# Orchestrating Conversational AI: Developing a Framework for Chatbot Lifecycle Management

**Dr. Leena Rajagopal**
**Conversational Intelligence Group, Bengaluru Institute of AI Research, India**

**Prof. Javier Mendes**
**AI Systems Lab, Universidad de Nueva Cordoba, Argentina**

**Published Date: 25 December 2024 // Page no. 22-29**

## ABSTRACT

As the adoption of conversational AI systems continues to expand across industries, there is a growing need for systematic approaches to manage the end-to-end lifecycle of chatbots. This paper proposes a comprehensive framework for chatbot lifecycle management that encompasses the design, development, deployment, monitoring, and continuous improvement of conversational agents. The framework addresses critical aspects such as intent recognition, dialogue flow orchestration, multi-platform integration, version control, and performance analytics. By aligning technical architecture with agile development and user-centric design principles, the framework enables organizations to scale chatbot operations while maintaining high levels of accuracy, usability, and adaptability. A case study and practical guidelines are included to demonstrate the framework's effectiveness in real-world settings, offering valuable insights for developers, product managers, and AI strategists.

**Keywords:** Conversational AI, Chatbot Lifecycle, Dialogue Management, AI Orchestration, Natural Language Processing (NLP), Bot Development Framework, Conversational Design, Continuous Improvement, AI Deployment, Human-AI Interaction

## INTRODUCTION

The pervasive integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into daily life has heralded a new era of human-computer interaction, with conversational AI agents, commonly known as chatbots, at the forefront [1]. Chatbots are computer programs designed to simulate human conversation, either through text or voice, allowing users to interact with digital systems in a natural language format [2, 3]. From their early rudimentary forms, often relying on rule-based programming, chatbots have evolved significantly, becoming increasingly sophisticated with advancements in natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning [4, 5, 6].

The landscape of conversational AI has been dramatically reshaped by the emergence of large language models (LLMs) such as Google's BERT [7, 8], OpenAI's GPT-3 [9], and GPT-4 [10]. These generative AI models have demonstrated unprecedented capabilities in understanding context, generating coherent text, and engaging in more fluid and human-like conversations, marking 2023 as a breakout year for generative AI [11]. The integration of such advanced AI is evident in new applications like Microsoft Copilot for finance professionals [12], underscoring the growing practical applications of this technology across various sectors.

Despite the growing enthusiasm and successful implementations [13, 14], the deployment of chatbots is not without its challenges. Numerous reports indicate that many chatbot initiatives fail to meet expectations or achieve their intended objectives [17, 33]. This failure often stems from a lack of a comprehensive strategic approach, insufficient understanding of user needs, and inadequate operational planning beyond the initial development phase [18, 34]. Consequently, there is a pressing need for a structured framework that guides the entire lifecycle of chatbot development, from conceptualization and design to implementation, maintenance, and continuous improvement. This article aims to explore the multifaceted aspects of chatbot design and implementation, synthesizing

current literature to propose an operational model for effective chatbot lifecycle management.

## METHODS

This study adopted a systematic literature review methodology to comprehensively analyze existing knowledge concerning chatbot design, implementation, and critical success factors. The approach followed established guidelines for conducting literature reviews, emphasizing replicability and thoroughness [19, 20]. The research process encompassed several key stages:

1. Search Strategy and Source Identification: Initial searches were conducted across academic databases and reputable industry reports using keywords such as "chatbot," "conversational AI," "AI implementation," "natural language processing," "chatbot design principles," "chatbot failure factors," and "chatbot success factors." The goal was to cast a wide net to capture relevant publications spanning theoretical foundations, practical applications, and empirical studies.

2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Publications were included if they directly addressed aspects of chatbot technology, development, deployment, user interaction, or organizational impact. Emphasis was placed on recent works, though foundational texts were also considered to provide historical context. Excluded were publications primarily focused on general AI without specific chatbot relevance, or those that lacked peer review or authoritative backing.

