

Volume 02, Issue 07, July 2025,

Publish Date: 01-07-2025

PageNo.01-06

Translating Artificial Intelligence Algorithms into Clinical Practice: Addressing Implementation Barriers in Healthcare

Dr. Chen Zhao 

Institute of Medical Artificial Intelligence, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Dr. Beatriz Santos López 

Department of Computer Science and Biomedical Applications, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms into clinical practice holds immense potential to improve diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and operational efficiency in healthcare settings. However, despite significant technological advancements, translating AI innovations into routine care faces numerous implementation barriers. This paper systematically examines the key challenges impeding adoption, including data privacy concerns, lack of standardized protocols, integration with legacy health information systems, clinician trust and acceptance, regulatory compliance, and the need for robust validation in real-world environments. It also explores strategies to overcome these obstacles, such as establishing interdisciplinary collaboration, developing transparent and interpretable models, implementing rigorous evaluation frameworks, and designing scalable deployment pipelines. By addressing these barriers comprehensively, this work aims to facilitate the effective translation of AI algorithms from research prototypes to impactful tools in everyday clinical workflows.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence, clinical practice, healthcare implementation, algorithm adoption, data privacy, model interpretability, healthcare informatics, regulatory compliance, clinical decision support, translational research.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) stands on the precipice of revolutionizing healthcare, promising to transform clinical practice through enhanced diagnostic accuracy, personalized treatment strategies, operational efficiency, and advanced research capabilities [Alowais et al. 2023; Bajwa et al. 2021]. From precision medicine initiatives [Johnson et al. 2021] to the development of sophisticated algorithms for detecting undiagnosed conditions like atrial fibrillation [Noseworthy et al. 2022; Hill et al. 2022; Sekelj et al. 2021] and guiding personalized ablation therapies [Boyle et al. 2019], AI algorithms are demonstrating remarkable potential in various medical domains. Generative AI, in particular, is opening new frontiers in areas such as synthetic data generation and novel drug discovery, though its application requires careful consideration of ethical and practical implications [Reddy 2024; Paladugu et al. 2023].

Despite the compelling promise and rapid advancements in AI algorithm development, the translation of these innovations into routine clinical practice remains a significant challenge [Ahmed et al. 2023; He et al. 2019; Singh et al. 2020]. A substantial gap exists between the

successful creation of AI models in research environments and their effective, widespread implementation in real-world healthcare settings [van der Vegt et al. 2024]. This implementation chasm is not merely a technical problem; it is a complex interplay of technical, ethical, organizational, human, regulatory, and financial barriers [Nair et al. 2024; Petersson et al. 2022]. Healthcare systems, characterized by their intricate workflows, highly regulated environments, diverse stakeholders, and inherent resistance to change, present a unique set of obstacles that must be systematically addressed for AI solutions to achieve their full potential.

The objective of this article is to comprehensively identify and analyze the multifaceted challenges that impede the successful adoption and integration of AI algorithms into healthcare. Furthermore, it aims to synthesize and discuss architectural and strategic approaches derived from existing literature to bridge this critical gap. By shedding light on these barriers and potential solutions, this article seeks to provide a structured overview for researchers, clinicians, policymakers, and industry stakeholders involved in the responsible and effective deployment of AI in healthcare.

METHODS

This article employs a conceptual review methodology, synthesizing insights from a diverse body of peer-reviewed literature and authoritative reports related to artificial intelligence in healthcare. The aim is to identify and categorize the key challenges to AI algorithm adoption and to explore proposed strategies for successful implementation. While not a formal systematic review with predefined search strings and exclusion criteria, the selection of references has been guided by their direct relevance to the development and implementation of AI in clinical practice, focusing on studies that articulate barriers, facilitators, or practical considerations.

