

Smart and Sustainable Building Systems: Integrating Renewable Energy and MEP Technologies for Energy-Efficient Built Environments

Received: 12 Sep 2026
Revised: 30 Sep 2026
Accepted: 08 Oct 2026
Published: 23 Oct 2026

Dr. Emre Yılmaz

Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Volume 03 Issue 10, 2026
Page No - 08-16
DOI: -10.64917/fbim/Vol3Iss10-02

Article Citation: Yılmaz, D. E. (2026). Smart and Sustainable Building Systems: Integrating Renewable Energy and MEP Technologies for Energy-Efficient Built Environments. *Frontiers in Business Innovations and Management*, 3(10), 08–16. <https://doi.org/10.64917/fbim/Vol3Iss10-02>

Copyright: © 2026 The Authors. Published by FBIM under the Creative Commons CC BY License

Abstract

The increasing energy demand of buildings, rapid urbanization, climate-related pressures, and growing requirements for occupant comfort have intensified the need for building systems that integrate energy efficiency, renewable energy, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) technologies, and intelligent control. Conventional building services are frequently designed as relatively independent subsystems, which can limit opportunities for coordinated energy management and reduce the effectiveness of renewable energy integration. This study develops an integrated analytical framework for smart and sustainable building systems by synthesizing research on smart buildings, renewable-energy technologies, hybrid energy systems, building automation, artificial intelligence, energy storage, and multi-energy building design. The methodology consists of a structured thematic synthesis of the supplied literature followed by a systems-level analysis of the interactions among building envelope and loads, renewable generation, MEP systems, storage, sensing, automation, and occupant-oriented control. The analysis identifies five central integration requirements: demand reduction, distributed renewable generation, flexible MEP operation, intelligent energy management, and storage-enabled coordination. The findings indicate that renewable generation alone does not constitute a smart or sustainable building; performance depends on the ability of building systems to coordinate generation, demand, thermal services, electrical services, and occupant requirements. Solar photovoltaic systems, hybrid renewable configurations, energy storage, smart HVAC operation, advanced building automation, and data-driven control can collectively improve system flexibility, but their benefits are constrained by site conditions, capital cost, interoperability, user preferences, and implementation barriers. The study proposes an integrated building-energy architecture in which MEP systems operate as active components of an intelligent energy ecosystem rather than as isolated technical services. The resulting framework provides a theoretical and practical basis for designing energy-efficient buildings capable of responding dynamically to renewable availability, occupancy, environmental conditions, and energy demand.

Keywords: Smart buildings; Sustainable buildings; Renewable energy; MEP systems; Building energy efficiency; Building automation; Energy management; Energy storage; Artificial intelligence; Hybrid energy systems

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Buildings occupy a central position in the relationship between urbanization, energy consumption, environmental pressure, and technological development. Population growth and urbanization increase the demand for residential, commercial, institutional, and high-rise buildings, while simultaneously increasing the energy requirements associated with heating, cooling, ventilation, lighting, domestic hot water, pumping, appliances, elevators, and information systems. The population–urbanization–energy relationship therefore makes building-sector efficiency an important component of broader sustainability strategies (Avtar et al., 2019). At the same time, climate change has increased the significance of mitigation and adaptation measures capable of reducing energy-related environmental impacts (Abbass et al., 2022).

The concept of the smart building has developed in response to this changing environment. A smart building is not simply a structure equipped with automated devices. Rather, smartness involves the interaction of building systems, sensing, communication, control, energy management, and occupant needs. Buckman et al. (2014) established the importance of understanding smart buildings as integrated systems rather than collections of isolated technologies. Benavente-Peces (2019) similarly emphasized the role of supporting technologies and techniques in improving the energy performance of next-generation smart buildings.

The increasing availability of renewable-energy technologies creates an additional opportunity. Solar photovoltaic (PV), solar thermal, hybrid renewable systems, and electrical storage can reduce dependence on conventional energy supply while creating opportunities for buildings to operate as active energy participants. However, renewable generation is inherently dependent on resource availability and system configuration. A PV installation, for example, produces electricity according to solar conditions rather than necessarily according to the building's instantaneous demand. Consequently, energy efficiency requires more than installing renewable generators; it requires coordination between generation and consumption.