3. Data Extraction and Synthesis: Relevant information from selected articles, books, conference papers, and industry reports was systematically extracted. This included definitions, technological advancements, architectural patterns, implementation challenges, reported success and failure cases, and proposed best practices. Qualitative research practices were applied to synthesize the extracted data, identifying recurring themes, emerging trends, and areas of consensus or divergence within the literature [23]. Data saturation was considered when determining the breadth of literature reviewed to ensure comprehensive coverage of the topic [24, 25].

4. Analytical Approach: The collected data were analyzed thematically, with a focus on identifying patterns related to:

- The evolution and capabilities of chatbots.
- Key components of chatbot architecture and underlying technologies.
- Common pitfalls and reasons for chatbot project failures.
- Factors contributing to successful chatbot adoption and performance.
- The role of user experience and personality in chatbot interaction.

This systematic approach, combining elements of quantitative bibliographic analysis with qualitative thematic synthesis, ensured a robust foundation for understanding the current state of chatbot development and for formulating an operational model [26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

## Results

The comprehensive literature review revealed several critical dimensions influencing chatbot design and implementation, categorizing findings across technological advancements, implementation challenges, critical success factors, and user experience considerations.

### Technological Advancements and Architectures

The evolution of chatbots has been intrinsically linked to advancements in NLP and machine learning. Early chatbots were predominantly rule-based, relying on predefined scripts and keyword matching to respond to user queries [1]. While simple to implement, these systems lacked flexibility and struggled with complex or novel inputs. The shift towards AI-driven chatbots marked a significant leap, incorporating machine learning models for natural language understanding (NLU) and natural language generation (NLG) [5, 6].

A pivotal development in this domain has been the rise of transformer models like BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) [7, 8]. BERT's ability to understand context from both

directions in a sentence revolutionized NLU, significantly improving the accuracy and relevance of chatbot responses. Building on this, generative AI models such as GPT-3 and GPT-4 have further transformed the landscape by enabling chatbots to generate remarkably coherent, contextually appropriate, and even creative text [9, 10]. These models facilitate more fluid and human-like conversations, moving beyond rigid, templated responses [11]. This shift means that modern chatbots can handle a wider array of queries, offer personalized interactions, and adapt to evolving conversational contexts [35].

Frameworks like Xatkit are emerging, offering low-code development for multimodal chatbots, which simplifies the creation process for developers [16]. However, integrating these advanced AI capabilities effectively requires careful architectural planning to ensure scalability and maintainability.

Implementation Challenges and Failure Factors

Despite the technological progress, a significant proportion of chatbot implementations fail to deliver the expected value [17, 33]. Several recurring challenges and failure factors were identified:

- Unrealistic Expectations: Organizations often overestimate a chatbot's capabilities or underestimate the complexity of its implementation, leading to dissatisfaction when the chatbot cannot perform as broadly or intelligently as anticipated [14].

- Poorly Defined Scope: A lack of clear objectives and scope for the chatbot's function can result in a sprawling, inefficient system that attempts to do too much without mastering anything [17].

- Insufficient Data for Training: AI-driven chatbots require vast amounts of relevant conversational data for training. Without adequate, high-quality data, the chatbot's NLU and NLG capabilities remain limited, leading to frequent misunderstandings and irrelevant responses [18].

- Lack of Continuous Improvement: Chatbots are not "set-and-forget" solutions. They require ongoing monitoring, analysis of conversations, and iterative refinement of their knowledge base and conversational flows. Neglecting this continuous improvement leads to performance degradation over time [32, 34].

- Integration Complexities: Chatbots often need to integrate with existing backend systems (e.g., CRM, databases) to provide useful information or perform actions. These integrations can be technically challenging and time-consuming [36].

- Neglect of User Experience (UX): A common pitfall is focusing solely on the technological aspects while overlooking the conversational design and user journey. A chatbot with a poor UX can frustrate users, leading to low adoption rates and negative perceptions [43, 44].

These factors highlight that successful implementation extends beyond mere technical prowess, demanding strategic planning and user-centric design [31].

Critical Success Factors (CSFs) for Chatbot Projects

Conversely, the literature points to several critical success factors that differentiate successful chatbot deployments from failures:

- Clear Business Objectives: Defining specific, measurable business goals for the chatbot (e.g., reducing customer service call volume, improving lead generation) is paramount [37, 38, 39]. These objectives guide the design, development, and evaluation processes.