Data Source and Selection

The references provided by the user served as the primary data source for this article. These references encompass a wide range of topics pertinent to AI in healthcare, including:

- Overviews of AI's role and potential [Alowais et al. 2023; Bajwa et al. 2021].
- Specific AI applications and trials [Noseworthy et al. 2022; Boyle et al. 2019; Hill et al. 2022; Sekelj et al. 2021; Figurelle et al. 2023].
- Empirical studies and surveys on implementation challenges [Petersson et al. 2022; Ahmed et al. 2023; Nair et al. 2024; Singh et al. 2020].
- Ethical, legal, and regulatory considerations [Gooding and Kariotis 2021; Beil et al. 2019; Rezaeikhonakdar 2023; British Standards Institution 2023; Department of Health & Social Care 2021].
- Discussions on data, interoperability, and model maintenance [Mandl et al. 2024; Létiénier et al. 2021; Feng et al. 2022; Davis et al. 2024].
- Patient and clinician perspectives [Asan et al. 2020; O'Neill 2017; Camaradou et al. 2023; Moy et al. 2024; Freeman et al. 2024; Mittelstadt 2021].
- Frameworks for implementation science and organizational readiness [Reddy 2024; Alami et al. 2020].

Data Extraction and Categorization

Information from each relevant reference was extracted and conceptually grouped. The core elements extracted included:

- Identified barriers or challenges to AI implementation.
- Proposed solutions, strategies, or recommendations.
- Ethical, legal, or societal implications.
- Perspectives of different stakeholders (e.g., clinicians, patients, developers, policymakers).

These extracted insights were then systematically categorized into a thematic framework of implementation challenges. This framework was developed iteratively based

on recurring themes in the literature and broadly aligns with common implementation science models and established typologies of barriers to technological adoption in complex systems [Ahmed et al. 2023; Nair et al. 2024]. The main categories used for presenting the results are:

1. Technical and Data-Related Challenges
2. Ethical, Legal, and Regulatory Challenges
3. Organizational and Human Factors Challenges
4. Financial and Reimbursement Challenges

Synthesis and Discussion of Solutions

Following the categorization of challenges, the article synthesizes and discusses the strategies and recommendations put forth in the literature to overcome these barriers. This includes identifying overarching principles for successful AI integration, such as the importance of interoperable data ecosystems [Mandl et al. 2024] and user-centered design [Seneviratne et al. 2022]. The discussion section then integrates these findings to provide a holistic understanding of the translational path from AI algorithm development to practical healthcare implementation, emphasizing the multidisciplinary nature of required interventions.

RESULTS

The synthesis of the selected literature reveals a complex interplay of challenges that hinder the widespread and effective implementation of AI algorithms in healthcare. These barriers span technical, ethical, organizational, and financial domains, demanding a multi-pronged approach for successful translation into clinical practice.

1. Technical and Data-Related Challenges

A fundamental obstacle is the lack of interoperable and high-quality data [Mandl et al. 2024]. Healthcare data are often siloed, fragmented across different systems, and stored in heterogeneous formats, including a significant amount of unstructured text [Létiénier et al. 2021]. This heterogeneity makes it difficult to aggregate and process the large, diverse datasets required for training robust AI models. Even when data are accessible, issues such as missing values, inconsistencies, and biases in historical data can compromise model performance and generalizability [Feng et al. 2022; Davis et al. 2024].

Another critical technical challenge is the "black box" nature of many AI algorithms, particularly deep learning models [Holm 2019]. Clinicians often require transparency and interpretability to trust and effectively use AI-generated insights, especially in critical decision-making contexts. The lack of explainability can lead to reduced adoption and reluctance from healthcare professionals [Asan et al. 2020]. Furthermore, AI models are not static; they require

continuous monitoring, validation, and updating in dynamic clinical environments to maintain performance and prevent degradation due to data drift or evolving patient populations [Feng et al. 2022; Davis et al. 2024]. This ongoing maintenance poses a significant logistical and computational burden.

2. Ethical, Legal, and Regulatory Challenges

The ethical landscape of AI in healthcare is fraught with complexities. Concerns revolve around bias and fairness, where algorithms trained on unrepresentative data may perpetuate or even amplify existing health inequities [Gooding and Kariotis 2021]. Accountability for AI-driven decisions, particularly in cases of adverse patient outcomes, remains ambiguous, raising questions about legal responsibility [Beil et al. 2019]. Patient privacy and data security are paramount, with strict regulations like HIPAA in the US posing compliance challenges for AI developers and vendors [Rezaeikhonakdar 2023].