MEP systems provide the operational interface through which this coordination can occur. HVAC systems influence thermal comfort and often represent a major component of building energy demand. Electrical systems determine how generated electricity is distributed among lighting, appliances, equipment, storage, and other loads. Plumbing systems interact with water heating, pumping, and potentially solar thermal technologies. When these

systems are designed independently, opportunities for coordinated energy management may be lost. Fabrizio et al. (2010) demonstrated the importance of multi-energy system optimization at the building design stage, while Canale et al. (2021) highlighted the significance of functional integration of hybrid renewable-energy systems within multi-energy buildings.

The problem addressed in this study is therefore not merely how to make individual building technologies more efficient, but how to integrate them into a coherent system capable of dynamically balancing energy demand, renewable generation, MEP operation, storage, automation, and occupant requirements.

1.2 Problem Statement

A major limitation of conventional building-energy design is subsystem fragmentation. HVAC engineers, electrical designers, plumbing engineers, renewable-energy specialists, architects, and automation specialists may optimize their respective systems without sufficiently considering interactions across the whole building. Such fragmentation can produce technically functional buildings that nevertheless have inefficient energy flows.

Renewable-energy integration creates additional complexity. Solar PV capacity must correspond to available roof or façade area, orientation, shading, local solar resource, electrical demand, and economic considerations. Abolarin et al. (2022) demonstrated that PV specifications affect module peak power and the number of modules required in a residential application. Chatzigeorgiou and Martinopoulos (2023) further demonstrated the importance of spatial solar assessment for near-zero-energy districts.

At the operational level, energy systems must also account for demand variability. Occupancy, equipment use, weather, thermal loads, and user preferences change continuously. Ahmadi-Karvigh et al. (2017) showed that user preferences are important in building automation, indicating that a technically optimized control strategy may not necessarily be appropriate for every occupant or building context.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study has four primary objectives. First, it establishes an integrated theoretical understanding of smart and sustainable building systems based exclusively on the supplied literature. Second, it analyzes the technical relationship between renewable-energy systems and MEP technologies. Third, it develops an analytical framework for coordinating generation, demand, storage, automation, and occupant requirements. Fourth, it identifies implementation barriers and derives practical design implications for energy-efficient built environments.

1.4 Scope and Significance

The study focuses on building-scale integration of renewable energy with MEP systems and intelligent building-management technologies. Particular attention is given to solar PV, solar thermal systems, hybrid renewable systems, electrical storage, HVAC, building automation, artificial intelligence, demand-side management, and smart-grid interaction. The study does not claim experimental measurements from a specific building; its findings are derived from analytical synthesis and system-level interpretation of the supplied literature.

The significance of the study lies in shifting the design perspective from individual technologies toward coordinated system architecture. Such a perspective is important because building energy performance depends on interactions between technologies rather than the performance of individual components alone.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Smart Building Concepts

The theoretical foundation for smart buildings begins with the recognition that buildings contain interconnected technical and human systems. Buckman et al. (2014) examined the concept of the smart building and provided a basis for understanding smartness beyond isolated automation. Benavente-Peces (2019) extended this perspective by identifying supporting technologies and techniques necessary for energy efficiency in next-generation smart buildings.

Building automation subsequently evolved toward more adaptive forms of control. Ahmadi-Karvigh et al. (2017) emphasized that user preferences differ and that building automation should therefore avoid a universal control approach. Dweik et al. (2022) explored voice control as an additional interface for building automation, illustrating the movement toward more accessible and interactive control mechanisms.

Artificial intelligence provides another layer of development. Farzaneh et al. (2021) examined the evolution of AI in smart buildings for energy efficiency, while Alanne and Sierla (2022) reviewed machine-learning applications for smart buildings. Collectively, these studies indicate a transition from fixed automation toward systems capable of processing information and adapting operational decisions.

Chen et al. (2021) demonstrated this evolution at the residential level through a smart home energy-management system incorporating non-intrusive appliance-load monitoring and fog-cloud analytics. The significance of such systems is that energy management can become increasingly data-driven, enabling the

building to understand demand patterns rather than merely responding to predefined thresholds.

2.2 Renewable Energy in Buildings

Renewable-energy technologies provide the generation component of sustainable building systems. Dresselhaus and Thomas (2001) established the broad importance of alternative energy technologies, while more recent research has increasingly focused on integrating renewable technologies into buildings.

Solar PV is particularly relevant because buildings offer distributed roof and façade surfaces that can potentially accommodate generation. Abolarin et al. (2022) showed that PV specifications influence both module peak power and the number of modules required. This implies that PV design should be treated as an engineering optimization problem rather than a simple capacity-selection exercise.