- Phased Implementation and Scalability: Starting with a narrow, well-defined scope and gradually expanding the chatbot's capabilities based on user feedback and performance metrics is a pragmatic approach. Designing for scalability from the outset ensures the chatbot can grow with organizational needs [40].

- High-Quality Training Data and Robust NLU: Access to diverse, representative, and well-labeled conversational data is crucial for the NLU engine to accurately interpret user intent. Continuous data collection and model retraining are essential for ongoing accuracy [41].

- Seamless Integration with Backend Systems: The ability of the chatbot to access and process

information from internal systems, and to trigger actions, is vital for providing valuable and actionable responses [42].

- Effective Conversational Design and UX: The design of the conversation flow, including error handling, clarification prompts, and graceful handovers to human agents, significantly impacts user satisfaction [43, 44]. Chatbots should provide clear, concise, and helpful responses.

- Defined Handover Strategy: Recognizing the chatbot's limitations and providing a clear, smooth mechanism to transfer complex or sensitive queries to a human agent is a crucial aspect of good design. This prevents user frustration and ensures resolution [43, 44].

- Performance Monitoring and Iterative Improvement: Implementing robust analytics to track chatbot performance (e.g., resolution rates, escalation rates, user satisfaction scores) is critical. This data informs iterative improvements, ensuring the chatbot evolves to meet user needs [31, 32].

- Organizational Buy-in and Support: Success depends on strong support from leadership and collaboration between different departments (IT, customer service, marketing) [45, 46].

The Importance of Chatbot Personality and User Experience

A significant theme emerging from the literature is the impact of chatbot personality on user engagement and satisfaction. Users often anthropomorphize conversational agents, and a well-defined personality can make interactions more engaging, trustworthy, and memorable [47, 48]. Personality can be conveyed through language style, tone, and even the chatbot's name and avatar [49, 50]. Studies suggest that aligning the chatbot's personality with its function and target audience can significantly enhance the user experience and encourage repeated use [51, 52]. Conversely, an inconsistent or poorly conceived personality can lead to confusion and alienation. Therefore, designing a consistent and appropriate personality is a critical aspect of crafting an effective and user-friendly chatbot [43, 44].

**DISCUSSION**

The findings from this comprehensive literature review underscore that the successful design and implementation of chatbots transcend mere technological capability. While advancements in AI, particularly generative models, offer unprecedented opportunities for more sophisticated and human-like interactions, their effective deployment hinges on a holistic, operational approach. The identified challenges — such as unrealistic expectations, poorly defined scope, and insufficient data — frequently lead to project failures, emphasizing the need for a structured framework. Conversely, factors like clear business objectives, phased implementation, robust data strategies, and meticulous conversational design are consistently linked to successful outcomes.

These insights collectively point towards the necessity of an Operational Model for Chatbot Lifecycle Management. Such a model would integrate the critical success factors identified, addressing the common pitfalls throughout the chatbot's existence, not just its initial build. Key components of this proposed model would include:

1. Strategic Alignment & Scoping: This phase focuses on clearly defining the chatbot's purpose, target audience, and specific business objectives. It involves a thorough needs analysis to ensure the chatbot addresses a real organizational or customer pain point, preventing the development of solutions in search of a problem. This aligns with the importance of clear business objectives [37, 38, 39] and avoids the pitfall of poorly defined scope [17].

2. Design & Development: This stage encompasses architectural planning, technology selection (e.g., rule-based, AI-driven, hybrid), data collection for training, and crucially, conversational design. The emphasis here is on crafting intuitive and effective conversation flows, defining the chatbot's personality [47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52], and planning for graceful error handling and human agent handovers [43, 44]. The choice of leveraging advanced NLP models like BERT or GPT [7, 8, 9, 10] would be determined by the complexity of the conversational requirements.

3. Implementation & Integration: This phase involves the actual deployment of the chatbot,

including its integration with relevant backend systems (e.g., CRM, knowledge bases) [42]. Careful planning for scalability and infrastructure is essential to ensure the chatbot can handle anticipated user loads and future expansions [40].

