

Volume 02, Issue 03, March 2025,

Publish Date: 01-03-2025

PageNo.01-07

## Assessing the Efficacy of an Adaptive Learning Platform Enhanced with Gamification and Natural Language Processing for Engineering Disciplines

**Dr. Javier Torres Martín** 

Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

**Alessandra Romano** 

Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

### ABSTRACT

Engineering education faces the perpetual challenge of conveying complex technical concepts while fostering deep understanding and sustained student engagement. Traditional pedagogical approaches often struggle to cater to diverse learning paces and styles, potentially leading to disengagement and suboptimal learning outcomes. This article presents a comprehensive evaluation of an adaptive learning platform augmented with gamification and Natural Language Processing (NLP) capabilities, designed specifically for engineering disciplines. The platform aims to personalize the learning experience, enhance motivation, and provide intelligent, on-demand support. Gamified elements, such as points, badges, leaderboards, and challenges, are integrated to boost student motivation and engagement [2], [3], [8], [9]. Concurrently, NLP features enable intelligent tutoring, personalized feedback on open-ended questions, and instant access to conceptual answers [5], [6], [7], [15]. Our methodology involved a quasi-experimental study comparing student outcomes and engagement in an intervention group using the enhanced platform against a control group undergoing traditional instruction. Results demonstrate significant improvements in learning outcomes, increased student engagement, and more positive attitudes towards learning among students utilizing the gamified and NLP-enhanced adaptive platform. These findings underscore the transformative potential of integrating these advanced educational technologies to create more effective, personalized, and engaging learning environments for future engineers.

**KEYWORDS:** Adaptive learning, gamification, natural language processing, engineering education, learning analytics, personalized learning, educational technology, student engagement, e-learning platforms, instructional design.

### INTRODUCTION

Engineering education is foundational for technological advancement and societal development, demanding rigorous curricula that impart complex theoretical knowledge and practical problem-solving skills. However, the inherent difficulty and abstract nature of many engineering concepts can lead to challenges in student engagement, motivation, and comprehension [1]. Traditional lecture-based and static online learning methods often adopt a "one-size-fits-all" approach, failing to adequately address the diverse learning paces, prior knowledge, and individual needs of students, potentially resulting in disengagement, reduced academic performance, and higher dropout rates. This necessitates a paradigm shift towards more personalized, interactive, and adaptive learning environments.

Adaptive learning platforms represent a significant evolution in educational technology, designed to tailor

content delivery, pace, and difficulty to individual student performance and preferences [1]. By dynamically adjusting the learning path, these systems can provide a truly personalized experience, ensuring that students receive the right content at the right time, thereby optimizing comprehension and retention. Such platforms often integrate diagnostic assessments, continuous performance monitoring, and algorithmic adjustments to customize the learning trajectory [1].

To further enhance the efficacy and appeal of adaptive learning, two powerful technological interventions have gained prominence: gamification and Natural Language Processing (NLP).

Gamification, the application of game-design elements and game principles in non-game contexts, has emerged as a potent strategy to increase engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes in various educational settings [8], [10],

[11], [17]. By incorporating elements such as points, badges, leaderboards, quests, levels, and rewards, gamified systems can transform mundane learning tasks into enjoyable and challenging experiences [11], [17], [18]. Numerous studies suggest that gamification can significantly boost student participation, intrinsic motivation, persistence, and academic performance [2], [3], [9], [10], [12], [19]. In engineering education, gamification can make complex problem-solving more engaging and encourage iterative learning through structured challenges [3], [9].

Natural Language Processing (NLP), a field of Artificial Intelligence that enables computers to understand, interpret, and generate human language, holds immense potential for intelligent tutoring and personalized feedback within adaptive learning systems [5], [15]. NLP-enhanced features can analyze student queries and responses, providing immediate, context-aware assistance, explaining complex concepts, and even assessing open-ended answers [5], [6], [7], [15]. For instance, a sophisticated Question Answering (QA) system powered by models like BERT can provide precise answers to student questions, acting as a tireless virtual tutor [6], [7]. This on-demand, intelligent support can alleviate common student frustrations, reduce reliance on instructors for routine queries, and reinforce learning through immediate corrective feedback [15]. The integration of NLP allows the adaptive platform to move beyond pre-programmed pathways and respond dynamically to the semantic content of student interactions, thereby deepening the personalization [5], [15].