Solar energy can also be used thermally. Crawford (2022) described applications of solar thermal power, demonstrating that solar energy can contribute to thermal requirements rather than only electrical generation. In a building context, this creates an important connection with plumbing and HVAC systems because thermal energy can potentially serve hot-water and related thermal demands.

Chanchangi et al. (2023) analyzed solar-energy potential and penetration in Nigeria, illustrating that renewable-energy deployment is strongly dependent on regional conditions. Choi et al. (2017) similarly reviewed renewable technologies in another energy-intensive sector, reinforcing the broader principle that technology selection must account for operating conditions rather than relying on a single universal solution.

2.3 Hybrid Renewable and Multi-Energy Systems

A building supplied by a single renewable technology remains exposed to intermittency and mismatch between generation and demand. Hybrid systems can address this limitation by combining complementary technologies. Akorede (2022) discussed design and performance analysis of off-grid hybrid renewable-energy systems, while Canale et al. (2021) examined the functional integration of hybrid renewable systems in multi-energy buildings.

The multi-energy perspective is especially relevant to MEP integration. Electrical energy from PV can operate HVAC equipment, pumps, lighting, and appliances. Solar thermal energy can contribute to domestic hot water. Storage can shift energy between generation and consumption periods. Thus, the building becomes a coupled energy system rather than an electrical load with a renewable generator attached to it.

Fabrizio et al. (2010) emphasized optimization of multi-energy systems at the building design stage. This finding is important because later operational optimization is constrained by decisions made during design. Equipment capacity, distribution architecture, plant-room configuration, thermal zoning, and renewable-system placement can determine the extent to which intelligent operation is possible.

2.4 Energy Storage and Demand Flexibility

Renewable energy introduces temporal variability, making storage an important integration technology. Dunn et al. (2011) examined electrical energy storage options for the grid and demonstrated the importance of storage technologies in managing electrical-energy availability.

In smart buildings, storage can be conceptualized as a flexibility mechanism. During periods of high solar generation and relatively low demand, excess electricity can be stored. During periods of low solar generation, stored electricity can support building loads. This interaction becomes more valuable when combined with flexible MEP systems.

Demand-side management provides another flexibility mechanism. Chen et al. (2021) demonstrated how appliance-load monitoring and analytics can support residential demand management. Instead of treating demand as fixed, a smart building can identify loads that can be shifted, reduced, or coordinated.

2.5 Smart Buildings, Urbanization, and Energy Systems

The building should also be considered within the broader urban energy system. Chen et al. (2014) established the relationship between urbanization and economic growth, while Avtar et al. (2019) emphasized the population–urbanization–energy nexus. As urban density increases, building-scale energy systems become increasingly important components of urban sustainability.

For taller and denser buildings, the technical challenge is amplified because energy demand is concentrated while available renewable-generation surfaces may be limited. Ali and Al-Kodmany (2012) discussed tall buildings and urban habitat, highlighting the broader design challenges associated with dense urban environments. The implication is that smart building strategies must account for physical constraints and not assume unlimited renewable-generation capacity.

2.6 Barriers to Smart and Renewable Building Deployment

Technical capability alone does not guarantee successful implementation. Addae et al. (2019) identified

barriers affecting smart-energy-city development, while Chisale and Lee (2023) analyzed barriers and solutions for renewable-energy acceleration using AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS. These studies reinforce the importance of institutional, technical, financial, and implementation factors.

Firas et al. (2022) demonstrated that smart-building concepts can also be applied to historical buildings. This is significant because sustainability cannot be restricted to new construction. Existing buildings present constraints related to heritage, structural modification, wiring, equipment placement, and architectural preservation.

2.7 Research Gap and Theoretical Positioning

The supplied literature provides substantial knowledge regarding individual dimensions of smart and sustainable buildings: renewable generation, hybrid systems, energy storage, automation, AI, user preferences, and multi-energy design. However, a systems-level integration perspective connecting these dimensions with MEP functions remains insufficiently articulated within the supplied studies.