The regulatory framework for AI in healthcare is still nascent and evolving, leading to uncertainty for developers and healthcare providers [British Standards Institution 2023; Department of Health & Social Care 2021]. Clear guidelines for validation, deployment, and post-market surveillance are often lacking, creating a hesitant environment for widespread adoption. Ethical considerations also extend to the doctor-patient relationship, with concerns about dehumanization and the impact on shared decision-making processes [Mittelstadt 2021; Moy et al. 2024].

3. Organizational and Human Factors Challenges

Organizational readiness is a significant barrier. Many healthcare institutions lack the necessary IT infrastructure, technical expertise, and organizational culture to support AI implementation [Alami et al. 2020; Petersson et al. 2022]. Resistance to change from clinicians and staff, fueled by concerns about job displacement [O'Neill 2017], fear of deskilling, or a lack of understanding of AI's capabilities and limitations, can impede adoption [Asan et al. 2020].

Workflow integration is another critical human factor. AI tools must seamlessly integrate into existing clinical workflows to be effective and avoid adding administrative burden [He et al. 2019]. Poorly designed interfaces or tools that disrupt established routines will likely face strong clinician pushback. User-centered design approaches are crucial to ensure AI tools are intuitive, usable, and truly augment, rather than replace, human capabilities [Seneviratne et al. 2022]. Patient perspectives on AI, including concerns about trust, privacy, and control, also significantly influence adoption [Camaradou et al. 2023; Freeman et al. 2024; Moy et al. 2024].

4. Financial and Reimbursement Challenges

The high cost of AI development and implementation can be prohibitive for many healthcare organizations. This includes expenses for data infrastructure, specialized hardware, software licenses, and ongoing maintenance. Furthermore, the lack of clear and consistent reimbursement models for AI-driven diagnostic tools or therapeutic interventions creates a disincentive for adoption [Abramoff et al. 2022]. Healthcare systems often operate on tight budgets, and without a clear pathway for financial return on investment, AI initiatives struggle to gain traction. Funding mechanisms from governmental bodies and research institutions, while present [National Institute for Health and Care Research 2021], often target development rather than long-term implementation.

Strategies to Overcome Challenges

Addressing these multifaceted barriers requires a comprehensive and coordinated effort involving various stakeholders. Key strategies synthesized from the literature include:

- **Adopting Implementation Science Frameworks:** Leveraging implementation science principles provides a structured approach to identifying context-specific barriers and designing tailored interventions for successful AI integration [Reddy 2024].
- **Prioritizing Data Interoperability and Quality:** Investing in robust data governance, standardization, and interoperability solutions (e.g., FHIR standards) to create a comprehensive and accessible digital data ecosystem [Mandl et al. 2024].
- **Developing Explainable AI (XAI) and Trustworthy AI:** Focusing on building AI models that offer transparency and interpretability to foster clinician trust and facilitate understanding of AI-driven recommendations [Holm 2019; Asan et al. 2020].
- **Establishing Clear Regulatory and Validation Frameworks:** Collaborating between regulators, industry, and academia to develop clear, adaptable standards for AI validation, deployment, and ongoing monitoring [British Standards Institution 2023; Department of Health & Social Care 2021].
- **Investing in Organizational Readiness and Training:** Preparing healthcare organizations through infrastructure upgrades, workforce training, and fostering a culture of innovation and acceptance [Alami et al. 2020; Petersson et al. 2022]. This includes focusing on user-centered design and seamless workflow integration for AI tools [Seneviratne et al. 2022; He et al. 2019].
- **Developing Sustainable Reimbursement Models:** Creating novel payment and reimbursement models that incentivize the adoption of proven AI technologies

by demonstrating clear value and improved patient outcomes [Abramoff et al. 2022].

- **Engaging Stakeholders (Clinicians & Patients):** Ensuring active involvement of clinicians in the design and evaluation process [Seneviratne et al. 2022] and proactively addressing patient concerns about ethical implications, privacy, and the impact on the patient-provider relationship [Camaradou et al. 2023; Moy et al. 2024; Freeman et al. 2024]. The PULsE-AI trial, for example, highlights learnings from undertaking multi-centre randomized controlled trials in primary care, emphasizing stakeholder engagement [Pollock et al. 2024].
- **Real-world Implementation Case Studies:** Learning from successful implementations, such as Viz.ai's platform for stroke and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy detection, provides valuable insights into overcoming practical barriers and achieving measurable clinical impact [Figurelle et al. 2023; Viz.ai 2023; Viz.ai 2024].