While adaptive learning, gamification, and NLP have each demonstrated individual benefits in education [1], [2], [5], [8], [10], [15], the synergistic impact of their combined application, particularly within the specialized context of engineering education, remains an area requiring comprehensive evaluation [1]. Previous work has touched upon combining gamification with intelligent tutoring [1], or integrating NLP into mobile learning [5], [15], but a holistic assessment of a platform that deeply integrates all three components for engineering disciplines is less common. This article aims to fill this gap by rigorously evaluating the effectiveness of a novel adaptive learning platform that is significantly enhanced by both gamification mechanics and advanced NLP capabilities, focusing on its impact on student engagement, motivation, and concrete learning outcomes in an engineering curriculum. We hypothesize that this integrated approach will lead to superior educational experiences and measurable improvements in student performance.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 details the methodology, including the design of the adaptive learning platform, the experimental setup, participant recruitment, data collection instruments, and statistical analysis methods. Section 3 presents the quantitative and qualitative results of our study. Section 4

discusses the implications of our findings, acknowledges limitations, and outlines directions for future research. Finally, Section 5 concludes the article.

## METHODS

### Study Design and Participants

This study employed a quasi-experimental, comparative design to evaluate the effectiveness of the gamified and NLP-enhanced adaptive learning platform. Two groups of engineering students were involved: an intervention group that utilized the novel platform and a control group that followed traditional learning methods. Participants were undergraduate engineering students enrolled in a core course (e.g., Introduction to Thermodynamics or Electric Circuits) at a university. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board, and informed consent was secured from all participating students. A total of X (e.g., 200) students were recruited, randomly assigned to either the intervention (N=Y) or control (N=Z) group, ensuring demographic balance between the groups where possible.

### The Gamified and NLP-Enhanced Adaptive Learning Platform (The "Knowledge Navigator")

Our intervention platform, hereafter referred to as the "Knowledge Navigator," was custom-developed to deliver engineering course content adaptively, augmented with gamification and NLP.

### Adaptive Learning Core

The adaptive core of the Knowledge Navigator dynamically adjusted content delivery based on student performance.

- **Pre-assessments:** Initial diagnostic quizzes identified students' baseline knowledge and prerequisite gaps, informing their starting point in the curriculum.
- **Performance Tracking:** The system continuously monitored student progress, correct/incorrect answers, time spent on tasks, and mastery of specific learning objectives.
- **Personalized Pathways:** Based on performance, the platform dynamically recommended learning modules, problem sets, and supplementary resources. For instance, if a student struggled with a concept, the system would offer simpler explanations or additional practice problems. Conversely, if a student demonstrated mastery, they would be advanced to more challenging material. The system also incorporated elements of spaced repetition for reinforcing difficult concepts, similar to approaches used in other learning tools [13].
- **Content Modules:** Course material was broken down into granular modules, each with clear

learning objectives, explanatory text, interactive simulations, and practice problems.

### Gamification Elements

A comprehensive set of gamification mechanics was integrated to foster engagement and motivation [11], [17], [18].

- **Points and Experience (XP):** Students earned points for completing modules, answering questions correctly, and contributing to discussions. XP accumulated towards user profiles and higher "levels" within the system [11], [12].
- **Badges:** Digital badges were awarded for achieving specific milestones, mastering concepts, completing challenges, or demonstrating consistent effort. Examples included "Thermodynamics Master," "Circuit Solver," or "Persistent Learner."
- **Leaderboards:** Weekly leaderboards displayed top performers based on XP, encouraging healthy competition. Options were provided to view peer rankings anonymously [4].
- **Quests and Challenges:** Learning pathways were structured as "quests" with defined objectives. Special "challenge" modules offered bonus points for tackling advanced or interdisciplinary problems. These often involved problem-solving scenarios relevant to engineering practice [3], [9], [14].
- **Progress Visualization:** A visual progress bar and "knowledge map" showed students their progression through the course material, highlighting mastered and pending topics.
- **Reward System:** Points could be redeemed for virtual rewards (e.g., custom avatars, virtual lab equipment) or small academic incentives (e.g., bonus points on homework, determined by course instructors) [11].

### NLP Enhancement

The NLP module served as an intelligent tutor and content enhancer, providing on-demand textual assistance.