The present study addresses this gap by positioning the building as an integrated energy-management ecosystem. The theoretical proposition is that energy efficiency emerges from the coordinated operation of five layers: demand reduction, renewable generation, MEP flexibility, storage, and intelligent control. This proposition is consistent with the broader smart-building perspective established by Buckman et al. (2014), while extending it toward explicit renewable-MEP integration.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The study employs an analytical literature-synthesis methodology. The supplied references were categorized according to their principal contribution to smart building systems, renewable energy, energy storage, building automation, AI, multi-energy integration, urban energy systems, and implementation barriers. The resulting themes were then synthesized into an integrated building-energy framework.

The methodology does not introduce external references or empirical datasets. Instead, it develops analytical findings from relationships among the supplied studies. This approach is appropriate for identifying system architecture, design principles, integration requirements, and implementation challenges where the research objective is conceptual and technological synthesis.

3.2 Analytical Framework

The proposed framework consists of six interacting layers:

Layer 1: Building Demand.

The building first establishes its energy requirements through HVAC, lighting, appliances, equipment, pumping, hot-water systems, and other loads. Demand is influenced by occupancy, weather, building type, and user behavior.

Layer 2: MEP Systems.

Mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems transform energy into useful building services. HVAC systems convert electrical or thermal energy into conditioned environments; electrical systems distribute power; plumbing systems support water movement and thermal services.

Layer 3: Renewable Generation.

PV and solar thermal systems provide renewable electricity and useful heat. Hybrid renewable configurations may provide greater flexibility than single-source systems.

Layer 4: Storage and Energy Exchange.

Electrical storage captures surplus renewable electricity and releases it during periods of demand. Depending on system architecture, the building may also interact with a smart grid.

Layer 5: Sensing and Automation.

Sensors collect information regarding occupancy, environmental conditions, equipment status, and energy flows. Building automation converts these observations into operational actions.

Layer 6: Intelligent Decision-Making.

Analytics, machine learning, optimization, and user interfaces support higher-level decisions regarding energy scheduling, equipment operation, and demand management.

3.3 Renewable-MEP Integration Logic

The framework assumes that renewable energy should be designed according to the building's energy-service requirements. For example, PV generation should not be evaluated only by installed capacity. Its usefulness depends on how much generated electricity can be consumed directly by building loads, stored, or exported.

Similarly, solar thermal systems should be evaluated in relation to domestic hot-water and thermal loads. This creates direct integration with plumbing and mechanical systems. Crawford (2022) supports the broader application of solar thermal technologies, while Fabrizio et al. (2010) establishes the importance of multi-energy optimization.

3.4 Intelligent HVAC Integration

HVAC is a particularly important component because its operation can be coordinated with occupancy and energy availability. Instead of operating according to a fixed schedule, an intelligent HVAC system can incorporate sensor information and predicted demand.

The principle is not simply to minimize HVAC energy consumption. Excessive reduction may compromise thermal comfort and occupant acceptance. Ahmadi-Karvigh et al. (2017) demonstrated the importance of user preferences, meaning that optimization should include occupant-oriented constraints.

AI and machine-learning methods can support this process. Farzaneh et al. (2021) and Alanne and Sierla (2022) indicate the growing role of intelligent methods in smart-building energy management. The proposed framework therefore treats AI as a decision-support layer rather than as a replacement for engineering controls.

3.5 Energy Storage and Control

Storage is integrated through an energy-priority strategy. Renewable energy is first used to satisfy immediate building demand when technically appropriate. Surplus energy can then be directed toward storage, while stored energy can subsequently support demand during periods when renewable generation is insufficient.

This logic must account for storage limitations, conversion losses, lifecycle considerations, and economic constraints. Dunn et al. (2011) demonstrates that storage technologies involve different characteristics and trade-offs. Therefore, the optimal storage solution cannot be defined independently of the building's load profile and renewable-generation characteristics.

3.6 User and Operational Layer

The final component is the occupant. Smart technology can fail to achieve its intended performance if occupants reject control actions or find automated systems inconvenient. User preferences therefore become an explicit design variable.

Voice control, as explored by Dweik et al. (2022), can improve accessibility to building automation. However, automation interfaces should complement rather than replace conventional engineering controls. The objective is to provide an adaptive system capable of balancing efficiency, comfort, usability, and operational reliability.

3.7 Analytical Evaluation Criteria

The framework evaluates building-system integration according to five criteria: energy efficiency, renewable-energy utilization, operational flexibility, occupant

compatibility, and implementation feasibility. A technically sophisticated system is considered successful only when improvements across these dimensions are sufficiently balanced.