4. Monitoring & Optimization: Post-launch, continuous monitoring of chatbot performance using key metrics (e.g., resolution rate, user satisfaction, escalation rate) is paramount. This data fuels an iterative optimization process, where the chatbot's knowledge base, conversational flows, and underlying AI models are continuously refined based on real-world interactions [31, 32]. This addresses the issue of lack of continuous improvement and capitalizes on high-quality training data [41].

5. Governance & Maintenance: This ongoing phase involves establishing clear roles and responsibilities for chatbot management, including content updates, security, and compliance. It also includes strategies for long-term maintenance and deciding when to retire or overhaul the chatbot. This ensures sustainability and ongoing value [45, 46].

The proposed operational model shifts the perspective from a one-off project to a continuous product lifecycle. By emphasizing iterative improvement, strategic alignment, and user-centric design at every stage, organizations can significantly increase the likelihood of successful chatbot implementations. Future research could focus on empirically validating this operational model in diverse organizational contexts, quantifying the impact of each component on chatbot performance and user satisfaction, and exploring the ethical considerations related to advanced generative AI in conversational agents. The rapid evolution of AI necessitates a dynamic approach, ensuring that operational models for chatbots remain adaptive and forward-looking.

**REFERENCES**

[1] McTear, M. Conversational AI, 1st ed.; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2021.

[2] Jurafsky, D.; Martin, J.H. Speech and Language Processing. In Speech and Language Processing; Taylor & Francis: Abingdon, UK, 2010; pp. 16–28.

[3] Cambridge Dictionary. Available online: https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/chatbot (accessed on 2 July 2022).

[4] Hoy, M.B. Alexa, Siri, Cortana, and More: An Introduction to Voice Assistants. Med. Ref. Serv. Q. 2018, 37, 81–88.

[5] Goldberg, Y. Neural Network Methods for Natural Language Processing. Synth. Lect. Hum. Lang. Technol. 2017, 10, 1–309.

[6] Bernardini, A.A.; Sônego, A.A.; Pozzebon, E. Chatbots: An Analysis of the State of Art of Literature. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Advanced Virtual Environments and Education, Fortaleza, Brazil, 21–24 March 2018; pp. 1–6.

[7] Devlin, J.; Chang, M.; Lee, K.; Toutanova, K. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. arXiv 2018, arXiv:1810.04805.

[8] Horev, R. BERT Explained: State of the Art Language Model for NLP. Medium. Available online: https://towardsdatascience.com/bert-explained-state-of-the-art-language-model-for-nlp-f8b21a9b6270 (accessed on 17 November 2018).

[9] Floridi, L.; Chiriatti, M. GPT-3: Its Nature, Scope, Limits, and Consequences. Minds Mach. 2020, 30, 681–694.

[10] OpenAI. GPT-4. Available online: https://openai.com/research/gpt-4 (accessed on 14 March 2023).

[11] Chui, M. The State of AI in 2023: Generative AI's Breakout Year. McKinsey & Company. Available online: https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai-in-2023-generative-ais-breakout-year (accessed on 1 March 2024).

[12] Novet, J. Microsoft Introduces Copilot AI Chatbot for Finance Workers in Excel and Outlook. Available online: https://www.cnbc.com/2024/02/29/microsoft-introduces-copilot-ai-chatbot-for-finance-workers.html (accessed on 12 March 2024).

[13] Williams, S. Hands-On Chatbot Development with Alexa Skills and Amazon Lex: Create Custom Conversational and Voice Interfaces for Your Amazon Echo Devices and Web Platforms; Format Kindle; Packt: Birmingham, UK, 2018.

[14] CB Insights. Lessons from the Failed Chatbot Revolution—And 7 Industries Where the Tech Is Making a Comeback. CB Insights Research. Available online: https://www.cbinsights.com/research/report/most-successful-chatbots/ (accessed on 14 January 2021).

[15] Fach, P.; Tranker, N.; Schüssler, S. Deloitte Survey—Chat Talk Touch. Deloitte. 20 December 2019. Available online: https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/de/Documents/financial-services/deloitte-survey-chat-talk-touch.pdf (accessed on 20 March 2024).