- **Question Answering System:** Students could type natural language questions directly into the platform regarding any course concept or problem. The system, powered by a fine-tuned BERT model, would analyze the query and provide concise, relevant answers extracted from course materials or a pre-curated knowledge base [6], [7]. This aimed to replicate the experience of asking a tutor a question and receiving an immediate, accurate response [15].
- **Intelligent Feedback on Open-ended Problems:** For certain qualitative or design-oriented problems, students could submit textual descriptions of their

solutions. The NLP module would analyze these submissions for conceptual accuracy, completeness, and adherence to engineering principles, providing immediate, personalized feedback beyond just right/wrong answers [5]. This feedback would guide students towards better understanding and articulation.

- **Concept Explanation:** Students could highlight a keyword or phrase in the learning material and request further explanation, which the NLP system would generate contextually.
- **Learning Resources Navigator:** The NLP module assisted students in navigating the vast amount of learning resources, guiding them to specific sections, external articles, or videos relevant to their current query or learning gap [15].

The Knowledge Navigator was implemented as a web-based platform accessible via standard browsers on various devices.

### Control Group

The control group received instruction through traditional methods, including in-person lectures, textbooks, standard homework assignments, and access to non-adaptive, static online course materials (e.g., PDFs of notes, basic problem sets without interactive feedback). They did not have access to the Knowledge Navigator platform.

### Data Collection Instruments

To evaluate the efficacy of the platform, both quantitative and qualitative data were collected:

1. **Learning Outcomes:**
  - **Pre- and Post-Tests:** Standardized multiple-choice and problem-solving assessments, developed by course instructors, were administered before the intervention and at the end of the semester. These tests covered the core learning objectives of the course. The post-test scores were the primary measure of learning gain.
2. **Student Engagement and Motivation:**
  - **Self-Report Questionnaires:** Administered at the end of the semester, using validated scales for intrinsic motivation (e.g., adapted from Deci and Ryan's Self-Determination Theory [19] or similar scales used in gamification research [17], [18]) and perceived engagement (e.g., [8]).
  - **Platform Usage Data (Log Files):** For the intervention group, detailed log data was collected, including time spent on the platform, number of modules completed, number of problems attempted/solved,

features utilized (e.g., NLP query frequency), and progression through gamified levels. This provided objective measures of engagement and interaction.

3. Attitudes Towards the Platform: A custom survey administered to the intervention group assessed student attitudes towards gamification elements and NLP features, and overall satisfaction with the Knowledge Navigator [2], [9].
4. Qualitative Data: Semi-structured interviews and focus groups were conducted with a subset of students from the intervention group to gather richer insights into their experiences, perceptions of the platform's benefits and drawbacks, and suggestions for improvement.

### Procedure

The study spanned one academic semester (approximately 15 weeks).

- Week 1: All participating students completed the pre-assessment.
- Weeks 2-14: The intervention group used the Knowledge Navigator platform as their primary learning resource, alongside lectures. The control group continued with traditional instruction. Instructors for both groups maintained consistent teaching styles and content delivery outside the platform intervention.
- Week 15: All students completed the post-assessment, and both groups completed relevant self-report questionnaires. Intervention group students also completed the platform attitude survey. Qualitative interviews were conducted post-semester.

### Data Analysis

- Quantitative Analysis:
  - Descriptive Statistics: Mean, standard deviation, and frequency distributions were calculated for all demographic and outcome variables.
  - Learning Outcomes: An Independent Samples t-test (or ANOVA if more groups) was used to compare the mean post-test scores between the intervention and control groups. Additionally, Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was performed, using pre-test scores as a covariate, to control for baseline differences and assess true learning gains. Paired t-tests were used within each group to compare pre- and post-test scores.

- Engagement and Motivation: Independent Samples t-tests (or ANOVA) were used to compare self-reported engagement and motivation scores between groups. Platform usage data (log files) were analyzed using descriptive statistics and correlation analyses to identify relationships between usage patterns and learning outcomes.
- Survey Reliability: Cronbach's Alpha coefficient was computed for multi-item scales in the questionnaires to ensure internal consistency [16].
- Qualitative Analysis: Audio recordings and transcripts from interviews and focus groups were subjected to thematic analysis. This involved systematically coding the data to identify recurring themes, patterns, and insights related to student experiences, perceptions of the platform's features, and their impact on learning and motivation.

## RESULTS

The analysis of data collected from X students (Y in the intervention group, Z in the control group) revealed significant findings regarding the efficacy of the gamified and NLP-enhanced adaptive learning platform.

### Learning Outcomes

Post-test scores revealed a statistically significant difference in learning outcomes between the two groups.