4. Results

The analytical synthesis identifies **five principal findings** regarding integrated smart and sustainable building systems.

First, energy efficiency depends on system coordination rather than isolated technology efficiency. A high-efficiency HVAC unit, for example, can still operate inefficiently if its schedule is disconnected from occupancy or renewable availability. Similarly, PV generation has limited system value when generation and demand are poorly coordinated. This finding supports the systems-oriented concept of smart buildings and the multi-energy optimization perspective identified in the literature (Buckman et al., 2014; Fabrizio et al., 2010).

Second, renewable energy becomes more valuable when directly integrated with flexible MEP loads. PV electricity can supply electrical building services, while solar thermal systems can support thermal and hot-water requirements. Hybrid configurations further increase the possibility of matching different energy forms with different building services. The literature on hybrid renewable systems and multi-energy buildings supports this integrated interpretation (Akorede, 2022; Canale et al., 2021).

Third, storage and intelligent control are complementary rather than independent technologies. Storage addresses temporal mismatch, whereas intelligent control determines when energy should be consumed, stored, or shifted. Consequently, the greatest value is achieved when storage is incorporated into a broader energy-management architecture. The importance of storage choices and demand management is consistent with Dunn et al. (2011) and Chen et al. (2021).

Fourth, building intelligence requires both technical data and occupant-oriented control. Machine learning, sensors, and automation can improve energy-management capabilities, but control strategies must accommodate user preferences. The literature therefore supports a human-centered smart-building model rather than an exclusively algorithmic one (Ahmadi-Karvigh et al., 2017; Alanne & Sierla, 2022).

Fifth, implementation barriers can be as important as technical capability. Renewable-resource availability, building geometry, capital requirements, interoperability, user acceptance, and institutional conditions can limit the realization of theoretically efficient systems. Solar-resource assessment, renewable deployment barriers, and

smart-energy implementation studies reinforce this finding (Chatzigeorgiou & Martinopoulos, 2023; Chisale & Lee, 2023; Addae et al., 2019).

The resulting system model can therefore be represented as:

Building Demand → MEP Services ↔ Intelligent Control ↔ Renewable Generation ↔ Storage ↔ Grid

with **occupant preferences, environmental sensing, and operational constraints** influencing every major control decision.

5. Discussion

The findings indicate that smart and sustainable buildings should be understood as integrated energy-service systems rather than as buildings containing disconnected energy-efficient products. This distinction has important theoretical implications. The smart-building concept described by Buckman et al. (2014) can be extended by treating renewable generation and MEP systems as active participants in building intelligence. In such a model, intelligence is not limited to automation; it is the capability to coordinate energy flows and services according to changing conditions.

The integration of renewable energy with MEP systems also changes the role of building services engineers. HVAC, electrical, and plumbing systems can no longer be considered purely demand-side infrastructure. They become controllable components of an energy-management system. For example, HVAC loads can respond to occupancy and electricity availability, while hot-water systems can absorb useful thermal energy from solar systems. This supports the multi-energy perspective described by Fabrizio et al. (2010) and Canale et al. (2021).

A significant trade-off concerns optimization versus occupant comfort. Aggressive energy minimization may produce unacceptable indoor conditions, while occupant-oriented operation can increase energy use. Ahmadi-Karvigh et al. (2017) demonstrates why user preferences must be incorporated into automation strategies. Thus, an optimal building is not necessarily the building with the lowest theoretical energy consumption; it is the building that achieves an appropriate balance between energy performance and service quality.

Another trade-off involves renewable capacity and physical constraints. Chatzigeorgiou and Martinopoulos (2023) demonstrate the importance of solar assessment, while Abolarin et al. (2022) shows that PV specifications influence installation requirements. In dense or tall buildings, available renewable-generation surfaces may be insufficient to satisfy total demand, reinforcing the need

for demand reduction, storage, grid interaction, and system optimization.

The role of AI should likewise be interpreted carefully. Machine learning can identify patterns and support predictive control, but it cannot eliminate fundamental engineering constraints. AI requires reliable data, suitable sensing infrastructure, computational resources, and operational integration. Farzaneh et al. (2021) and Alanne and Sierla (2022) support the growing relevance of AI while the broader framework developed here emphasizes that AI is one layer within a larger building-energy architecture.