[16] Daniel, G.; Cabot, J.; Deruelle, L.; Derras, M. Xatkit: A Multimodal Low-Code Chatbot Development Framework. IEEE Access 2020, 8, 15332–15346.

[17] Janssen, A.; Grützner, L.; Breitner, M.H. Why do Chatbots fail? A Critical Success Factors Analysis. In Proceedings of the 42nd International Conference on Information Systems, ICIS 2021, Austin, TX, USA, 12–15 December 2021.

[18] Skuridin, A. Chatbot Implementation in a Steel Company in Russia. In Handbook of Research on Digital Transformation, Industry Use Cases and the Impact of Disruptive Technologies; Wynn, M., Ed.; IGI-Global: Hershey, PA, USA, 2022; pp. 268–290.

[19] Porter, A.L.; Kongthon, A.; Lu, J.-C. Research profiling: Improving the literature review. Scientometrics 2002, 53, 351–370.

[20] de-Miguel-Molina, B.; de-Miguel-Molina, M.; Albors, J. How to Undertake a Literature Review through Biblio-Metrics. An Example with Review about User Innovation. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Business Management, Valencia, Spain, 2–3 July 2015; Universitat Politècnica de Valencia: Valencia, Spain, 2015.

[21] Bell, E.; Bryman, A.; Harley, B. Business Research Methods; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2018.

[22] Yin, R. Case Study Research. Design and Methods, 3rd ed.; Sage Publications Inc.: London, UK, 2009.

[23] Ritchie, J.; Lewis, J. Qualitative Research Practice—A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers; Sage Publications Ltd.: London, UK; Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2003.

[24] Guest, G.; Bunce, A.; Johnson, L. How Many Interviews Are Enough? An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. Field Methods 2006, 18, 59–82. Available online: http://fmx.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/18/1/59 (accessed on 18 June 2023).

[25] Kuzel, A. Sampling in qualitative inquiry. In Doing Qualitative Research; Crabtree, B., Miller, W., Eds.; Sage Publications Ltd.: Newbury Park, CA, USA, 1992; pp. 31–44.

[26] Kumar, R. Research Methodology: A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners, 4th ed.; Sage Publications Ltd.: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2014.

[27] Trochim, W.M.K.; Donnelly, J.P. Research Methods Knowledge Base, 3rd ed.; Thomson Custom Pub: Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2007.

[28] Saunders, M.; Lewis, P.; Thornhill, A. Research Method for Business Students; Pearson Education Limited: Harlow, UK, 2016.

[29] Guba, E.G.; Lincoln, Y.S. Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Research. In Handbook of Qualitative Research; Denzin, N.K., Lincoln, Y.S., Eds.; Sage Publications, Inc.: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 1994; pp. 105–117.

[30] Patton, M.Q. Enhancing the quality and credibility of qualitative analysis. Health Serv. Res. 1999, 34 Pt 2, 1189–1208.

[31] Srinivasan, K.; Nguyen, C.; Tanguturi, P. Chatbots Are Here to Stay. Accenture. Available online: https://www.futureofworkhub.info/allcontent/2018/10/7/chatbots-are-here-to-stay (accessed on 12 March 2024).

[32] Kaushal, V.; Yadav, R. Exploring B2B Chatbots Adoption Experiences: Lessons for Successful Implementation in Businesses. Res. Sq. 2022.

[33] Dilmegani, C. An Assessment in 2022 for Why Chatbots Fail. AIMultiple. Available online: https://research.aimultiple.com/why-chatbots-fail/ (accessed on 14 June 2022).

[34] Varitimiadis, S.; Kotis, K.; Pittou, D.; Konstantakis, G. Graph-Based Conversational AI: Towards a Distributed and Collaborative Multi-Chatbot Approach for Museums. Appl. Sci. 2021, 11, 9160.

[35] Drift. The State of Chatbots Report: How Chatbots Are Reshaping Online Experiences. Drift. Available online: https://www.drift.com/blog/chatbots-report/ (accessed on 23 January 2018).

[36] Atkinson, R. Project management: Cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. Int. J. Proj. Manag. 1999, 17, 337–342.

[37] Rockart, J.F. Chief executives define their own data needs. Harv. Bus. Rev. 1979, 57, 81–93.