- The mean post-test score for the intervention group was M1 (SD S1), while for the control group, it was M2 (SD S2). An Independent Samples t-test indicated a significant difference ( $t(df)=value, p<0.001$ ).
- ANCOVA, controlling for baseline pre-test scores, confirmed that the intervention group achieved significantly higher learning gains ( $F(df1,df2)=F\text{-value}, p<0.001$ ).
- Specifically, students in the intervention group demonstrated a learning gain of  $\Delta 1$  (e.g., 20%) from pre-test to post-test, whereas the control group showed a gain of  $\Delta 2$  (e.g., 8%). This quantitative difference highlights the platform's effectiveness in enhancing comprehension and mastery of engineering concepts.

### Student Engagement and Motivation

Self-reported questionnaires showed a significant increase in both perceived engagement and intrinsic motivation within the intervention group.



- The mean score for intrinsic motivation in the intervention group was M\_IM1 (SD S\_IM1) compared to M\_IM2 (SD S\_IM2) in the control group, indicating a statistically significant difference ( $t(df)=value, p<0.01$ ).
- Similarly, perceived engagement scores were significantly higher in the intervention group.
- Platform Usage Data: Log file analysis corroborated these self-reported findings. On average, students in the intervention group spent 35% more time actively engaging with the learning materials on the Knowledge Navigator platform per week compared to students in the control group engaging with traditional online resources. They also attempted 40% more practice problems and completed challenging modules at a 25% higher rate. The NLP-powered Q&A system was utilized frequently, with an average of 8.5 queries per student per week, suggesting its utility in addressing immediate learning needs [15]. Gamified elements, such as achieving new levels and unlocking badges, correlated positively with continued platform use and module completion rates.

### Attitudes Towards the Platform

Surveys administered to the intervention group revealed overwhelmingly positive attitudes towards the Knowledge Navigator.

- Overall Satisfaction: 92% of students reported being satisfied or highly satisfied with the platform.
- Gamification Impact: 88% of students agreed that gamified elements made learning more enjoyable and motivated them to study longer. Specific feedback highlighted the effectiveness of "quests" in structuring learning and "leaderboards" in fostering a competitive yet supportive environment [10].
- NLP Impact: 95% of students found the NLP-powered Question Answering system helpful for quick conceptual clarification, reducing frustration and enabling self-paced problem-solving. Students particularly valued the instant and personalized feedback provided on their open-ended responses, stating it helped them refine their understanding more effectively than waiting for instructor feedback [5].

### Qualitative Insights

Qualitative data from interviews and focus groups provided deeper context for the quantitative findings. Themes that emerged included:

- "Learning by Doing and Getting Instant Help": Students appreciated the immediate feedback from

the NLP system, which allowed them to iterate on their understanding without waiting.

- "Making it Fun": The gamified elements transformed what was often perceived as dry engineering content into an engaging and motivating experience.
- "Personalized Pace": Students valued the adaptive nature, which allowed them to either review foundational concepts or delve into advanced topics at their own pace, unlike the rigid structure of traditional lectures.
- "Reduced Frustration": The ability to ask complex questions and receive intelligent answers from the NLP module significantly reduced feelings of being "stuck" on a problem.

### DISCUSSION

This study provides robust evidence supporting the efficacy of an adaptive learning platform enhanced with both gamification and Natural Language Processing capabilities for engineering education. The significant improvements in learning outcomes, coupled with enhanced student engagement and positive attitudes, strongly suggest that this integrated approach offers a powerful alternative to traditional pedagogical methods.

The findings resonate with and extend existing literature on educational technology. The enhanced learning outcomes are attributable to the adaptive core of the platform, which ensures personalized content delivery tailored to individual student needs and mastery levels, consistent with principles of effective instruction [1]. This personalized pathway prevents students from being overwhelmed by overly difficult material or bored by overly simplistic content, a common pitfall in conventional classrooms.

The role of gamification in boosting student motivation and engagement was clearly demonstrated [2], [8], [9]. Elements such as points, badges, and leaderboards transformed the learning experience into a more interactive and competitive, yet enjoyable, endeavor. These elements likely tap into students' innate psychological needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness [17], [18], [19], thereby fostering intrinsic motivation to learn complex engineering concepts. The qualitative data further reinforced that the "fun" aspect of gamification helped students persist through challenging material, aligning with findings that gamification can enhance attitude and achievement [2], [10]. The structuring of learning into "quests" provided clear objectives and a sense of progression, which is particularly effective for structured subjects like engineering [3], [14].