Implementation barriers represent another major limitation. Addae et al. (2019) and Chisale and Lee (2023) indicate that technological adoption is influenced by barriers extending beyond engineering design. Financial constraints, institutional capacity, technical skills, policy environments, and system integration requirements can determine whether a technically feasible project becomes practically successful.

The framework also has implications for existing buildings. Firas et al. (2022) demonstrates the applicability of smart-building concepts to historical buildings, suggesting that modernization should not be limited to new construction. Existing buildings may require staged retrofits involving sensors, controls, efficient MEP equipment, renewable generation, and storage. Such incremental approaches may be more practical where major structural interventions are difficult.

The primary limitation of this study is methodological. Because the analysis is based exclusively on the supplied literature and does not contain measured building data, the framework does not provide quantified energy savings, payback periods, carbon reductions, or equipment-level performance measurements. Its contribution is therefore analytical rather than experimentally validated. Future research should test the framework through monitored buildings, simulation studies, optimization models, and comparative case studies.

6. Conclusion

Smart and sustainable buildings require a transition from isolated technology deployment toward coordinated energy-service integration. The analysis demonstrates that renewable generation, MEP systems, storage, automation, sensing, AI, and occupant interaction should be considered components of a unified building-energy architecture.

The central contribution of this study is an integrated framework connecting six layers: building demand, MEP systems, renewable generation, storage, sensing and automation, and intelligent decision-making. The framework demonstrates that renewable energy alone does

not make a building smart or sustainable. Energy performance depends on how effectively renewable generation interacts with flexible MEP systems, storage, occupancy, and control strategies.

Solar PV provides an important source of distributed electricity, while solar thermal technologies can connect renewable energy directly with thermal and plumbing requirements. Hybrid renewable systems can increase flexibility, and electrical storage can address temporal mismatch. Building automation and AI can further improve operational coordination, provided that data quality, interoperability, and occupant preferences are adequately addressed.

The research also demonstrates that system integration must begin during the design stage. Equipment capacities, spatial arrangements, renewable-generation surfaces, distribution networks, controls, and storage provisions establish the boundaries within which later optimization can occur. Fabrizio et al. (2010) and Canale et al. (2021) therefore provide an important foundation for treating buildings as multi-energy systems.

Future research should move from conceptual integration toward quantified performance evaluation. Building-scale simulations, real-time monitoring, digital control experiments, and longitudinal case studies could determine the energy, economic, environmental, and occupant-comfort benefits of different integration strategies. Research should also investigate retrofit pathways for existing and historical buildings, where physical and financial constraints differ substantially from those of new construction.

Ultimately, the sustainable building of the future should not be defined simply by the number of renewable-energy devices, sensors, or automated controls it contains. Its effectiveness should be evaluated by its capacity to coordinate energy production, energy storage, MEP operation, occupant needs, and environmental conditions as one adaptive system. Such integration provides a more robust pathway toward energy-efficient and resilient built environments.

7. References

1. Abbass, K., Qasim, M. Z., Song, H., Murshed, M., Mahmood, H., & Younis, I. (2022). A review of the global climate change impacts, adaptation, and sustainable mitigation measures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(28), 42539-42559. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19718-6>
2. Abolarin, S. M., Shitta, M. B., Emmanuel, M. A., Nwosu, B. P., Aninyem, M. C., & Lagrange, L. (2022, February). An impact of solar PV specifications on module peak power and number of modules: A case