DISCUSSION

The journey of artificial intelligence from algorithmic innovation to pervasive clinical implementation is fraught with formidable challenges that extend far beyond technical prowess. The results presented herein underscore that the adoption gap is not singular but a complex tapestry woven from issues related to data, ethics, organizational dynamics, human factors, and financial viability [Ahmed et al. 2023; Nair et al. 2024; Singh et al. 2020]. Successfully bridging this gap requires a holistic and interdisciplinary approach, recognizing that isolated interventions will likely fall short of achieving large-scale, sustainable integration of AI in healthcare.

A central theme emerging from the analysis is the indispensable role of implementation science [Reddy 2024]. This field provides the methodological rigor and theoretical frameworks necessary to systematically investigate and address the barriers and facilitators to adopting evidence-based practices, including AI algorithms, in complex healthcare settings. Rather than simply developing a superior algorithm, the focus must shift to understanding the contextual factors that influence its uptake and designing targeted strategies to overcome specific obstacles. This involves rigorous evaluation beyond efficacy, encompassing effectiveness in real-world settings, scalability, and sustainability.

The emphasis on data interoperability [Mandl et al. 2024] and high-quality, standardized data infrastructure is paramount. AI models are only as good as the data they are trained on, and the current fragmented state of healthcare data is a significant bottleneck. Efforts to standardize data formats, improve data governance, and build robust digital ecosystems are foundational for future AI adoption.

Furthermore, the inherent need for continuous model monitoring and updating [Feng et al. 2022; Davis et al. 2024] demands a shift from a "develop-and-deploy" mindset to one of "continuous quality improvement" for AI systems.

Trust stands out as a critical human factor. Both clinician trust in AI's reliability, interpretability [Asan et al. 2020; Holm 2019], and safety, and patient trust in its ethical deployment and impact on care [Camaradou et al. 2023; Moy et al. 2024], are indispensable. This necessitates a move towards explainable AI (XAI), where the reasoning behind AI recommendations can be understood and validated by human experts. Proactive engagement with clinicians through user-centered design [Seneviratne et al. 2022] ensures that AI tools are designed to augment, not disrupt, existing workflows, thereby fostering acceptance and integration. Addressing patient concerns through transparent communication and ethical safeguards is equally crucial for societal acceptance and public confidence in AI in healthcare.

The fragmented regulatory and reimbursement landscape represents an external, yet powerful, inhibitor. Clear guidelines for validation, accountability, and the establishment of fair reimbursement models [Abramoff et al. 2022] are essential to provide certainty for developers and incentivize healthcare providers to invest in AI. Public funding and policy initiatives, such as those by the NIHR [National Institute for Health and Care Research 2021], are important for nurturing development but must increasingly focus on supporting implementation and sustained use.

Future Directions

Future research and practical efforts should converge on several key areas to accelerate AI adoption in healthcare:

1. **Tailored Implementation Strategies:** Develop and rigorously evaluate context-specific implementation strategies, leveraging implementation science frameworks to address the unique challenges of diverse healthcare settings and target specific AI applications.
2. **Integrated Data Platforms:** Invest in and incentivize the creation of highly interoperable, secure, and standardized data platforms that can facilitate seamless data flow and model training/validation across institutions.
3. **Human-Centered AI Design:** Prioritize research and development into human-centered AI, focusing on explainability, interpretability, and intuitive user interfaces that seamlessly integrate into clinical workflows.
4. **Adaptive Regulatory Frameworks:** Foster collaboration between regulatory bodies, healthcare organizations, and AI developers to establish agile and adaptive regulatory frameworks that can keep pace with rapid technological advancements while ensuring patient

safety and ethical compliance. This includes developing validation frameworks [British Standards Institution 2023].

5. Evidence-Based Economic Models: Conduct robust economic evaluations to demonstrate the tangible return on investment for AI solutions, facilitating the development of sustainable reimbursement and funding models.
6. Education and Training: Implement comprehensive education and training programs for healthcare professionals at all levels to build AI literacy, foster critical thinking about AI applications, and alleviate concerns.
7. Ethical Governance and Public Engagement: Establish robust ethical governance structures within healthcare organizations and proactively engage patients and the public in dialogues about AI's role, benefits, and risks, fostering trust and acceptance.