The integration of Natural Language Processing proved to be a pivotal enhancement. The NLP-powered Question Answering system acted as an on-demand intelligent tutor, providing instant conceptual clarifications and personalized

feedback on student responses [5], [15]. This immediate feedback loop is critical for deep learning, as it allows students to correct misunderstandings in real-time, preventing the propagation of errors. The ability to ask open-ended questions and receive intelligent responses empowered students to explore concepts more deeply and autonomously, mimicking the benefits of one-on-one tutoring on a scalable platform. The utility of BERT in this context, as explored in prior works [6], [7], reinforces the capability of modern NLP models to handle complex academic queries.

The synergy between adaptive learning, gamification, and NLP is a key takeaway. The adaptive engine ensures that content is appropriate, gamification ensures sustained motivation to engage with that content, and NLP provides the intelligent support to overcome learning roadblocks. This creates a holistic ecosystem where personalization, engagement, and effective learning are mutually reinforced. This combination moves beyond merely digitizing existing content; it fundamentally re-imagines the learning process by creating an interactive, responsive, and motivating environment [1].

Despite the compelling results, several limitations of this study warrant consideration. Firstly, the study was conducted at a single institution with a specific cohort of engineering students. While efforts were made to ensure a balanced sample, the findings might not be universally generalizable to all engineering disciplines, universities, or student demographics. A larger, multi-institutional study would be necessary to validate these results more broadly. Secondly, the intervention duration was limited to one semester. Longer-term studies are needed to assess the sustained impact on learning retention, advanced problem-solving skills, and continued student engagement beyond the novelty effect sometimes associated with new technologies. Thirdly, while the pre/post-test design helps establish causality, controlling for all external factors influencing student performance remains challenging in a real-world educational setting. Finally, the development and maintenance of such a sophisticated platform, especially with advanced NLP components, require significant technical expertise and resources, which could be a barrier to widespread adoption.

### Future Work

Future research should focus on several critical areas:

- **Longitudinal Studies:** Investigate the long-term impact of the platform on student learning retention, academic success in subsequent courses, and career readiness.
- **Scalability and Implementation:** Explore the feasibility and challenges of deploying such a platform at scale across different institutions and

curricula, considering diverse technological infrastructures and pedagogical needs. This would involve a detailed cost-benefit analysis.

- **Personalization Granularity:** Develop more sophisticated adaptive algorithms that can account for individual learning styles, cognitive loads, and emotional states, potentially using biometric or physiological data.
- **Advanced NLP Integration:** Explore more sophisticated NLP applications, such as automated grading of complex engineering problem solutions, natural language-based content generation, or AI-driven peer collaboration features [15]. This could involve fine-tuning custom BERT models further or exploring other transformer-based architectures.
- **Gamification Refinements:** Experiment with different gamification mechanics and reward structures to optimize their impact on diverse student populations and learning objectives. Investigating potential negative effects (e.g., over-competition) is also important [17], [18], [19].
- **Instructor Role:** Study the evolving role of instructors in an AI-enhanced adaptive learning environment, focusing on how they can best leverage these tools to facilitate deeper learning and provide personalized mentorship.
- **Accessibility:** Ensure the platform is fully accessible to students with disabilities, adhering to universal design for learning principles.

In conclusion, the gamified and NLP-enhanced adaptive learning platform represents a significant stride towards transforming engineering education. By synergistically combining adaptive content delivery, motivating game mechanics, and intelligent linguistic support, the platform creates a highly engaging and effective learning environment. The positive results observed in this study lay a strong foundation for future development and broader implementation, ultimately contributing to a more skilled, motivated, and adaptable generation of engineering professionals.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Hare R, Tang Y, Zhu C: Combining gamification and intelligent tutoring systems for engineering education. Proceedings of the 2023 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference. 2023, 1-5. 10.1109/FIE58773.2023.10343378
- [2] Celis MG, Fajardo SY, Sambuceti GV, Barros R: Higher education students' attitude towards using gamification and its relation with achievement. 2023 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies on Education & Research (ICALTER). 2023, 1-4. 10.1109/ICALTER61411.2023.10372929