- study of a five-bedroom residential duplex. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 983, No. 1, p. 012056). IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/983/1/012056>
3. Addae, B. A., Zhang, L., Zhou, P., & Wang, F. (2019). Analyzing barriers of Smart Energy City in Accra with two-step fuzzy DEMATEL. *Cities*, 89, 218-227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.01.043>
 4. Ahmadi-Karvigh, S., Ghahramani, A., Becerik-Gerber, B., & Soibelman, L. (2017). One size does not fit all: Understanding user preferences for building automation systems. *Energy and Buildings*, 145, 163-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.04.015>
 5. Akorede, M. F. (2022). Design and performance analysis of off-grid hybrid renewable energy systems. In *Hybrid Technologies for Power Generation* (pp. 35-68). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823793-9.00001-2>
 6. Alanne, K., & Sierla, S. (2022). An overview of machine learning applications for smart buildings. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 76, 103445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.103445>
 7. Ali, M. M., & Al-Kodmany, K. (2012). Tall buildings and urban habitat of the 21st century: a global perspective. *Buildings*, 2(4), 384-423. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings2040384>
 8. Asaleye, D. A., Breen, M., & Murphy, M. D. (2017). A decision support tool for building integrated renewable energy microgrids connected to a smart grid. *Energies*, 10(11), 1765. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en10111765>
 9. Avtar, R., Tripathi, S., Aggarwal, A. K., & Kumar, P. (2019). Population–urbanization–energy nexus: a review. *Resources*, 8(3), 136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8030136>
 10. Benavente-Peces, C. (2019). On the energy efficiency in the next generation of smart buildings—Supporting technologies and techniques. *Energies*, 12(22), 4399. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12224399>
 11. Bhuiyan, M. A., Zhang, Q., Khare, V., Mikhaylov, A., Pinter, G., & Huang, X. (2022). Renewable energy consumption and economic growth nexus—a systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 878394. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.878394>
 12. Buckman, A.H., Mayfield, M., & Beck, B.M. (2014). What is a Smart Building? *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 3(2), 92-109. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SASBE-01-2014-0003>
 13. Canale, L., Di Fazio, A. R., Russo, M., Frattolillo, A., & Dell’Isola, M. (2021). An overview on functional integration of hybrid renewable energy systems in multi-energy buildings. *Energies*, 14(4), 1078. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14041078>
 14. Chanchangi, Y. N., Adu, F., Ghosh, A., Sundaram, S., & Mallick, T. K. (2023). Nigeria's energy review: Focusing on solar energy potential and penetration. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 25(7), 5755-5796. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02308-4>
 15. Chatzigeorgiou, E., & Martinopoulos, G. (2023, June). Solar cadastre for assessment of near-zero energy districts. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 1196, No. 1, p. 012003). IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1196/1/012003>
 16. Chen, M., Zhang, H., Liu, W., & Zhang, W. (2014). The global pattern of urbanization and economic growth: evidence from the last three decades. *PloS One*, 9(8), e103799. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103799>
 17. Chen, Y. Y., Chen, M. H., Chang, C. M., Chang, F. S., & Lin, Y. H. (2021). A smart home energy management system using two-stage non-intrusive appliance load monitoring over fog-cloud analytics based on Tridium’s Niagara framework for residential demand-side management. *Sensors*, 21(8), 2883. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21082883>
 18. Chisale, S. W., & Lee, H. S. (2023). Evaluation of barriers and solutions to renewable energy acceleration in Malawi, Africa, using AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS approach. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 76, 101272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2023.101272>
 19. Choi, Y., Lee, C., & Song, J. (2017). Review of renewable energy technologies utilized in the oil and gas industry. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, 7(2), 592-598. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317949875_Review_of_renewable_energy_technologies_utilized_in_the_oil_and_gas_industry/link/599edfa0a6fdcc500355dc1f/download
 20. Crawford, M. (2022, July 7). 8 hot applications of solar thermal power. *American Society of Mechanical Engineers*. <https://www.asme.org/topics-resources/content/8-hot-applications-of-solar-thermal-power>
 21. Dresselhaus, M. S., & Thomas, I. L. (2001). Alternative energy technologies. *Nature*, 414(6861), 332-337. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35104599>
 22. Dunn, B., Kamath, H., & Tarascon, J. M. (2011). Electrical energy storage for the grid: a battery of choices. *Science*, 334(6058), 928-935. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212741>
 23. Dweik, W., Abdalla, M., AlHroob, Y., AlMajali, A., Mustafa, S. A., & Abdel-Majeed, M. (2022). Skeleton of Implementing Voice Control for Building Automation Systems. *Scientific Programming*, 2022, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6886086>
 24. Fabrizio, E., Corrado, V., & Filippi, M. (2010). A model to design and optimize multi-energy systems in buildings at the design concept stage. *Renewable*

- Energy, 35(3), 644-655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.08.012>
25. Farzaneh, H., Malehmirchegini, L., Bejan, A., Afolabi, T., Mulumba, A., & Daka, P. P. (2021). Artificial intelligence evolution in smart buildings for energy efficiency. *Applied Sciences*, 11(2), 763. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11020763>
26. Firas, G., Maysoleen, A. A., & Rahdi, A. R. M. (2022). Adopting smart building concept in historical building: Case of Abu Jaber museum, Jordan. *Architecture and Engineering*, 7(3), 3-12. <https://doi.org/10.23968/2500-0055-2022-7-3-03-12>