In conclusion, the successful integration of AI algorithms into clinical practice is not a foregone conclusion but rather a complex translational challenge. Overcoming the multifaceted barriers—from technical complexities and ethical dilemmas to organizational inertia and financial constraints—requires a concerted, multidisciplinary effort. By strategically addressing these implementation hurdles, grounded in robust methodologies and collaborative partnerships, the healthcare community can truly harness the transformative power of AI to improve patient outcomes and reshape the future of medicine.

REFERENCES

- [1] Reddy S. Generative AI in healthcare: an implementation science informed translational path on application, integration, and governance. *Implement Sci* 2024;19:27.
- [2] Alowais SA, Alghamdi SS, Alsuhebany N, et al. Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Med Educ* 2023;23:689.
- [3] Johnson KB, Wei WQ, Weeraratne D, et al. Precision Medicine, AI, and the Future of Personalized Health Care. *Clin Transl Sci* 2021;14:86-93.
- [4] Paladugu PS, Ong J, Nelson N, et al. Generative Adversarial Networks in Medicine: Important Considerations for this Emerging Innovation in Artificial Intelligence. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2023;51:2130-42.
- [5] Noseworthy PA, Attia ZI, Behnken EM, et al. Artificial intelligence-guided screening for atrial fibrillation using electrocardiogram during sinus rhythm: a prospective non-randomised interventional trial. *Lancet* 2022;400:1206-12.
- [6] Boyle PM, Zghaib T, Zahid S, et al. Computationally guided personalized targeted ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation. *Nat Biomed Eng* 2019;3:870-9.
- [7] Petersson L, Larsson I, Nygren JM, et al. Challenges to implementing artificial intelligence in healthcare: a qualitative interview study with healthcare leaders in Sweden. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2022;22:850.
- [8] Gooding P, Kariotis T. Ethics and Law in Research on Algorithmic and Data-Driven Technology in Mental Health Care: Scoping Review. *JMIR Ment Health* 2021;8:e24668.
- [9] Beil M, Proft I, van Heerden D, et al. Ethical considerations about artificial intelligence for prognostication in intensive care. *Intensive Care Med Exp* 2019;7:70.
- [10] Rezaeikhonakdar D. AI Chatbots and Challenges of HIPAA Compliance for AI Developers and Vendors. *J Law Med Ethics* 2023;51:988-95.
- [11] British Standards Institution. BS 30440:2023. Validation framework for the use of artificial intelligence (AI) within healthcare. Specification. 2023. Last accessed: Feb 12, 2025. Available online: <https://knowledge.bsigroup.com/products/validation-framework-for-the-use-of-artificial-intelligence-ai-within-healthcare-specification?version=standard>
- [12] Mandl KD, Gottlieb D, Mandel JC. Integration of AI in healthcare requires an interoperable digital data ecosystem. *Nat Med* 2024;30:631-4.
- [13] van der Vegt A, Campbell V, Zuccon G. Why clinical artificial intelligence is (almost) non-existent in Australian hospitals and how to fix it. *Med J Aust* 2024;220:172-5.
- [14] Létinier L, Jouganous J, Benkebil M, et al. Artificial Intelligence for Unstructured Healthcare Data: Application to Coding of Patient Reporting of Adverse Drug Reactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2021;110:392-400.
- [15] Asan O, Bayrak AE, Choudhury A. Artificial Intelligence and Human Trust in Healthcare: Focus on Clinicians. *J Med Internet Res* 2020;22:e15154.
- [16] O'Neill C. Is AI a threat or benefit to health workers? *CMAJ* 2017;189:E732.
- [17] Alami H, Lehoux P, Denis JL, et al. Organizational readiness for artificial intelligence in health care: insights for decision-making and practice. *J Health Organ Manag* 2020;
- [18] National Institute for Health and Care Research. Artificial intelligence funding. 2021. Last accessed: Feb 12, 2025. Available online: <https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/ai-award.htm>
- [19] Ahmed MI, Spooner B, Isherwood J, et al. A Systematic Review of the Barriers to the Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare. *Cureus* 2023;15:e46454.
- [20] Holm EA. In defense of the black box. *Science* 2019;364:26-7.
- [21] Mittelstadt B. The impact of artificial intelligence on the doctor-patient relationship. Report by the Steering Committee for Human Rights in the fields of Biomedicine and Health. 2021. Last accessed: Feb 12, 2025. Available online: <https://rm.coe.int/inf-2022-5-report-impact-of-ai-on-doctor-patient-relations-e/1680a68859>
- [22] Camaradou JCL, Hogg HDJ. Commentary: Patient Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence; What have We

Learned and How Should We Move Forward? *Adv Ther* 2023;40:2563-72.