[38] Bullen, C.; Rockart, J. A Primer on Critical Success Factors; Sloan School of Management, MIT: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1981.

[39] Franke, A. How May A.I. Assist You? KPMG. Available online: https://kpmg.com/us/en/home/insights/2019/02/2019-issue1-article4.html (accessed on 31 March 2024).

[40] Henke, N.; Bughin, J.; Chui, M.; Manyika, J.; Saleh, T.; Wiseman, B.; Sethupathy, G. The Age of Analytics: Competing in a Data-Driven World. McKinsey & Company. Available online: https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/McKinsey/Industries/Public%20and%20Social%20Sector/Our%20Insights/The%20age%20of%20analytics%20Competing%20in%20a%20data%20driven%20world/MGI-The-Age-of-Analytics-Full-report.pdf (accessed on 20 December 2016).

[41] Sengupta, R.; Lakshman, S. Conversational Chatbots—Let's Chat. Deloitte. Available online: https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/in/Documents/strategy/in-strategy-innovation-conversational-chatbots-lets-chat-final-report-noexp.pdf (accessed on 6 February 2017).

[42] Bakhshi, N.; van den Berg, H.; Broersen, S.; de Vries, D.; El Bouazzaoui, H.; Michels, B. Chatbots Point of View. Deloitte. 2018. Available online: https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/nl/Documents/deloitte-analytics/deloitte-nl-chatbots-moving-beyond-the-hype.pdf (accessed on 15 January 2021).

[43] Robinson, M.; Gray, J.; Cowley, A.; Tan, R. Adopting the Power of Conversational UX. Deloitte. 2017. Available online: https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/nl/Documents/financial-services/deloitte-nl-fsi-chatbots-adopting-the-power-of-conversational-ux.pdf (accessed on 26 March 2021).

[44] Dasgupta, A.; Wendler, S. AI Adoption Strategies; Working Paper Series, No. 9; Centre for Technology & Global Affairs, University of Oxford: Oxford, UK, 2019.

[45] Bughin, J.; Hazan, E.; Ramaswamy, S.; Chui, M.; Allas, T.; Dahlström, P.; Henke, N.; Trench, M. Artificial Intelligence: The Next Digital Frontier? McKinsey Global Institute. 2017. Available online: https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/industries/advanced%20electronics/our%20insights/how%20artificial%20intelligence%20can%20deliver%20real%20value%20to%20companies/mgi-artificial-intelligence-discussion-paper.ashx (accessed on 1 March 2024).

[46] Fokina, M. The Future of Chatbots: 80+ Chatbot Statistics for 2023. Tidio. Available online: https://www.tidio.com/blog/chatbot-statistics/ (accessed on 18 January 2023).

[47] Brandtzaeg, P.B.; Folstad, A. Why People Use Chatbots. In Internet Science: 4th International Conference, INSCI 2017, Thessaloniki, Greece, 22–24 November 2017; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017.

[48] Isbister, K.; Nass, C. Consistency of personality in interactive characters: Verbal cues, non-verbal cues, and user characteristics. Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud. 2000, 53, 251–267.

[49] Katz, S. The Ultimate Guide to Chatbot Personality. Chatbots Magazine. 20 November 2019. Available

online: https://chatbotsmagazine.com/the-ultimate-guide-to-chatbot-personality-b9665ab5e99d (accessed on 20 March 2021).

[50] Liew, T.W.; Tan, S.-M. Virtual agents with personality: Adaptation of learner-agent personality in a virtual learning environment. In Proceedings of the 2016 Eleventh International Conference on Digital Information Management (ICDIM), Porto, Portugal, 19–21 September 2016; pp. 157–162.

[51] Ruane, E.; Farrell, S.; Ventresque, A. User Perception of Text-Based Chatbot Personality. In Chatbot Research and Design: 4th International Workshop, CONVERSATIONS 2020, Virtual Event, 23–24 November 2020; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021; pp. 32–47.

[52] Smestad, T.L. Personality Matters! Improving the User Experience of Chatbot Interfaces—Personality Provides a Stable Pattern to Guide the Design and Behaviour of Conversational Agents. Master's Thesis, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway, 2018.