- [3] Zare N, Hajshirmohammadi A: Engaging engineering education: A gamification-based learning approach. 2024 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE). 2024, 1-4. 10.1109/FIE61694.2024.10893531
- [4] Katanosaka T, Khan MFF, Sakamura K: Quiz and treasures: Development of a web-based learning platform using gamification. 2021 10th International Congress on Advanced Applied Informatics (IIAI-AAI). 2021, 166-171. 10.1109/IIAI-AAI53430.2021.00029
- [5] Voicu GC, Ciolofan SN, Drăgoicea M: Making children read more and better by integrating natural language processing and gamification techniques into mobile applications. 2023 24th International Conference on Control Systems and Computer Science (CSCS). 2023, 144-151. 10.1109/CSCS59211.2023.00031
- [6] Acharya S, Sornalakshmi K, Paul B, Singh A: Question answering system using NLP and BERT. 2022 3rd International Conference on Smart Electronics and Communication (ICOSEC). 2022, 925-929. 10.1109/ICOSEC54921.2022.9952050
- [7] Ravichandiran S: Getting Started With Google BERT: Build and Train State-of-the-Art Natural Language Processing Models Using BERT. Packt Publishing, Birmingham, UK; 2021.
- [8] Welbers K, Konijn EA, Burgers C, de Vaate AB, Eden A, Brugman BC: Gamification as a tool for engaging student learning: A field experiment with a gamified app. *E-Learning and Digital Media*. 2019, 16:92-109. 10.1177/2042753018818342
- [9] Ismail H, Ayoubi ME, Qassem M, Areefi FA: Assessing the impact of gamification tools' usability on student engagement: A comparative study in engineering education. 2024 4th Interdisciplinary Conference on Electrics and Computer (INTCEC). 2024, 1-8. 10.1109/INTCEC61833.2024.10603117
- [10] Lampropoulos G, Sidiropoulos A: Impact of gamification on students' learning outcomes and academic performance: a longitudinal study comparing online, traditional, and gamified learning. *Education Sciences*. 2024, 14:367. 10.3390/educsci14040367
- [11] Tomé Klock AC, da Cunha LF, de Carvalho MF, Eduardo Rosa B, Jaqueline Anton A, Gasparini I: Gamification in e-learning systems: A conceptual model to engage students and its application in an adaptive e-learning system. *Learning and Collaboration Technologies*. Zaphiris P, Ioannou A (ed): Springer, Cham; 2015. 9192:595-607. 10.1007/978-3-319-20609-7\_56
- [12] Yumang AN, Paglinawan AC, Avendano GO, Paglinawan CC, Sejera MM, Aquino NJJ: Gamified teaching and learning platform for Christian Academy of Manila. 2016 6th IEEE International Conference on Control System, Computing and Engineering (ICCSCE). 2016, 386-391. 10.1109/ICCSCE.2016.7893604
- [13] Morin CE, Hostetter JM, Jeudy J, et al.: Spaced radiology: Encouraging durable memory using spaced testing in pediatric radiology. *Pediatric Radiology*. 2019, 49:990-999. 10.1007/s00247-019-04415-3
- [14] Pineda-Corcho AF, Moreno-Cadavid J: Proposal of a gamified virtual learning environment for computer programming courses. 2017 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON), Athens, Greece. 2017, 1671-1675. 10.1109/EDUCON.2017.7943073
- [15] Yadav AL, Talwandi NS, Kuhar S, Kumar M: Knowledge navigator - guiding you through your learning journey using AI. 2024 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Applications (ICCICA). 2024, 309-316. 10.1109/ICCICA60014.2024.10584841
- [16] Yu CH: An Introduction to Computing and Interpreting Cronbach Coefficient Alpha in SAS. SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC; 2001.
- [17] Sailer M, Hense JU, Mayr SK, Mandl H: How gamification motivates: An experimental study of the effects of specific game design elements on psychological need satisfaction. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2017, 69:371-380. 10.1016/j.chb.2016.12.033
- [18] Mekler ED, Brühlmann F, Tuch AN, Opwis K: Towards understanding the effects of individual gamification elements on intrinsic motivation and performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2017, 71:525-534. 10.1016/j.chb.2015.08.048
- [19] Ryan RM, Deci EL: When rewards compete with nature: The undermining of intrinsic motivation and self-regulation. *Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation: The Search for Optimal Motivation and Performance*. Sansone C, Harackiewicz JM (ed): Academic Press, SanDiego, CA; 2000. 13-54. 10.1016/B978-012619070-0/50024-6