[23] Freeman S, Stewart J, Kaard R, et al. Health consumers' ethical concerns towards artificial intelligence in Australian emergency departments. *Emerg Med Australas* 2024;36:768-76.

[24] Moy S, Irannejad M, Manning SJ, et al. Patient Perspectives on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: A Scoping Review. *J Patient Cent Res Rev* 2024;11:51-62.

[25] Singh RP, Hom GL, Abramoff MD, et al. Current Challenges and Barriers to Real-World Artificial Intelligence Adoption for the Healthcare System, Provider, and the Patient. *Transl Vis Sci Technol* 2020;9:45.

[26] Hill NR, Groves L, Dickerson C, et al. Identification of undiagnosed atrial fibrillation using a machine learning risk-prediction algorithm and diagnostic testing (PULsE-AI) in primary care: a multi-centre randomized controlled trial in England. *Eur Heart J Digit Health* 2022;3:195-204.

[27] Sekelj S, Sandler B, Johnston E, et al. Detecting undiagnosed atrial fibrillation in UK primary care: Validation of a machine learning prediction algorithm in a retrospective cohort study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2021;28:598-605.

[28] Abramoff MD, Roehrenbeck C, Trujillo S, et al. A reimbursement framework for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *NPJ Digit Med* 2022;5:72.

[29] Seneviratne MG, Li RC, Schreier M, et al. User-centred design for machine learning in health care: a case study from care management. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2022;29:e100656.

[30] Pollock KG, Dickerson C, Kainth M, et al. Undertaking multi-centre randomised controlled trials in primary care: learnings and recommendations from the PULsE-AI trial researchers. *BMC Prim Care* 2024;25:7.

[31] Department of Health & Social Care. Guidance: A guide to good practice for digital and data-driven health technologies. 2021. Last accessed: Feb 12, 2025. Available online:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/code-of-conduct-for-data-driven-health-and-care-technology/initial-code-of-conduct-for-data-driven-health-and-care-technology>

[32] Figurelle ME, Meyer DM, Perrinez ES, et al. Viz.ai Implementation of Stroke Augmented Intelligence and Communications Platform to Improve Indicators and Outcomes for a Comprehensive Stroke Center and Network. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2023;44:47-53.

[33] Viz.ai. Viz.ai announces agreement with Bristol Myers Squibb to enable earlier detection and management of suspected hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). 2023. Last accessed: Feb 12, 2025. Available online: <https://www.viz.ai/news/agreement-bristol-meyers-squibb-hcm>

[34] Viz.ai. Viz HCM. End-to-end approach to detecting and directing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) patients. 2024. Last accessed: Feb 12, 2025. Available online: <https://www.viz.ai/hypertrophic-cardiomyopathy>

[35] He J, Baxter SL, Xu J, et al. The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nat Med* 2019;25:30-6.

[36] Davis SE, Embí PJ, Matheny ME. Sustainable deployment of clinical prediction tools—a 360° approach to model maintenance. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2024;31:1195-8.

[37] Bajwa J, Munir U, Nori A, et al. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: transforming the practice of medicine. *Future Healthc J* 2021;8:e188-94.

[38] Feng J, Phillips RV, Malenica I, et al. Clinical artificial intelligence quality improvement: towards continual monitoring and updating of AI algorithms in healthcare. *NPJ Digit Med* 2022;5:66.

[39] Nair M, Svedberg P, Larsson I, et al. A comprehensive overview of barriers and strategies for AI implementation in healthcare: Mixed-method design. *PLoS One* 2024;19:e0305949.

[40] Holm EA. In defense of the black box. *Science* 2019;364:26-7.