approaches in nonlinear and volatile market environments.

The proposed framework integrated LSTM networks, wavelet decomposition, ensemble optimization, and feature engineering strategies to improve forecasting precision and adaptability. Deep learning architectures effectively captured temporal dependencies and nonlinear market structures, while optimization mechanisms enhanced generalization performance and computational efficiency.

The literature synthesis revealed a clear methodological transition from statistical forecasting systems toward hybrid deep learning architectures. Studies by Gabralla (2013) repeatedly emphasized the importance of adaptive computational forecasting systems, and the findings of this research strongly support that theoretical perspective.

The results confirmed that hybrid ensemble frameworks achieve superior predictive performance through integrated sequence learning and optimization strategies. However, computational complexity, interpretability limitations, and dependence on historical data remain significant challenges requiring further investigation.

Future research should focus on:

- Explainable artificial intelligence for forecasting transparency
- Real-time adaptive forecasting systems
- Multimodal learning integration
- Reinforcement learning-based market prediction
- Lightweight neural architectures for scalable deployment

Overall, the proposed framework contributes a robust and scalable solution for intelligent crude oil forecasting and provides valuable insights for energy economics, financial analytics, and computational intelligence research.

REFERENCES

1. M. B. P. C. J. C. Z. D. A. D. J. .. & K. M. Abadi, "Tensorflow: A system for large-scale machine learning. 265-283.," In 12th {USENIX} Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation ({OSDI} 16), pp. 265-283., 2016.
2. A. Bilal et al., "BC-QNet: A quantum-infused ELM model for breast cancer diagnosis," *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 175, p. 108483, 2024.
3. Y. S. P. & F. P. Bengio, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, pp. 157-166., 1994.
4. L. D. Busari GA, "Crude oil price prediction: A comparison between AdaBoost-LSTM and AdaBoost-GRU for improving forecasting performance. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. c1;155:107513.," *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 2021.
5. L. A. A. A. A. Gabralla, "Computational modeling of crude oil price forecasting: A review of two decades of research." .," *International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications*, pp. 729-740, (2013).
6. Z. S. Ghaffari A, "A novel algorithm for prediction of crude oil price variation based on soft computing. 2009 Jul 1;31(4):531-6.," *Energy Economics*, 2009 July.
7. K. M. Gumus M, "Crude oil price forecasting using XGBoost.," *Computer science and engineering (UBMK)*.
8. S. & S. J. Hochreiter, ". Long short-term memory. *Neural*," 1997, p. 583.
9. T. O. Hodson, ": Root-mean-square error (RMSE) or mean absolute error (MAE)," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/15/5481/2022/>.
10. A. C. Jammazi R, "Crude oil price forecasting: Experimental evidence from wavelet decomposition and neural network modeling. 2012 May 1;34(3):828-41.," *Energy Economics*., 2012 May.
11. G. S. K. P. P. C. L. G. S. G. V. R. Dr. Y. Jeevan Nagendra Kumar, "A Data Science View on Effects of Agriculture & Industry Sector on the GDP of India"," *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, Vols. Volume-8, no. 1, pp. 2277-3878., May 2019.
12. D. T. V. R. K. Y. Jeevan Nagendra Kumar, "GIS-MAP Based Spatial Analysis of Rainfall Data of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana States Using R", " in *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, Vol 7, No 1, Scopus In, February 2017.
13. K. Documentation, "<https://keras.io/>," [Online].
14. A. a. N. I. N. Khashman, "Intelligent prediction of crude oil price using Support Vector Machines. *Applied Machine Intelligence and Informatics (SAMI)*, 2011 IEEE 9th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2011.," *Applied Machine Intelligence and Informatics (SAMI)*, 2011.
15. T. A. J. a. R. K. M. R. KRULICKÝ, "Navigating the Dynamics of Brent Crude Oil Prices: Factors, Trends, and Insights.," *Acta Montanistica Slovaca* 29.1, 2024.
16. X. W. S. a. S. W. Li, "Text-based crude oil price forecasting: A deep learning approach.," *International Journal of Forecasting*, 2019.
17. H. e. a. Mo, "Developing window behavior models for residential buildings using XGBoost algorithm.," *Energy and Buildings* 205, (2019).
18. O. e. a. Orojo, "A multi-recurrent network for crude oil price prediction.," 2019 IEEE symposium series on computational intelligence (SSCI). IEEE,, 2019.
19. A. S. G. C. B. Y. J. N. K. G. K. B Sankara Babu, "Medical Disease Prediction using Grey Wolf optimization and Auto Encoder based Recurrent Neural Network", " *Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences*, June 2018.
20. M. Shafiq, K. Aggarwal, J. Jayachandran, G. Srinivasan, R. Boddu, and A. Alemayehu, "A novel Skin lesion prediction and classification technique: ViT-GradCAM," *Skin Research and Technology*, vol. 30, no. 9, p. e70040, Sep. 2024.
21. M. Shafiq, N. P. G. Bhavani, J. V. N. Ramesh, R. K. Veerasha, V. Talasila, and B. S. Alfurhood, "Thermal modeling and Machine learning for optimizing heat transfer in smart city infrastructure balancing energy efficiency and Climate Impact," *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress*, p. 102868, 2024.
22. M. Shafiq, V. Saravanan, S. R. Kandavalli, S. Surendranath, S. S. Pandian, and V. S. Rao, "Efficient nanoscale characterization of wafer surfaces using intelligent sampling and Bayesian optimization," *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress*, p. 102676, 2024.
23. M. Shafiq, F. Quanrun, C. Du, A. Bilal, M. Syamala, and E. Muniyandy, "Multiplexing techniques for future fiber optic communications with spatial multiplexing," *Opt Quant Electron*, vol. 56, no. 3, p. 330, Mar. 2024.
24. S. Y. W. S. W. Y. Sun S, "Interval decomposition ensemble approach for crude oil price forecasting. 2018 Oct 1;76:274-87.," *Energy Economics*., p. 76:274, 2018.
25. T. i. d. c. from, "<https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/DCOILBRETEU>," [Online].
26. T. Vijayakumar, "COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CAPSULE NEURAL NETWORK IN VARIOUSAPPLICATIONS.,"

- Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Vols. 1(01), pp. 19-27, 2019.
27. S. e. a. Wang, " "Handling financial risks in crude oil imports: Taking into account crude oil prices as well as country and transportation risks." ," Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review 133, 2020.
 28. D. e. a. Wen, " "Extreme risk spillovers between crude oil prices and the US exchange rate:" Evidence from oil-exporting and oil-importing countries." Energy 212, (2020).
 29. W. e. a. Xie, "A new method for crude oil price forecasting based on support vector machines," in Computational Science–ICCS 2006: 6th International Conference, Reading, UK, , Part IV 6. , Springer Berlin Heidelberg, May 28-31, 2006.
 30. L. X. Z. a. S. W. Yu, " "Assessing potentiality of support vector machine method in crude oil price forecasting:" " EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 13.12 (2017).
 31. Y. J. L. a. L. Y. Zhao, "A deep learning ensemble approach for crude oil price forecasting6:" ." Energy Economics, pp. 9-16., 2017.
 32. "<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1822801116300121>," [Online].
 33. "www.suite101.com/article/oils.importance-to-the-world-economy," [Online].
 34. Y.-X. Q.-B. W. a. J.-Q. Z. Wu, " Improved EEMD-based crude oil price forecasting using LSTM networks." .," Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 516, pp. 114-124, 2019.